Patient's Safety Information Available On Drug Package Inserts Used In Neuroanesthesia

M Tayyem, M Said Maani Takrouri

Citation

M Tayyem, M Said Maani Takrouri. *Patient's Safety Information Available On Drug Package Inserts Used In Neuroanesthesia*. The Internet Journal of Anesthesiology. 2008 Volume 19 Number 2.

Abstract

Background: Information on drug inserts is an important for patients and physicians regarding the safety and proper administration of a drug. Recent studies have found that key information regarding drug's safety are still missing. The aim of this study was to ascertain whether or not inserts, in King Fahad Medical City (KFMC) Riyadh, of neuroanesthesia drugs were deficient with respect to safety and efficacy. Method: Study evaluated the inserts of 48 medications used in routine neuroanesthesia practice in the department against a set of three safety criteria Drug interactions information, Hypersensitivity and general precautions. Results: Inserts were variable in several safety categories for neurosurgical patients, Drug interaction was mentioned in 76%, Hypersensitivity in 76% and general precaution in variable health conditions were in 82% if the drug inserts. The quality of information was poor in drugs coming from developing countries versus those drugs coming from North America and Europe. 90% of inserts were directed to medical staff, which is going to use it. Only 10% had information directed to patient directly or indirectly through physician warning Conclusion: The pharmaceutical industry should address this as well as implement the patient safety of dangerous drugs, depending on available animal or human studies.

INTRODUCTION

In 2006, U.S.A.'s Food and Drug Administration (FDA) unveiled a major revision to the format of prescription drug information, appearing on the package insert, to give healthcare professionals clear and concise prescribing information. It is intended to have the most up-to-date information in an easy-to-read form that draws physician and patient attention to the most important drug information before a product is prescribed.

Pharmaceutical preparations package insert is an essential feature of drug packaging. It is present in most of the medicines; [1]. It is considered as the primary source of information for health care providers about drugs [2]. Package's insert is also, a legally required document intended to inform the user of the approved and off label uses of the drug, its dose and any contraindications or adverse effects [1]. Mostly, it is an effective mean to communicate about the risks of drugs [3], and it has an important impact on patients compliance and thus on the ultimate effectiveness of drug use [4]. To achieve its goals, the drug's insert should be clear and comprehensible to convey the intended use of the product, provide an adequate directions for use, warn against potential harmful effects and

provide instructions for appropriate length of treatment and when to seek medical advice [5]. Neuroanesthesia used drugs may interact with other anesthetic drugs and any other drugs the patient is taken in the perioperative period. The neuroanesthesia drugs are usually used by medical and nursing staff of the hospital and not the patient self administered. So it has to be seen if their insert have enough information to alert the practitioner about such precautions of use.

Some studies appeared in recent months' investigation studying the general qualities of drug insert qualities regarding safety precautions and it demonstrated to be inadequate. Recently a publication from our department prepared to examine the anesthesia drug insert's qualities of information in our hospital [6]. In this paper a report on the quality of patient safety information contained in drugs inserts used in Neuroanesthesia.

Drug interactions (DI), represent one of the most common forms of adverse drug related events but widely under-recognized source of medical errors [78910]. Although, some drug interactions can also be beneficial, they can be harmful either by increasing the toxicity of a drug or by reducing its

efficacy [1011]. Thus, the consequences of being exposed to an interaction are not trivial, and it has enormous impact on total patient care including the risk of increased hospitalization [1213]. Preventable drug interactions account for about one third of adverse drug effects but incur about one half of the total adverse effect costs [710]. It is imperative to say that anesthesia practice depends in part on the proper use of such beneficial DI like sedation and analgesia or hypnotic effect of groups of drugs. Still the dangerous DI should be prevented otherwise it may set-in.

Several studies found between 2.2% and 70.3% patients may be affected by potential DI [$_{11}$]. Other studies have reported that the incidence of DI ranges from 3% to 30% [$_{8}$]. Again, a number of studies have also estimated the incidence of potential DI in 20-30% of patients with clinically relevant interactions at 4-10% [$_{81415}$]. Although, not all drug interactions are clinically significant, it is important to be alert for those that are. But, it is impossible to remember all the known important drug interactions; however, knowledge of the main types of DI will act as a useful alert when prescribing [$_{11}$]. To treat patients in a competent and safe manner, some awareness of the DI issue and some means of detecting DI are essential [$_{13}$]..

In a recent study on DI [15] in a developing country it was found that no adequate information on DI is available in spite that drug package inserts are likely to be of great importance in the developing countries, where electronic drug alert systems, especially computer-assisted detection of drug interactions are virtually absent. From healthcare professionals to the patients, drug inserts provide most of the information relating to adverse drug reactions, which can be lifesaving [17]. This study conducted an analytical study to explore the extent and nature of information presented in neuroanesthesia drug package inserts of most commonly drugs used at King Fahad Medical City (KFMC) in Riyadh KSA. We particularly emphasized on DI, hypersensitivity and neurological precautions of use.

METHODS

SAMPLE SELECTION

The basic list of drugs supply used routinely during neuroanesthesia was selected for the study. The drug package's insert was read and analyzed to verify the three items related to patient safety namely the DI, Hypersensitivity and precautions of use. Package inserts of the selected products were obtained from the drug packages available with the presented drugs or from general store of

Hospital pharmacy for hospital packs, we could not perform randomization of samples. For this we gathered a small convenience sampling of 48 package-inserts from different drug manufacturers during October 15 th, 2007 to March 15 th 2008. Repeated inserts for the same drugs were excluded from the study.

DATA ANALYSIS

The collected package inserts of different brands were sorted out according to individual drug scientific name and class. Then information were examined and analyzed to obtain necessary information by the Authors [Graduates certified anesthesiologists]. Drug inserts information was enlisted in the pre-formulated table of a personal computer. The information was further cross-checked with the help of the available published and retrievable literatures to determine any substantial omission and consistency of information in the collected package inserts. Descriptive statistical analysis was performed using Microsoft ® Excel 2007 version Windows XP Professional.

RESULTS

Of the total (n = 48) package inserts, 37 (77%) contained some information on DI while 11 (23%) did not include any. Also 37 (77%) contained information on contraindications in case of known hypersensitivity and 29 (60%) contained list of precautions concerning concurrent diseases or conditions. Number of package inserts containing precautions information was greater for narcotics, intravenous anesthetic agents, inhalational anesthetic agents and local anesthesia agents' injections. A summary of drug package inserts containing information on DI, hypersensitivity and specific precautions appear in (Table 1).

Figure 1
Table 1: Breakdown number of package inserts information [N 50 (100%)]

| | With information | No information |
|------------------------|------------------|----------------|
| Type of Information | N (%) | N (%) |
| Drug interactions (DI) | 36 (75) | 12 (25) |
| Hypersensitivity | 36 (75) | 12 (25) |
| Precautions | 29 (60) | 19 (40) |

Textbook's information on DI was not mentioned except in 4 drugs (8%). But none mentioned any rate of occurrence or morbidity of these incidences. Also, there were no statements specifying the DI as dangerous or potential or clinically significant in those package inserts. In most of the cases, no mechanisms were stated to avoid or to reduce the

| cidences of common DI. A short description of the | information on DI extracted from the drug package inser have been provided in the table 2. |
|---|---|
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

Figure 2

Table 2: Types of precautions for drug actions presented in the package inserts. Characteristics of Precautions concerning CNS in (29 ubsert 60%) Anesthetic Drugs Package Inserts

| Effects on C | Name of drugs |
|--|----------------------|
| lower effect on CNS because blood -brain barrier passage is limit | Glycopytrolate |
| Tremor, fatigue, drowsiness, ataxia, mental confusion or excitement, dizzin | Atropine |
| 20-30% transient decrease in CBF, reduction in cerebral oxygen utilizat proportional to reduction in CBF, in patients with and without intracranial spoccupying lesions, etomidate induction is followed by moderate lowering ICP, lasting several minut | Etomidate |
| Administration of propofol in epileptic patients may also increase rest seizures, not recommended for ECT, special care should be taken in patie with increase ICP and low atterial pressure, because of risk of signific decrease of intra cerebral perfusion pressu | Propofol |
| Precautions increases cerebrospinal fluid pressure has been reported follow ketamin administration, use with extreme cautions in patients with p anesthetic elevated CSF pressure, side effect: enhance skeletal muscle tone n be manifested by tonic and clonic movemen | Ketamine |
| Dosage for cerebral hypertension, convulsive state treatm | Sodium Thiopental |
| Neurological damages rare it causes localized area of paraesthesia or anesthe motor weakness, loss of sphincter control and paraple | Bupivacaine (spinal) |
| Overdosage effect on CNS (convulsions, unconsciousness, coma, respirate depression up to and including respiratory arrest and sho | Lignocaine |
| precautions in patients with increased I | Isoflurane |
| In patients with normal ICP, it has minimal effect on ICP and preserved C responsiveness, the safety of sevoflurane has not been investigated in patie with raised ICP, In patients with risk for increased ICP it should be administed cautiously in conjunction with ICP-reducing mannewers such hyperventilation. Convulsion may occur extremely rarely following sevoflur administration particularly in children. | Sevoflurane |
| contraindicated in head injury and increased I | Morphine |
| should be avoided in patients with increased ICP or in those with convuls states such as status epilepti | Meperidine |
| use of rapid bolus injections should be avoided in patients with compromi intra-cerebral compliance because transient decrease in MAP has occasions been accompanied by a short lasting reduction of the CPP. Central a peripheral nervous system disorder reported in association with intraven Fentanyl | Fentany4 |
| headache (very common) dizziness (uncommon), tremor (very ra | Hydralayzine |
| Headache due to cerebral vasodilatation and is dose dependent and usuregress after a few days under continued thera | Nitroglycerine |
| extra Pyramidal symptoms, use of metochlopramide may mask the clini picture of underlined disorders such as cerebral initat | Metochlopramide |
| Confusion, dizziness, nightmares, mood chang | Propranotol |
| Confusion, dizziness, nightmares, mood chang | Metoprolol |
| dizziness 3 % of patients, som nolence 3%, confusion, headache, and agitation 2% of patiens, seizure reported in $<\!1$ % of patiens with one de | Esmolol |
| Dizziness, headache and occasional seizu | Verapamil |
| Headache visual disturbances and psycho | Digoxin |
| Ataxia very common > 10%, common > 1% to <10% headache, dizzino | Amiodarone |
| It has a very rapid sedative and sleep-including action of pronounced intens It also exerts anxiolytic, anticonvulsant and muscle relaxant effect, overdos lead to coma, arefle | Midazolam |
| Seizure has been reported in pts known to suffer from epilepsy or severe heps impairme | Flumazenil |
| increase ICP, Pseudomotor cerebri, convulsions, psychic derangements rang from euphoria, insomnia, mood swi | Methyprednisone |
| increase ICP, Pseudomotor cerebri, convulsions, psychic derangements rang from euphoria, insomnia, mood swi | Hydroconisone |
| for treatment of cerebral edema 10 ml IV followed by 4 mg every 6 ho | Dexamithasone |
| | |

The qualities of the information were evaluated into three classes Excellent, Adequate and Poor. These evaluations do not represent the quality of the drug but the comparative

thoroughness of information some drugs insert contained. All excellent information were included in drug inserts coming from USA and Europe. Table 3.

Figure 3

Table 3: Qualities of information and the country of origin of the drugs presented in the package inserts.

| Classification | Frequency n (%) | Country of origin of the drugs |
|----------------|-----------------|---|
| Excellent | 28 (58.3) | USA, UK, France, Belgium, Italy, Switzerland, Finland, Austria, Germany |
| Adequate | 14 (29.2) | KSA, UAE, UK, Jordan Egypt |
| Poor | 06(12.5) | Jordan, Egypt, UK, Italy Belgium |

All information were targeting medical and nursing staff in 90% of insert some 10% has included instruction to the patient either directly or as warning given by the prescribing physician to be informed to the patient.

DISCUSSION

This study demonstrates that the drug package inserts analyzed did include valuable information on DI, hypersensitivity and general precautions in 76% and 82% respectively. The statement- "No significant drug-drug interactions have been observed" was reported, so we considered this as positive sign that DI was considered.

In most countries, drug package inserts should provide all the necessary information in correct and easily understandable form for safe and effective use. The information should be unbiased, should not hide anything [1]. Culminating evidence from developing countries shows that package inserts often contains minimized adverse drug reactions [18]. Drug package inserts also contained either curtailed or no information on important DI or safety precaution. which is an important aspect of overall drug safety [19]. The present study found incomprehensive records of potential DI in the inserts of the most common drug.

CONCLUSION

It seems that the drugs available as imported drugs have variable information in drug inserts. Some do not address fully the patient safety requirements. Improvement should follow the international tendencies to improve the information on drug inserts to improve safety of the patients.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This paper is based on poster presentation entitled; Information of Anesthetic Drugs Insert Regarding Anesthetic Drugs Interactions and Precautions in Neuroanesthesia, at the First International Neuroanesthesia Symposium (FINAS).

We would like to mention the continuous support of Dr Assad Al Assad chairman od operation Theater Administration for his support and anesthesia technicians in neuroanesthesia for collecting drug inserts needed for the study.

CORRESPONDENCE TO

Maher Mohammad Tayyem MD, MS Anesthesia. CJBA Department of Anaesthesia King Fahad Medical City, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia e-mail: dr_mahertay@hotmail.com

References

- 1. . Bansal V, Dhamija P, Medhi B, Pandhi P. Package inserts-do they have any role? JK-Practitioner 2006; 13(3):152-154.
- 2. Saito M, Hirata-Koizumi M, Urano T, Miyake S, Hasegawa R. A literature search on pharmacokinetic drug interactions of statins and analysis of how such interactions are reflected in package inserts in Japan. J clin pharm Ther 2005; 30(1):21-37. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2710.2004.00605.

 3. Jungermann H, Schütz H, Thüring M. Mental Models in
- Risk Assessment: Informing People About Drugs. Risk Analysis 1988; 8(1):147-155.

doi:10.1111/j.1539-6924.1988.tb01161.

- 4. Fuchs J, Hippius M, Schaefer M. Int J Clin Pharmacol Ther 2006; 44(1): 8-13
- 5. Friedman CP, Romeo D, Hinton SS. Healthcare decisions and product labeling: results of a consumer comprehension study of prototype labeling for proposed over the counter cholestyramine. Am J Med 1997; 102:50-56.
- 6. Mohamad Said Maani Takrour & Maher Mohammad Tayyem: Patient's Safety Information Available On Anesthesia Drug Package Inserts: The Internet Journal of Anesthesiology. 2008; Volume 16, Number 2.
- 7. Goldstein JN, Jaradeh IE, Jhawar P, Stair TO. ED Drug-Drug Interactions: Frequency & Type, Potential & Actual, Triage & Discharge. Internet J Emerg Intensive Care Med 2005; 8(2).

http://www.ispub.com/ostia/index.php?xmlFilePath=journals/ijeicm/vol8n2/drugs.xml (accessed April 20, 2007).

- 8. Hohl CM, Dankoff J, Colacone A, Afilalo M. Polypharmacy, adverse drug-related events, and potential adverse drug interactions in elderly patients presenting to an emergency department. Ann Emerg Med 2001; 38:666-71.

 9. Saltiel E, Fask A. Prevalence of potential proton-pump
- inhibitor drug interactions: A retrospective review of prescriptions in community pharmacies. Clin Ther 1999; 21(10): 1812-1819
- 10. Johnson MD, Newkirk G, White JR. Clinically significant drug interactions. What you need to know before writing prescriptions. Postgrad Med 1999; 105 (2). http://www.postgradmed.com/issues/1999/02_99/johnson.ht m (accessed April 20, 2007).
- 11. The National Prescribing Centre (UK). Drug interactions in general practice. MeReC Bull 1999; 10(4):13-16
 12. Malone DC, Abarca J, Hansten PD, Grizzle AJ, Armstrong EP, Van Bergen RC et al. Identification of Serious Drug-Drug Interactions: Results of the Partnership to Prevent Drug-Drug Interactions. J Am Pharm Assoc 2004;

- 44(2):142-151
- 13. Sandson N. Drug-Drug Interactions: The Silent Epidemic. Psychiatr Serv 2005; 56(1):22-24.
 14. Gaddis GM, Holt TR, Woods M. Drug interactions in at-
- risk emergency department patients. Acad Emerg Med 2002; 9:1162-7.
- 15. Heininger-Rothbucher D, Bischinger S, Ulmer H, Pechlaner C, Speer G, Wiedermann CJ. Incidence and risk of potential adverse drug interactions in the emergency room. Resuscitation 2001; 49:283-8.
- 16. Mohammad Saidul Islam, Sharmin Shams Farah: Availability And Characteristics Of Information On Drug-
- Durg Interactions In The Drug Package Inserts: An Experience From Bangladesh . The Internet Journal of Health. 2007. Volume 6 Number 1
- 17. Worstpills.org. Protecting Yourself and Your Family from Preventable Drug-induced Injury. http://www.worstpills.org/public/page.cfm?op_id=45

- (accessed April 20, 2007).

 18. Menkes DB. Hazardous drugs in developing countries [Editorials]. BMJ 1997; 315:1557-1558.
- 19. Fish DN. Fluoroquinolone Adverse Effects and Drug Interactions. Pharmacotherapy 2001; 21(10s):253s-272s

Author Information

Maher Mohammad Tayyem, MD, MS Anesthesia. CJBA

Department of Anaesthesia, King Fahad Medical City

Mohamad Said Maani Takrouri, MB, ChB, FRCA

Department of Anaesthesia, King Fahad Medical City