A Comparative Study of Extra-Amniotic Infusion of Corticosteroids versus Normal Saline for Induction of Labour.

K Pushpalatha

Citation

K Pushpalatha. A Comparative Study of Extra-Amniotic Infusion of Corticosteroids versus Normal Saline for Induction of Labour.. The Internet Journal of Third World Medicine. 2008 Volume 8 Number 1.

Abstract

Objective: To compare the efficacy of extra-amniotic corticosteroids with normal saline in inducing labor .Material and methods: This was a prospective randomized controlled study. 50 women with a gestational age of 36 to 42 weeks, an unfavourable cervix, and medical indications for delivery were assigned to receive either 20 mg of dexamethasone in saline solution (study group n = 25) or saline solution only (control group n=25) administered extra amniotically through an intracervical inflated Foley balloon catheter.Result: The mean time intervals between induction of labor to the active phase and between induction of labor to delivery were significantly shorter in the study group compared with those of the control group (5.0 ± 1.8 hours vs 6.69 ± 1.52 hours, p < 0.001, 10.27 ± 4.70 hours vs 14.00 ± 5.57 hours, p < 0.05, respectively).Conclusion: Induction of labor to delivery. Cervical ripening with extra-amniotic corticosteroids possesses the advantages of simplicity, low cost, and lack of systemic or serious side effects.

INTRODUCTION

Ripening of the cervix is normally a physiologic process that precedes uterine contractions and includes a highly complex biochemical process. The purpose of cervical ripening and induction of labor is to achieve vaginal delivery and to avoid operative delivery by cesarean section (1,2). The rate of women undergoing labor induction is increasing, primarily because of patient-physician preferences. The widespread availability of preinduction cervical ripening agents has contributed to this rising trend. Approximately half of all women undergoing an induction of labor will have an unfavorable cervix that will require some ripening agent. Pharmacologic and mechanical dilator techniques have been proven to ripen the unfavorable cervix (3).Numerous techniques have been attempted to ripen the unfavorable cervix and enhance the changes necessary for labor in the lower uterine segment (4,5), including intravenous infusion of oxytocin (6), which is associated with a prolonged induction period, high failure rate and considerable patient discomfort (7,8,2), intravaginal or intracervical administration of prostaglandin (9-15), which could cause uterine tetany (16,17), and intracervical Foley balloon catheter insertion (12-14), which ripens the cervix

mechanically, usually without causing contractions (18-20). The role of corticosteroids in the process of labor is not entirely understood. Nevertheless, several reports have suggested that intramuscular or intraamniotic injection of corticosteroids results in higher rates of induction of labor and vaginal deliveries in lambs and humans (21-23). Some assumptions regarding their possible role in parturition have been proposed as being effective in a paracrine or autocrine fashion because receptors for glucocorticoids have been identified in the amniotic membranes (24). So, local corticosteroids may be involved in the course of labor induction. The aim of this study was to examine the hypothesis that corticosteroids, when administered extraamniotically, can enhance the labor process and reduce the induction-to-delivery interval

MATERIAL AND METHODS

In this randomized controlled trial we compared extraamniotic injection of corticosteroids with injected saline solution for cervical ripening and induction of labor. This double-blind, randomized controlled study was conducted on 50 women with singleton gestations and a gestational age of 36 to 42 weeks, who were referred to the J. J. M. Medical College Davanagere, Karnataka India for induction of labor with a Bishopscore of less than or equal to 4 from October 1998 through October 2000. The indications for labor induction during the study period included postdate pregnancy, suspected fetal distress, hypertensive disorders, intrauterine growth restriction, full term pregnancy with unknown dates. Exclusion criteria were known uterine anomaly, invasive cervical carcinoma, cephalopelvic disproportion (because of malpresentation or abnormal pelvic bone structures), known placenta previa or low-lying placenta, vasa previa, reported or documented episode of midtrimester or third trimester bleeding, active genital herpes infection, maternal fever, intrauterine fetal death, previous classical uterine incision, and three or more uterine contractions in 10 minutes. Eligible patients were informed of the purpose of the study and were requested to sign an informed consent that was approved by the local ethics committee. After giving their consent, patients were assigned to one of two arms according to a computergenerated random list. The type of therapy given in each group was blinded to both patients and physician. Patients who required cervical ripening and induction were randomized to one of 2 groups:

1) In study group, a 26F catheter with a 30 ml balloon was inserted under direct vision through the cervix of patients, using sterile technique. The balloon was inflated with 30 ml of sterile water, and 20 mg of dexamethasone mixed with sterile saline solution up to a volume of 20 ml was injected through the catheter into the extra-amniotic space, followed by infusion of 1 ml/min of sterile saline solution into the extra-amniotic space by means of an infusion pump. The balloon was then taped to the patient's inner thigh.

2) In control group, women received the same treatment except that 20 mg of corticosteroids was replaced by 20 ml of pure saline solution. The patients were monitored for fetal heart rate every 20 minutes during the first hour and then every hour for the next 5 hours. Gentle traction of the catheter was performed every hour to watch for expulsion of the balloon. If the balloon was not expelled within 6 hours, it was deflated and extracted. Thereafter intravenous oxytocin was administered in an initial dose of 2.5 mIU/min, with subsequent increase of 2.5 mIU/min every 20 minutes until three contractions in 10 minutes were achieved (as long as the fetal heart rate was satisfactory). After a further 2 hours the patients were reexamined for Bishop score. Only when the patient entered the active phase of labor, which was defined as three or more contractions in 10 minutes and cervical dilatation ≥4 cm, the protocol was continued. If the patient did not demonstrate these minimal conditions, oxytocin was stopped and failure of induction was announced. Amniotomy was permitted only in the active phase. The patients were followed up for the common complications of balloon application: nausea and vomiting, uterine hypertonus, and febrile morbidity. Since cord blood gas test is not routinely performed in our medical center, neonatal outcome assessment included Apgar score. Mean values of maternal age, gestational age, and time intervals were compared between the study and control groups with use of the two-sample t test. P values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Fifty subjects (study group 25, control group 25) were enrolled in the study. Table1 shows the clinical characteristics in the two groups.

Figure 1

Table: 1 CLINICAL CHARACTERISTICS IN THE TWO GROUPS

Parameters		Study group (n=25)	Control group(n=25)	Significance	
Maternal age	Range	19-31	18-35	t=0.30 NS	
(yr)	Mean ±SD	22.4±3.1	22.7±3.9		
Parity	Primi	13(52%)	16 (64%)	X ² =0.74 NS	
-	Multi	12(48%)	9 (36%)		

There were no statistical differences in maternal age, gestational age or parity between the two groups. Indications for induction of labor are depicted in table 2.

Figure 2

Table: 2 INDICATIONS FOR INDUCTION – PARITYWISE

Indications	Study group		Control group			
	Primi	Multi	Total	Primi	Multi	Total
FT + mild PE	1	2	3(12%)	4	2	6(24%)
Severe PE	6	2	8(32%)	1	2	3(12%)
Antepartum Ecl				1	1	2(8%)
Oligohydramnios with IUGR				3		3(12%)
Prolonged pregnancy	4	4	8(32%)	2		2(8%)
Post dated pregnancy		1	1(4%)	3	1	4(16%)
Decreased feal movements	1	2	3(12%)	2	3	5(20%)
FT with unknown dates	1	1	2(8%)			
Total	13	13	2	16	9	25

There was no significant difference between two groups in any of indications. Table 3 demonstrates the interval between induction to pain, induction to active phase and induction to delivery in the two groups. Nineteen (76 %) patients in the study group and 21 (84 %) in the control group entered the active phase of labor. The mean interval from induction of labor to the active phase was significantly shorter in the study group (5.0 ± 1.8 hours) compared with the control group (6.69 ± 1.52 hours, P<0.001)

Figure 3

Table: 3 INDUCTION TO PAIN INTERVAL, INDUCTION TO ACTIVE PHASE INTERVAL AND INDUCTION TO DELIVERY INTERVAL

Interval	Particulars	Study	Control	Significance
IP-interval	Range	1-6	1-8	t=2.55
(hrs)	Mean ±SD	2.55±1.46	3.75±1.84	p<.05 sig
IA-interval	Range	2.5-8	4-10	t=3.59
(hrs)	Mean ±SD	5.0±1.8	6.69±1.52	p<.001 sig
ID-interval	Range	3-22	6-30	t=2.56
(hrs)	Mean ±SD	10.27±4.70	14.00±5.57	p<.05 sig

All of the women who entered the active phase of labor were delivered vaginally (19 patients in the study group and 21 in the control group).

Figure 4

Table: 4 ROUTE OF DELIVERY IN TWO GROUPS

Mode of delivery	Study group	Control group	Significance
Vaginal (%)	14(56%)	15 (60%)	
Instrumental (%)	5(20%)	6 (24%)	
Cesarean	6 (24%)	4 (16%)	X ² =0.52 NS
section (%)			
Fetal distress	6 (24%)	2 (8%)	
No progress		2 (8%)	

There was no significant difference in the rate of cesarean delivery between the two groups. No maternal or fetal complication was seen in study or control group and there was no significant difference between two groups with respect to maternal or fetal complications. Nevertheless, the sample size was not sufficient to rule out a significant difference in the rate of complications with appropriate power.

DISCUSSION

One of the common practices of modern obstetrical care is to induce labor and delivery when fetal and/or maternal complications arise (3,25).Various methods and agents of cervical ripening and labor induction have been described in the obstetrics literature (2).Regardless of the ripening agent used, each has been shown to significantly improve the pre induction cervical score, shorten the induction to vaginal delivery time, and reduce the need for oxytocin (3).In a review of 11 reported studies, it has been suggested that ripening efficacy by catheter balloon is similar to, or better than, other methods (25); however our study demonstrated a possible role of corticosteroids, acting locally in the fetal membranes, in shortening the time interval from induction of labor to delivery in pregnancies requiring induction, which was in agreement with previous study by Barkai et al. (26). The amniotic membranes in the human placenta express receptors for glucocorticoids at term (24). Also, the level of cortisol rise in the amniotic fluid throughout the pregnancy, especially before the appearance of regular contractions at term (27,28). The current study was designed to evaluate the theory that glucocorticoids could facilitate the induction and delivery processes. It was decided to choose an inert method for induction of labor to minimize the possible effect of other medications on the study group. Furthermore, we decided to use the inflated Foley balloon catheter method because of its effectiveness with minimal known complications compared with other methods. The catheter is also used as an injection route for the corticosteroids. The results of this study demonstrated that glucocorticoids, when given extra-amniotically by a Foley catheter inflated at the cervical internal os, was a more efficacious method for ripening of the cervix than extra-amniotic saline infusion. Also, it revealed that extra-amniotic glucocorticoids infusion can shorten both the interval from induction to the entrance at the active phase and the induction-to delivery interval, which was similar to Barkai et al. report (26). We found no side effects from this method for either the mother or the baby. The method has a low cost and requires little training for the untrained physician at the delivery room when a quick and safe method is required for induction of labor. Ripening of the cervix and induction of labor are debatable issues. The variety of results with use of the conventional methods of ripening of the cervix and induction of labor has been reported. Hence future research is clearly needed to explore whether corticosteroids may serve this goal and to develop novel management strategies for women deemed at highest risk of induction failure. These investigations are required at a clinical level to establish the best dosage of glucocorticosteroids to be injected extraamniotically and at the level of tissue culture to understand better the relationship between the activities of glucocorticoids at their receptor level of amniocytes. In conclusion, induction of labor with use of an intracervical Foley balloon catheter and extraamniotic corticosteroids reduces the time interval from induction of labor to delivery. Lastly, continued research in this important area of clinical obstetrics is needed to evaluate the most appropriate dosing regimens for all of the available agents at hand.

References

1. Farrington PF, Ward K. Normal labor, Delivery, and Puerperium. In: Danforth DN, De Saia PJ, Hammond CB, Spellacy WN, Smith J, editors. Danforth's Obstetrics and Gynecology. 8th ed, Lippincott Williams and Wilkins; 1999.p. 91-109.

2. Hadi H. Cervical ripening and labor induction: clinical guidelines. Clin Obstet Gynecol. 2000 Sep; 43(3): 524-536. 3. Rayburn WF. Preinduction cervical ripening: basis and methods of current practice. Obstet Gynecol Surv. 2002 Oct; 57(10): 683-692.

4. Trofatter KF Jr. Cervical ripening. Clin Obstet Gynecol.1992 Sep; 35(3): 476-486.

5. O'Brien WF. Cervical ripening and labor induction: progress and challenges. Clin Obstet Gynecol. 1995 Jun; 38(2): 221-223.

6. Turnbull AC, Anderson AB. Induction of labor. II. Intravenous oxytocin infusion. J Obstet Gynaecol Br Commonw. 1968 Jan; 75(1): 24-31.

7. Thiery M. Preinduction cervical ripening. Obstet Gynecol 8. Friedman EA, Sachtleben MR. Effect of oxytocin and oral prostaglandin E2 on uterine contractility and fetal heart rate patterns. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 1978 Feb 15; 130(4): 403-407.

9. Shepherd JH, Knuppel RA. The role of prostaglandins in ripening the cervix and inducing labor. Clin Perinatol. 1981 Feb; 8(1): 49-62.

10. Mitchell MD, Lytton FD, Varticovzky L. Paradoxical stimulation of both lipocortin and prostaglandin production in human amnion cells by dexamethasone. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 1988 Feb 29; 151(1): 137-141.

11. Mackenzie IZ, Bradley S, Embrey MP. A simple approach to labor induction using lipid-based prostaglandin E2 vaginal suppository. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 1981 Sep 15, 141(2): 158-162.

12. Barrilleaux PS, Bofill JA, Terrone DA, Magann EF, May WL, Morrison JC. Cervical ripening and induction of laborwith misoprostol, dinoprostone gel, and a Foley catheter: a randomized trial of 3 techniques. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2002 Jun; 186(6): 1124-1129.

13. Niromanesh S, Mosavi-Jarrahi A, Samkhaniani F. Intracervical Foley catheter balloon vs. prostaglandin in preinduction cervical ripening. Int J Gynaecol Obstet. 2003 Apr; 81(1): 23-27.

14. Sciscione AC, McCullough H, Manley JS, Shlossman PA, Pollock M, Colmorgen GH. A prospective, randomized comparison of Foley catheter insertion versus intracervical prostaglandin E2 gel for preinduction cervical ripening. Am JObstet Gynecol. 1999 Jan; 180(1 Pt 1): 55-60.

15. Liu HŠ, Chang YK, Chu TY, Yu MH, Chen WH.

Extraamniotic balloon with PGE2 versus extra-ovular Foley catheter with PGF2alpha in mid-trimester pregnancy termination. Int J Gynaecol Obstet. 1998 Oct; 63(1): 51-4. 16. Witter FR, Rocco LE, Johnson TR. A randomized trial of prostaglandin E2 in a controlled-released vaginal pessary for cervical ripening at term. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 1992 Mar; 166(3): 830-834.

 Claman P, Carpenter RJ, Reiter A. Uterine rupture with the use of vaginal prostaglandin E2 for induction of labor. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 1984 Dec 1: 150(7): 889-890.
Embrey MP, Mollison BG. The unfavourable cervix and induction of labor using a cervical balloon. J Obstet Gynaecol Br Commonw. 1967 Feb; 74(1): 44-48.
Ezimokhai M, Nwabineli JN. The use of Foley's catheter in ripening the unfavourable cervix prior to induction of labour. Br J Obstet Gynaecol. 1980 Apr; 87(4): 281-286.
Levy R, Ferber A, Ben-Arie A, Paz B, Hazan Y, Blickstein I, Hagay ZJ. A randomised comparison of early versus late amniotomy following cervical ripening with a Foley catheter. BJOG. 2002 Feb; 109(2): 168-172.
Liggins GC. Premature parturition after infusion of corticotrophin or cortisol into foetal lambs. J Endocrinol.

1968 Oct; 42(2): 323-329.22. Liggins GC. Premature delivery of foetal lambs infused with glucocorticoids. J Endocrinol. 1969 Dec; 45(4): 515-523.

23. Mati JK, Horrobin DF, Bramley PS. Induction of labour in sheep and in humans by single doses of cordcosteroids. BrMed J. 1973 Apr 21; 2(5859): 149-151.

24. Kossman JC, Bard H, Gibb W. Characterization of specificsteroid binding in human amnion at term. Biol Reprod. 1982 Sep; 27(2): 320-326.

25. Sherman DJ, Frenkel E, Tovbin J, Arieli S, Caspi E,Bukovsky I. Ripening of the unfavorable cervix withextraamniotic catheter balloon: clinical experience and review.Obstet Gynecol Surv. 1996 Oct; 51(10): 621-627.

26. Barkai G, Cohen SB, Kees S, Lusky A, Margalit V, Mashiach S, Schiff E. Induction of labor with use of a Foley catheter and extraamniotic corticosteroids. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 1997 Nov; 177(5): 1145-1148.

27. Murphy BE, Pstrick J, Denton RL. Cortisol in amniotic fluid during human gestation. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 1975 Jan; 40(1): 164-167.

28. de Fencl M, Tulchinsky D. Total cortisol in amniotic fluid and fetal lung maturation. N Engl J Med. 1975 Jan 16; 292(3):133-137.

29. A.Sh. Zafarghandi1, N. Zafarghandi*2 and N. Baghaii1, Foley catheter cervical ripening with extraamniotic infusion of saline or corticosteroids: a double-blind, randomized controlled study Acta Medica Iranica, Vol. 42, No. 5 (2004).

Author Information

K. Pushpalatha, M.B.B.S, M.S (OBG)

Senior Research Associate/Pool officer Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, All India Institute of Medical Sciences New Delhi India.