# Epidemiological Correlates of Contraceptive Prevalence in Rural Area of Haryana

S Seema, S Neelu, C Seema, G DR, P Mani, K Meenakshi

## Citation

S Seema, S Neelu, C Seema, G DR, P Mani, K Meenakshi. *Epidemiological Correlates of Contraceptive Prevalence in Rural Area of Haryana*. The Internet Journal of Health. 2011 Volume 12 Number 1.

DOI: 10.5580/1ff7

## Abstract

Objective: 1. To study the prevalence of contraceptive utilization with its socio-demographic variables in a rural area of Haryana. Study Design: Cross-sectional. Setting: Agroha village Participants: 260 eligible couples Methodology: The minimum sample size to be covered was derived on the basis of current contraceptive prevalence rate of rural Haryana ie. 62% (as per National Family Health Survey III - 2005-06). For the purpose of the study, 260 eligible couples were selected by simple random sampling. Complete data was collected in a pre-designed, pre-tested quesionnaire. Statistical Analysis: The data collected were analyzed by using percentages and chi-square test. Result: Out of 260 couples selected for the study, 10 couples were non willing. Out of 250 eligible couples, 59.2% were current users of contraception (terminal methods users 46% & spacing method users 13.2%). Contraceptive prevalence increased significantly (p <0.001) with increasing age and also with the literacy status of the women (38.5% in illiterate women to 65.0% in women educated to high school & above). Caste-wise, the highest current contraceptive prevalence was found among the Other castes (74.6%) followed by OBCs (46.5%) and SCs (46.0%)(p < 0.001). Current contraceptive prevalence among women of nuclear families was higher (87.0%) than women in joint families (40.7%) & the difference was statistically significant (p < 0.001). Current contraceptive prevalence was found to decrease with the increase of socio-economic status as the prevalence was 72.6% in class V (Lower Class) and progressively declined to 47.6% in the class I (Upper Class) (p < 0.05). Conclusion: Preference of terminal sterilization methods over spacing methods observed in the present study as a family planning approach needs special attention and there is a need to shift women centric approach to couple centric approach for family planning. Extensive mass awareness campaign at regional level about types, advantages, availability and use of spacing methods is required and locally field workers need to apply Behavior Change Communication (BCC) methods to motivate the couples to accept the spacing methods for better maternal and child health.

# INTRODUCTION

India was the first country in the world to formulate the national family planning program in the year 1952 with the objective of " reducing the birth rate of the extent necessary to stabilize the population at a level consistent with requirement of National economy"<sup>1</sup>. India is undergoing a fertility transition and an important feature of this transition is the fact that contraceptive use has spread to uneducated women also<sup>2</sup>. This augurs well for the country in the long run. Another advantage with the rising prevalence of contraceptive usage is the fact that abortion incidence has declined<sup>3</sup>. Despite the fact that contraceptive usage has increased over a period of time, there exists a gap between the knowledge, attitude and practices (KAP) regarding contraceptive methods still varies within socities and also among different

castes and religious groups. The factors responsible for such varied picture operate at the individual, family and community level with their roots in the socio-economic and cultural milieu of Indian Society<sup>6</sup>.

With the above facts in consideration, we aimed to find the prevalence of contraceptive usage amongst eligible couples in Rural area of district Hisar and the association between contraceptive usage and socio-economic factors like literacy, caste, income etc.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present cross-sectional study was carried out from Nov 2008 to Feb 2009 in Agroha village, which is the Rural Field Training Area attached to the Department of Community Medicine, Maharaja Agrasen Medical Institute of Education and Research, Agroha, Hisar (Haryana). The total population of Agroha village & no. of eligible couples is 7914 & 1340 respectively. The above data was collected from Primary Health Centre (PHC), Agroha. The minimum sample size to be covered was derived on the basis of current contraceptive prevalence rate of rural Haryana which is 62% as per National Family Health Survey III – 2005-06 To cover the desired sample size, 260 eligible couples were selected by simple random sampling. The social class of the sample group was determined by modified BG Prasad's classification<sup>7</sup> (2008).

For the purpose of the study, the head of the family and the couples were explained in detail the purpose and methodology of study and then detailed information was collected on a pre-designed and pre-tested questionnaire. The data was collected by the Interns who were extensively trained for data collection and the survey was conducted under the guidance of the authors. The data collected were analyzed by using percentages and chi-square test (epi Info).

# RESULTS

The current usage of contraception among the study population was 59.2%. Contraceptive prevalence increased significantly (p < 0.001) with increasing age of women (Table 1).

# Figure 1

Table – 1: Current Usage of Contraception by Age of Women

Age	Termin	al Meth	nods	Spacing Methods				ern tion		
Group (years)	All Terminal Methods	Female Sterilisation	Male Sterilisation	All Spacing Methods	Oral Pills	lucos	Condoms	Any modern Contraception	Non- Users	Base
45 . 24	3	3	0		1	1	2	7	53	60
15 – 24 yrs.	(5.0)	(5.0)	(0)	4 (6.7)	(1.7)	(1.7)	(3.3)	(11.7)	(88.3)	(100)
	57	57			2	10	7	76	34	110
25 – 34 yrs.	(51.8)	(51.8)	0 (0)	19 (17.3)	(1.8)	(9.1)	(6.4)	(69.1)	(30.9)	(100)
35 - 44	55	55		40	1	4	5	65	15	80
35 – 44 yrs.	(68.7)	(68.7)	0 (0)	10 (12.5)	(1.2)	(5.0)	(6.3)	(81.2)	(18.8)	(100)
	115	115			4	15	14	148	102	250
Total	(46.0)	(46.0)	0 (0)	33 (13.2)	(1.6)	(6.0)	(5.6)	(59.2)	(40.8)	(100)

Note – Figures in parenthesis indicate percentages

Contraceptive prevalence by Age –  $\chi^2$  = 76.69, df = 2, p < 0.001

Contraceptive prevalence was found to increase significantly with increase in literacy status of the women as the prevalence increased from 38.5% with illiterate women to 65.0% with women educated to high school & above (p <

0.001). A similar trend in adoption of terminal and spacing methods was observed which increased progressively from (32.3% & 6.2% respectively) in couples with illiterate women to( 42.5% & 22.5% respectively) in couples with women educated up to high school and above. The difference in use of terminal and spacing methods in different literacy status was not significant (p>0.05).(Table 2)

# Figure 2

Table – 2: Current usage of Contraception by Literacy status of Women

	Term	nal Meth	ods	Sp	acing ]				
Literacy status of women	All Terminal Methods	Female Sterilisation	Male Sterilisation	All Spacing Methods	Oral Pills	IUCDs	Condoms	Any method	Base
Illiterate	21 (32.3)	21 (32.3)	0 (0)	4 (6.2)	0 (0.0)	2 (3.1 )	2 (3.1)	25 (38.5)	65 (100.0)
Literate	78 (42.2)	78 (42.2)	0 (0)	29 (15.7)	4 (2.2)	13 (7.0 )	12 (6.5)	107 (57.9)	185 (100.0
<ul> <li>Primary</li> <li>School</li> <li>Complete</li> </ul>	25 (41.7)	25 (41.7)	0 (0)	9 (15.0)	0 (0.0)	5 (8.3 )	4 (6.7)	34 (56.7)	60 (100.0
<ul> <li>Middle</li> <li>School</li> <li>Complet</li> <li>c</li> </ul>	52 (61.2)	52 (61.2)	0 (0)	11 (12.9)	2 (2.4)	4 (4.7 )	5 (5.8)	63 (74.1)	85 (100.0
> High School Complet e & above	17 (42.5)	17 (42.5)	0 (0	9 (22.5)	2 (5.0)	4 (10. 0)	3 (7.5)	26 (65.0)	40 (100.0
Total	115 (46.0)	115 (46.0)	0 (0)	33 (13.2)	4 (1.6)	15 (6.0	14 (5.6)	148 (59.2)	250

Note – 1. Figures in parenthesis indicate percentages

Contraceptive prevalence by literacy status- $\chi^2=20.12,~df=3,~p<0.001$  Terminal & Spacing methods by literacy status - $\chi^2=4.04, df=3, p>0.05$ 

Caste-wise, the highest current contraceptive prevalence was found among the Other castes (74.6%) followed by OBCs (46.5%) and SCs (46.0%). The difference of contraceptive prevalence by caste was found to be statistically significant (p < 0.001). The adoption of terminal methods was maximum in other castes (61.4%) followed by OBCs (33.7%) and SCs (32.0%). On the reverse, use of spacing methods was maximum in SCs (14.0%), followed by Other castes (13.2%) and minimum by OBCs (12.8%). The difference in adoption of terminal methods and use of spacing methods in different caste groups was nonsignificant (p > 0.05).(Table 3)

## Figure 3

Table – 3: Current usage of Contraception by Caste of Women

	Termin	al Metho	ods	Spacin	Spacing Methods						
Caste	All Terminal Methods	Female Sterilisation	Male Sterilisation	All Spacing Methods	Oral Pills	IUCDs	Condoms	uniphene in the second	Base		
SC	16 (32.0)	16 (32.0)	0(0)	7 (14.0)	0 (0.0)	4 (8.0)	3 (6.0)	23	50 (100.0)		
OBC	29	29	0 (0)	11	2	5	4	40	86		
Others	(33.7) 70	(33.7)	0	(12.8)	(2.3)	(5.8) 6	(4.7)	1	(100.0)		
Others	(61.4)	(61.4)	(0)	(13.2)	(1.8)	(5.3)	(6.1)		(100.0)		
Total	115 (46.0)	115 (46.0)	0 (0)	33 (13.2)	4 (1.6)	15 (6.0)	14 (5.6)		250		

Note - 1. Figures in parenthesis indicate percentages.

Table 4 shows the number and percentage of current contraceptive usage by eligible couples according to the Type of Family. Current contraceptive prevalence among women of nuclear families was higher (87.0%) than women in joint families (40.7%) & the difference was statistically significant (p <0.001). Adoption of terminal methods as well as spacing methods was more in nuclear families (70.0% & 17% respectively) than joint families (30.0% & 10.7% respectively). This difference in the use of terminal and spacing methods according to the type of family in which the couples live was not found to be significant (p >0.05).

# Figure 4

Table – 4: Current usage of Contraception by Type of Family

	Termin	al Meth	ods	Spacin	g Meth		Base		
Type of Family	All Terminal Methods	Female Sterilisation	Male Sterilisation	All Spacing Methods	Oral Pills	IUCDs	Condoms	Any modern Contraception	Base
Nuclear	70 (70.0)	70 (70.0)	0 (0)	17 (17.0)	3 (3.0)	8 (8.0)	6 (6.0)	87 (87.0)	100 (100.0)
Joint	45 (30.0)	45 ( <b>30.0</b> )	0 (0)	16 (10.7)	1 (0.7)	7 (4.7)	8 (5.3)	61 (40.7)	150 (100.0)
Total	115 (46.0)	115 (46.0)	0 (0)	33 (13.2)	4 (1.6)	15 (6.0)	14 (5.6)	148 (59.2)	250

Note – 1.Figures in parenthesis indicate percentages.

Contraceptive prevalence by Type of Family– $\chi^2=53.33,~df=1,~p<0.001$  Terminal & Spacing methods by Type of family– $\chi^2$ =0.93, df=1, p>0.05

Table 5 shows the number and percentage of current contraceptive usage by eligible couples according to the Social Class. Current contraceptive prevalence was found to decrease with the increase of socio-economic status as the prevalence was 72.6% in class V(Lower Class) and progressively declined to 47.6% in the class I (Upper Class) and the difference in current contraceptive prevalence according to social class was found to be significant (p <0.05). The lower social classes were inclined towards adoption of terminal methods which progressively increased from 35.7% in the class I to 53.8% in the class V, but the difference in adoption of terminal and spacing methods according to social class was not found to be significant (p >0.05).

## Figure 5

Table - 5: Current usage of Contraception by Social Class

Social Class	Termi	nal Met	thods	S	pacing				
(Modified B.G Prasad's classificatio)	All Terminal Methods	Female Sterilisation	Male	All Spacing Methods	Oral Pills	IUCDs	(0.0) (50.0)	Any moder Contracepti	Base
-	15	25		5	0	2	3	20	42
I	(35.7)	(59.5)	0 (0)	(11.9)	(0)	(4.8)	(7.1)	20 (47.6) 24 (50.0) 18 (47.3) 28 (66.7) 58 (72.6) 148	(100.0)
	18	23		6	1	5	0	24	48
п	(37.5)	(47.9)	0 (0)	(12.5)	(2.1)	(10.4 )	(0.0)		(100.0)
777-1	17	26		1	0	1	0	18	38
III*1	(44.7)	(68.4)	0(0)	(2.6)	(0.0)	(2.6)	(0.0)		(100.0)
	22	19		6	2	2	2	28	42
IV <sup>42</sup>	(52.4)	(45.2)	0 (0)	(14.3)	(4.8)	(4.8)	(4.8)	(66.7)	(100.0)
v	43	22	0	15	1	5	9	58	80
v	(53.8)	(27.5)	(0)	(18.8)	(1.3)	(6.3)	(11.2)	20 (47.6) 24 (50.0) 18 (47.3) 28 (66.7) 58 (72.6)	(100)
	115	115		33	4	15	14	148	250
Total	(46.0)	(46.0)	0 (0)	(13.2)	(1.6)	(6.0)	(5.6)	(59.2)	(100)

Note – 1. Figures in parenthesis indicate percentages.

2. For statistical analysis, al & a2 have been pooled together. Contraceptive prevalence by Social Class  $-\chi^2 = 9.97$ , df = 3, p <0.05 Terminal & Spacing methods by Social Class  $-\chi^2 = 1.94$ , df = 3, p > 0.05

# DISCUSSION

In this study, 59.2% of the couples were current users of contraception (terminal methods users 46% & spacing method users 13.2%). NFHS-3 has documented a couple protection rate of 62% in rural areas of Haryana which is considerably higher than that of India as a whole  $(56\%)^8$ . Our findings of 59.2% contraceptive usage is quite similar to a study by Bhasin et al<sup>9</sup>. In contrast the prevalence of contraceptive use was found to be very low (34.9%) in a study by Gaur et al<sup>10</sup> in rural muslim area of Haryana. The reason for high prevalence in our study as compared to the study by Gaur et al<sup>10</sup> may be the high literacy status of women in our area . In our study terminal method (tubectomy) was the commonest method used (46%) which is similar to the findings of Bhasin et al<sup>9</sup>, Kansal et<sup>11</sup> al and Girdhar et al<sup>12</sup>.In our study it was found that spacing and terminal method users were higher in older age group. Similar finding was reported by Kansal et al<sup>11</sup> in rural area of Dehradun where the older females preferred to adopt spacing (except for IUDs) & terminal methods of contraception. In a study done in rural areas of Bihar by Kumari  $C^{13}$ , the incidence of sterilization increased significantly from 11.6 % in 21-30 yrs of age to 51% in women aged 31-40yrs. In this study prevalence of contraception was found to be very low in younger (15-24 yrs) age group and the reason for not

using contraceptives by this age group was found to be the desire for more children. Literacy was seen to influence the acceptance of contraceptive method in this study. The acceptance of spacing and methods was more by subjects who were literate. In a study done by Shah et al<sup>14</sup>, Girdhar et al<sup>12</sup> and Gaur et al<sup>10</sup> a statistical significant association was found between the use of contraception and the educational status of couple. Current use of contraceptives was 46.0%, 46.5%, and 74.6% among SC, OBC, and others respectively and the difference was statistically significant (p < 0.001). The difference in adoption of terminal methods and use of spacing methods in different caste groups was found to be non-significant (p>0.05) in the study. The family structure i.e. joint or nuclear family is another significant variable. In the present study, it was observed that the acceptance of contraceptive methods was more in subjects residing in nuclear family which is similar to the findings of Girdhar et al<sup>12</sup>. Current contraceptive prevalence was found to decrease with increasing socio-economic status (72.6% in Class V to 47.6% in Class I) and the difference in current contraceptive prevalence according to social class was found to be significant (p < 0.05). The prevalence of terminal methods was apparently more in lower classes however the difference in adoption of terminal and spacing methods according to social class was not found to be significant (p > 0.05).

# CONCLUSION

Preference of terminal sterilization methods over spacing methods observed in the present study as a family planning approach needs special attention and there is a need to shift women centric approach to couple centric approach for family planning. Extensive mass awareness campaign at regional level about types, advantages, availability and use of spacing methods is required and locally field workers need to apply Behavior Change Communication (BCC) methods to motivate the couples to accept the spacing methods for better maternal and child health.

# References

 National Population Policy-2000, Government of India.
 McNay K, Arokiasamy P, Cassen R. Why are uneducated women in India using Contraception? A multilevel analysis. Popul. Stud. (Camb.). 2003;57(1):21-40.
 Marston C, Cleland J. Relationships between contraception and abortion: a review of the evidence. Int Fam Plan Perspect. 2003 Mar;29(1):6-13.
 Charles W, Ann P. Alternative measure for Unmet Need for Family Planning in Developing Countries. Int Fam Plan Perspect. 2000;7(4):126-135.
 Ashok S, John S and Jayanti M.T.The KAP-Gap in Nepal: Reasons for Non-use of Contraception Among Couples with an Unmet Need for Family Planning. Asia- Pacific Population Journal, 2000;6(1):25-38. 6. Rao AP, Somayajulu VV. Factors responsible for Family Planning acceptance with single child-findings from a study in Karnataka. Demography India 1999;28(1):65-73.
7. Suryakantha AH (2009). Community Medicine with Recent Advances. 1st edition: 659-60.

 National Family Health Survey (NFHS-3), 2005-06, India. International Institute for Population Sciences, Mumbai.
 Bhasin SK, Pant M, Metha M, Kumar S. Prevalence of Usage of Different Contraceptive Methods in East Delhi- A Cross Sectional Study. Indian J Of Community

Medicine,2005; Vol 30 (2) :53-55.

10. Gaur D.R., Goel M.K., Goel M. Contraceptive practices and related factors among females in predominantly rural Muslim area of North India. The Internet J of World health and Societal Politics,2008; 5(1).  A Kansal, R Chandra, S D Kandpal, K.S Negi.Epidemiological Correlates of contraceptive Prevalence in Rural Population of Dehradun District". Indian J Of Community Medicine ,2005; Vol 30 (2) : 60-62
 S. Girdhar, A. Chaudhary, P. Gill, R. Soni & R. K. Sachar : Contraceptive Practices And Related Factors Among Married Women In A Rural Area Of Ludhiana. The Internet Journal of Health. 2010; Vol 12(1).
 Kumari C. Contraceptive practices of women living in rural areas of Bihar. Br J Fam Plann,1998; 24 (2): 75-7.
 Shah N J, Pradhan P, Reddy A S, Joseph B. Contraceptive practices in newly married women in suburban Bangalore. Health and Population- Perspectives and Issues,2006; 29(1): 21-28

# **Author Information**

### Sharma Seema

Assistant Professor, Community Medicine, MAMC Agroha (Hisar)

#### Saluja Neelu

Assistant Professor, Community Medicine, MAMC Agroha (Hisar)

#### **Choudhary Seema**

Associate Professor, Community Medicine, MAMC Agroha (Hisar)

### Gaur DR

Professor and Head, Community Medicine, MAMC Agroha (Hisar)

#### Pandey Surya Mani

Assistant Professor, Community Medicine, MAMC Agroha (Hisar)

## Kalhan Meenakshi

Assistant Professor, Community Medicine, PGIMS (Rohtak)