Role of Molecular Targeted Therapy in Adult Gastrointestinal Stromal Tumors

G Karunanithi, P Sethi, K Reddy

Citation

G Karunanithi, P Sethi, K Reddy. *Role of Molecular Targeted Therapy in Adult Gastrointestinal Stromal Tumors*. The Internet Journal of Internal Medicine. 2008 Volume 8 Number 1.

Abstract

Gastrointestinal stromal tumors (GISTs) are the most common mesenchymal tumors of gastrointestinal tract. GISTs can occur anywhere along the GI tract but are most common in the stomach (50%) and small bowel (25%). Colon (10%), omentum / mesentery (7%), and esophagus (5%) are less common primary sites. Liver metastases and/or dissemination within the abdominal cavity are the usual clinical manifestations of malignancy. Lymph node metastases are extremely uncommon; its spread to the lungs or other extra-abdominal locations is also extremely rare. Survival has greatly improved since 2002, when imatinib mesylate was approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for unresectable, recurrent or metastatic gastrointestinal stromal tumors (GISTs). In January 2006, the FDA approved second-line use of sunitinib in patients with advanced GIST resistant or intolerant to imatinib. This review article discusses the optimal approach for care of patients with GIST and role of molecular targeted therapy in management of GIST.

INTRODUCTION

Gastrointestinal stromal tumors (GISTs) are the most common mesenchymal tumors of gastrointestinal tract, the neoplastic GIST cells appear to arise from a common precursor cell, which gives rise to the interstitial cells of Cajal in the normal myenteric plexus.(1) The median age of adults at diagnosis of GIST ranges from 66 to 69 years. (2,3) GISTs can occur anywhere along the GI tract but are most common in the stomach (50%) and small bowel (25%). Colon (10%), omentum / mesentery (7%), and esophagus (5%) are less common primary sites.(4) Liver metastases and/or dissemination within the abdominal cavity are the usual clinical manifestations of malignancy. (5) Lymph node metastases are extremely uncommon; its spread to the lungs or other extra-abdominal locations is also extremely rare. Clinically GIST patients present with either emergency presentation because of intra-abdominal hemorrhage, GI bleeding, perforation, or rarely bowel obstruction (i.e., acute abdomen); or large mass suspicious for GIST (abdominal swelling, upper GI bleeding) with or without symptoms (e.g., early satiety or fatigue due to anemia). Typically, a GIST appears as a solid hyperdense-enhancing mass on Contrast enhanced Computed tomography (CECT) scan. However, large GISTs (> 10 cm) are often more complex because of necrotic, hemorrhagic, or degenerating components. GISTs have a characteristic

immunohistochemical profile that is useful for confirming diagnosis. About 95% are positive for KIT (CD117), 60% to 70% for CD34, 30% to 40% for smooth muscle actin, 5% for S-100 protein, 1% to 2% for desmin, and 1% to 2% for keratin.(6) Approximately 80% of GISTs have an oncogenic mutation in the KIT tyrosine kinase. (7,8) Most of these mutations affect the juxtamembrane domain encoded by KIT exon 11, allowing spontaneous (ligand-independent) receptor dimerization and kinase activation. However, mutations also occur in exons 9, 13, and 17, and these may support constitutive KIT signaling through other mechanisms. A subset (5%-7%) of GISTs has an activating mutation in the KIT-homologous tyrosine kinase PDGFRA. (9,10) About 10% to 15% of GISTs are negative for KIT and PDGFRA gene mutations; these tumors are often referred to as wild-type GISTs. New immunomarkers that have been explored in the diagnosis of KIT-negative GISTs include protein kinase C theta (PKCtheta), PDGFRA, and DOG-1. (11-13)

MANAGEMENT RESPECTABLE DISEASE

All GISTs 2 cm in size or greater should be resected. Although a 2-cm cutoff is somewhat arbitrary, recent data suggest that it is reasonable. (14) The management of incidentally encountered GISTs less than 2 cm in size remains controversial.

UNRESECTABLE OR METASTATIC DISEASE ROLE OF CHEMOTHERAPY

In a large study of patients with metastatic GIST, the response rate to any cytotoxic chemotherapy regimen was 0%. (15) Other trials, which also included patients with the specific diagnosis of GIST, have reported very low objective response rates (0%-5%).(16,17) There is universal agreement that standard chemotherapy should not be used in patients with GIST as primary therapy. The median survival for patients with GIST who are treated with standard cytotoxic chemotherapy is generally less than 2 years (range, 14–18 months).

ROLE OF MOLECULAR TARGETED THERAPY IMATINIB MESYLATE

In 2002 imatinib mesylate was approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for Gastrointestinal stromal tumors (GISTs).(18) Imatinib mesylate is a selective, potent, small molecule inhibitor of a family of structurally related tyrosine kinase signaling enzymes, including (a) KIT; (b) the ABL family of tyrosine kinases, including the leukemiaspecific BCR-ABL chimera; and (c) PDGFR. In laboratory studies, imatinib inhibited proliferation of leukemic cells expressing BCR-ABL as well as both leukemia and GIST cells that harbored activated KIT.(19-21,22) Based on in vitro studies, the mutant isoforms of KIT that are commonly identified in primary GISTs are fully sensitive to the kinase inhibitor imatinib. (19-21) In contrast, GIST-associated mutation in PDGFRA confers complete resistance to this drug. (23, 24) Kinase genotype has predictive significance with regard to response to imatinib therapy. The presence of a KIT exon 11 mutation was the single best predictor of a favorable response to imatinib in the U.S.- Finnish B2222 phase II trial, the EORTC (European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer)- Australasian GI Trials Group phase III trial EORTCISG- AGITG trial), and the North American SWOG (Southwest Oncology Group) S0033 phase III trial. Correspondingly, patients with exon 11-mutant GIST have superior progression-free survival (PFS) and overall survival as compared with those with exon 9-mutant or wild-type tumor. (25,26) In Patients with unresectable disease, Imatinib can be considered as the firstline anticancer therapy until the optimal time for surgery (when the GIST becomes resectable and the chance of morbidity is acceptable), which can take as long as 6 to 12 months.(27) Maximal response is defined as no further

improvement between 2 successive CT scans. However, it is not always necessary to wait for a maximal response to perform surgery if the tumors are still responding to therapy. Data from the EORTC trial indicated that the median time to development of secondary resistance was about 2 years.(28) Thus, surgery (if planned) should be done before 2 years, and most experts would recommend discussing surgery after 6 to 12 months of disease stability or response. The therapeutic effect should be monitored using positron emission tomography (PET) or CT. The CT response criteria proposed by Choi et al. (29-31) use both tumor density and size to assess the response of GIST to TKI therapy. Choi et al.'s CT response evaluation criteria are described in Table 1. These criteria correlate much better with PET in predicting response to imatinib than do Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors (RECIST) criteria.(30,31) The two most important prognostic features of a primary tumor are its size and mitotic index. (6)

Figure 1

Table 1: Choi et al's Criteria for response evaluation with Computed Tomography

Response	Definition
Complete response	 Disappearance of all lesions No new lesions
Partial response	 A decrease in size of 10% or more OR a decrease in tumor density (HU) of 15% or more on CT No new lesions No obvious progression of nonmeasurable disease
Stable disease	 Does not meet criteria for complete response, partial response, or progression No symptomatic deterioration attributed to tumor progression
Progression of disease	 An increase in tumor size 10% or more AND does not meet criteria of partial response by tumor density (HU) on CT New lesions New intratumoral nodules or increase in the size of existing intratumoral tumor nodules

DOSE INTENSITY

What optimal dose of imatinib should be used to begin dosing for patients with advanced metastatic or unresectable GIST? Two separate phase III trials have been conducted using imatinib: a) by the North American Sarcoma Intergroup, consisting of U.S. cooperative oncology groups (SWOG, CALGB [Cancer and Leukemia Group B], ECOG [Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group]) and the National Cancer Institute of Canada (NCIC) Sarcoma Group; and b) by the EORTC Sarcoma Group aligned with AGITG and the Italian Sarcoma Group (ISG). Each one of these large phase III trials in patients with advanced GIST compared imatinib given orally at 2 different doses: 400 or 800 mg daily (given as split doses of 400 mg twice a day) in patients with metastatic or unresectable GIST. Both studies showed that the higher dose of imatinib was associated with more side effects than the lower dose. Both studies also showed equivalent response rates and overall survival for both dose levels.(32,33)The North American trial (Intergroup S0033) reported nearly identical response rates (49% vs. 48%, respectively), PFS at 12 months (71% vs. 70%), and 1-year overall survival (86% vs. 85%). The European and Australasian trial similarly showed no response advantage between doses (50% for 400 mg/d vs. 51% for 800 mg/d). The North American trial documented 25% versus 38% grade 3 toxicities and 7% versus 11% grade 4 toxicities in the low-dose versus high-dose arms, respectively.

The exon 11 advantage was not influenced by drug dose in either the EORTC-Australasian or the SWOG S0033 phase III trials. In contrast, PFS (but not overall survival) for the exon 9 genotypes in the EORTC-Australasian trial was statistically significantly better in the high dose arm (400 mg imatinib twice daily) compared with the standard dose arm (400 mg daily). In addition, the response rate after crossover from 400 mg daily to 400 mg twice daily imatinib was much higher among patients with exon 9-mutant GIST (57%) than among patients with exon 11-mutant tumors (7%). (26) The most common side effects reported in GIST patients receiving imatinib mesylate are fluid retention, diarrhea, nausea, fatigue, muscle cramps, abdominal pain, and rash. (15,33-35) Patients with large bulky tumors who are receiving imatinib may have a 5% risk of tumor hemorrhage not associated with thrombocytopenia. (15,34)Rarely, severe myelosuppression may occur sporadically, even in patients who were previously stable with chronic dosing; continued monitoring is medically necessary. (36) Potential drug interactions with imatinib are largely those that affect the cytochrome P450 isoenzyme 3A4 (CYP450 3A4). Various drugs may induce (rifampin or phenytoin) or inhibit (Grapefruit juice, pomegranate juice) CYP450 3A4 and thus have the potential to decrease or increase the plasma level of imatinib respectively. (37-41)

IMATINIB MESYLATE RESISTANCE

Imatinib resistance can be managed by increasing the dose of imatinib to 800 mg/day; however, the median time to progression is about 11 weeks. An alternative method of managing imatinib resistance is to switch patients directly to sunitinib from low-dose imatinib (400 mg/day).(42) In January 2006, the FDA approved second-line use of sunitinib in patients with advanced GIST resistant or intolerant to imatinib. Sunitinib malate (SU011248, Sutent) is an oral TKI that is less specific than imatinib mesylate. In addition to inhibiting KIT and PDGFR, sunitinib acts on vascular endothelial growth factor receptors (VEGFR1-3), Fms-like tyrosine kinase-3, colony-stimulating factor 1, and RET. Thus, sunitinib possesses potential antiangiogenic activity in addition to antitumor action related to receptor tyrosine kinase inhibition. Patients should remain on imatinib or sunitinib as long as possible; however, if the patient is no longer receiving clinical benefit from imatinib or sunitinib, then the drugs should be is continued and best supportive care used. To date, no other drugs have been approved for treatment of GIST. Various promising agents are in early or late phase testing, some aiming at similar pathways (e.g., sorafenib, AMG706, or nilotinib [AMN107]; which are more potent KIT and PDGFRA inhibitors) and some inhibiting novel targets (e.g., bevacizumab, which binds to VEGF, and IPI-504, which antagonizes heat shock protein 90).

References

 Nishida T, Hirota S. Biological and clinical review of stromal tumors n the gastrointestinal tract. Histol Histopathol 2000;15: 293–1301.
 Tryggvason G, Gislason HG, Magnusson MK, et al. Gastrointestinal stromal tumors in Iceland, 1990-2003: the Icelandic GIST study, population-based incidence and pathologic risk stratification sudy. Int J Cancer

2005;117:289–293. 3. Nilsson B, Bumming P, Meis-Kindblom JM, et al. Gastrointestinal stromal tumors: the incidence, prevalence, clinical course, and prognostication in the preimatinib mesylate era—a population based study in western Sweden. Cancer 2005;103:821–829.

4. Emory TS, Sobin LH, Lukes L, et al. Prognosis of gastrointestinal smooth-muscle (stromal) tumors: dependence on anatomic site. Am J Surg Pathol 1999;23:82–87.

 DeMatteo RP, Lewis JJ, Leung D, et al. Two hundred gastrointestinal stromal tumors: recurrence patterns and prognostic factors for survival. Ann Surg 2000;231:51–58.
 Fletcher C, Berman J, Corless C, et al. Diagnosis of gastrointestinal stromal tumors: a consensus approach. Hum Pathol 2002;33:459–465.

7. Corless CL, Fletcher JA, Heinrich MC. Biology of gastrointestinal stromal tumors. J Clin Oncol 2004;22:3813–3825.

8. Miettinen M, Lasota J. Gastrointestinal stromal tumors:

review on morphology, molecular pathology, prognosis, and differential diagnosis. Arch Pathol Lab Med 2006;30:1466–1478

9. Heinrich MC, Corless CL, Duensing A, et al. PDGFRA activating mutations in gastrointestinal stromal tumors. Science 2003;299:708–710.

10. Hirota S, Ohashi A, Nishida T, et al. Gain-of-function mutations of platelet-derived growth factor receptor alpha gene in gastrointestinal stromal tumors. Gastroenterology 2003;125:660–667.

11. Duensing A, Joseph NE, Medeiros F, et al. Protein kinase C theta (PKCtheta) expression and constitutive activation in gastrointestinal stromal tumors (GISTs). Cancer Res 2004;64:5127–5131.

12. Peterson MR, Piao Z, Weidner N, Yi ES. Strong PDGFRA positivity is seen in GISTs but not in other intraabdominal mesenchymal tumors: immunohistochemical and mutational analyses. Appl Immunohistochem Mol Morphol 2006:4:390-396.

13. West RB, Corless CL, Chen X, et al. The novel marker, DOG1, is expressed ubiquitously in gastrointestinal stromal tumors irrespective of KIT or PDGFRA mutation status. Am J Pathol 2004;165:107–113.

14. Miettinen M, Lasota J. Gastrointestinal stromal tumors: pathology and prognosis at different sites. Semin Diagn Pathol 2006;23:70–83.

15. Demetri G, von Mehren M, Blanke C, et al. Efficacy and safety of Imatinib mesylate in advanced gastrointestinal stromal tumors. N Engl J Med 2002;347:472-480.

16. Ryan DP, Puchalski T, Supko JG, et al. A phase II and pharmacokinetic study of ecteinascidin 743 in patients with gastrointestinal stromal tumors. Oncologist 2002;7:531–538. 17. Edmonson JH, Marks RS, Buckner JC, et al. Contrast of response to dacarbazine, mitomycin, doxorubicin, and cisplatin (DMAP) plus GM-CSF between patients with advanced malignant gastrointestinal stromal tumors and patients with other advanced leiomyosarcomas. Cancer Invest 2002;20:605–612.

Invest 2002;20:605–612. 18. Perez EA, Livingstone AS, Franceschi D, et al. Current incidence and outcomes of gastrointestinal mesenchymal tumors including gastrointestinal stromal tumors. J Am Coll Surg 2006;202:623–629.

19. Heinrich MC, Griffith DJ, Druker BJ, et al. Inhibition of c-kit receptor tyrosine kinase activity by STI 571, a selective tyrosine kinase inhibitor. Blood 2000;96:925–932.

20. Buchdunger E, Cioffi CL, Law N, et al. Abl proteintyrosine kinas inhibitor STI571 inhibits in vitro signal transduction mediated by c-kit and platelet-derived growth factor receptors. J Pharmacol Exper Thera 2000;295:139–145.

21. Tuveson DA, Willis NA, Jacks T, et al. STI571 inactivation of the gastrointestinal stromal tumor c-KIT oncoprotein: biological and clinical implications. Oncogene 2001;20:5054–5058.

22. Druker BJ, Tamura S, Buchdunger E, et al. Effects of a selective inhibitor of the Abl tyrosine kinase on the growth of Bcr-Abl positive cells. Nature Med 1996;2:561–566. 23. Heinrich MC, Corless CL, Duensing A, et al. PDGFRA activating mutations in gastrointestinal stromal tumors. Science 2003;299:708–710.

24. Hirota S, Ohashi A, Nishida T, et al. Gain-of-function mutations of platelet-derived growth factor receptor alpha gene in gastrointestinal stromal tumors. Gastroenterology 2003;125:660–667.

25. Heinrich MC, Corless CL, Demetri GD, et al. Kinase mutations and imatinib mesylate response in patients with metastatic gastrointestinal stromal tumor. J Clin Oncol 2003;21:4342–4349.

26. Debiec-Rychter M, Sciot R, Le Cesne A, et al. KIT mutations and dose selection for imatinib in patients with advanced gastrointestinal stromal tumours. Eur J Cancer 2006;42:1093–1103.

27. Bonvalot S, Eldweny H, Pechoux CL, et al. Impact of surgery on advanced gastrointestinal stromal tumors (GIST) in the Imatinib era. Ann Surg Oncol 2006;13:1596–1603. 28. Verweij J, Casali PG, Zalcberg J, et al. Progression-free survival in gastrointestinal stromal tumours with high-dose imatinib: randomized trial. Lancet 2004;364:1127-1134. 29. Choi HC, Macapinlac HA, Burgess MA, et al. Correlation of computerized tomography (CT) and proton emission tomography (PET) in patients with metastatic GIST treated at a single institution with imatinib mesylate. Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol 2003;22:819. Abstract 3290. 30. Choi H, Charnsangavej C, Faria SC, et al. Correlation of computed tomography (CT) and positron emission tomography (PET) in patients with metastatic GIST treated at a single institution with imatinib mesylate: proposal of new CT response criteria. J Clin Oncol 2007;25:1753-1759. 31. Choi H, Charnsangavej C, Faria SC, et al. CT evaluation of the response of gastrointestinal stromal tumors after imatinib mesylate treatment: a quantitative analysis correlated with FDG PET findings. Am J Roentgenol 2004;183:1619-1628.

32. Verweij J, van Oosterom A, Blay J, et al. Imatinib mesylate (STI-571 Glivec, Gleevec) is an active agent for gastrointestinal stromal tumours, but does not yield responses in other soft-tissue that are unselected for a molecular target. Results from an Soft Tissue and Bone Sarcoma Group phase II study. Eur J Cancer 2003;39:2006–2011.

33. Benjamin RS, Rankin C, Fletcher C, et al. Phase III dose-randomized study of imatinib mesylate (STI 571) for GIST: Intergroup S0033 early results [abstract]. Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol 2003;22:814.

34. Dagher R, Cohen M, Williams G, et al. Approval summary: Imatinib mesylate in the treatment of metastatic and/or unresectable malignant gastrointestinal stromal tumors. Clin Cancer Res 2002;8:3034–3038.

35. van Oosterom A, Judson I, Verweij J, et al. Safety and efficacy of imatinib (STI571) in metastatic gastrointestinal stromal tumours: a phase I study. Lancet 2001;358:1421–1423.

2001;358:1421–1423.
36. van Oosterom A, Judson I, Verweij J, et al. Update of phase I study of imatinib (STI571) in advanced soft tissue sarcomas and gastrointestinal stromal tumors: a report of t

sarcomas and gastrointestinal stromal tumors: a report of the EORTC Soft Tissue and Bone Sarcoma Group. Eur J Cancer 2002;38:83–87.

37. Frye RF, Fitzgerald SM, Lagattuta TF, et al. Effect of St John's wort on imatinib mesylate pharmacokinetics. Clin Pharmacol Ther 2004;76:323–329.

38. Dutreix C, Peng B, Mehring G, et al. Pharmacokinetic interaction between ketoconazole and imatinib mesylate (Glivec) in healthy subjects. Cancer Chemother Pharmacol 2004;54:290–294.

39. de Groot JW, Zonnenberg BA, Plukker JT, et al. Imatinib induces hypothyroidism in patients receiving levothyroxine. Clin Pharmacol Ther 2005;78:433–438.
40. Bolton AE, Peng B, Hubert M, et al. Effect of rifampicin on the pharmacokinetics of imatinib mesylate (Gleevec, STI571) in healthy subjects. Cancer Chemother Pharmacol 2004;53:102–106.

41. O'Brien SG, Meinhardt P, Bond E, et al. Effects of imatinib mesylate (STI571, Glivec) on the pharmacokinetics of simvastatin, a cytochrome P450 3A4 substrate, in patients with chronic myeloid leukaemia. Br J Cancer 2003;89:1855–1859.

42. Demetri GD, van Oosterom AT, Garrett CR, et al. Efficacy and safety of sunitinib in patients with advanced

gastrointestinal stromal tumour after failure of imatinib: a randomised controlled trial. Lancet 2006;368:1329–1338.

Author Information

Gunaseelan Karunanithi, MD Senior Resident, Department of Radiotherapy

Pooja Sethi, MD Senior Resident, Department of Radiotherapy

K Sathyanarayana Reddy, MD

Director Professor & Head, Department of Radiotherapy