

Hemodynamic And Pulmonary Changes During Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy

Y Cenk, S A Esra, S Betul, D Sibel, G Zeliha, G C Melek

Citation

Y Cenk, S A Esra, S Betul, D Sibel, G Zeliha, G C Melek. *Hemodynamic And Pulmonary Changes During Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy*. The Internet Journal of Anesthesiology. 2015 Volume 34 Number 1.

DOI: [10.5580/IJA.33630](https://doi.org/10.5580/IJA.33630)

Abstract

Objective: The changes in hemodynamic and cardiopulmonary parameters resulting from CO₂ pneumoperitoneum (PP) and reverse Trendelenburg position (RTP) in laparoscopic cholecystectomy (LC) operations were investigated.

Material and Method: 30 subjects scheduled for LC were included in this study. The parameters measured were mean arterial pressure (MAP), heart rate (HR), peripheral oxygen saturation (SpO₂), peak inspiratory pressure (PIP), end tidal carbon dioxide pressure (ETCO₂), central venous pressure (CVP), cardiac output (CO), stroke volume (SV), stroke volume variation (SVV), systemic vascular resistance (SVR), oxygen delivery (DO₂) by FloTrac-Vigileo system and arterial blood gases and arterial alveolar oxygen pressure ratio (PO₂(a/A)). The measurements were recorded before insufflation (BIS), at 5 minutes of insufflation (IS) and at 5 minutes of reverse Trendelenburg position (RTP), before desufflation (BDS) and after desufflation (ADS).

Results: MAP-IS and MAP-ADS were significantly high compared to MAP-BIS ($p<0.05$), CO-IS and values were significantly low compared to CO-BIS values, same parameters in ADS period were significantly high compared to the values of IS ($p<0.05$), SV-ADS were significantly high compared to the values of IS period ($p<0.05$), SVR-IS- SVR-RTP and SVR-BDS were significantly high from BIS values, same parameters of BDS and ADS were significantly low compared to IS values ($p<0.05$).

Conclusion: It is concluded that IAP increase resulting from CO₂ insufflation was responsible for hemodynamic and cardiopulmonary changes observed with a semi-invasive monitoring system during LC but RTP was not so responsible in these changes.

INTRODUCTION

In 1980s, the implementation of LC with CO₂ PP started a new area in bile stone surgeries and currently, have become the standard in the treatment of symptomatic cholelithiasis in worldwide [1].

LC has advantages of decreased postoperative pain, short stay in hospital, early return to normal physical activity and good cosmetic results, however it may have negative effects on hemodynamic and cardiopulmonary system due to IAP increase caused by PP resulting from CO₂ insufflation to abdominal cavity and RTP to achieve sufficient surgical view [2,3]. Although it has been proved as safe, several clinical studies have demonstrated that PP may be harmful in cases of cardiovascular instability, hypercapnia, acidemia, gas embolism, decreased hepatic and renal flow and increased intracranial pressure [4]. The increased intraabdominal pressure may impair venous return and

cardiac output, RTP improves the view of the surgical field however, venous return is decreased in anesthetized patients [2]. Invasive monitoring have been performed in healthy patients to investigate the combined effects of anesthesia, reverse Trendelenburg and peritoneal insufflation in abdominal laparoscopy, and up to 50 % decrease in cardiac index has been reported [5].

In addition, increases of abdominal pressure and volume impede diaphragmatic movement, decrease functional residual capacity and alveolar dead space. Rise in peak airway pressures and decrease in pulmonary compliance may trigger the risk of hypoxemia and hypercarbia[4,6].

In this study, the changes in cardiac and pulmonary parameters resulted as an outcome of IAP increase resulting from CO₂ PP and RTP in patients undergoing LC operations were investigated with semi-invasive monitoring system

using arterial pressure waveform analysis.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

This prospective study was conducted in 30 subjects (ASA I, II) who were scheduled for LC. Ethical committee approval and informed consents were obtained. Subjects who would have a problem due to an increase of IAP were not included in the study. The cases in which the operation started laparoscopically and continued with laparotomy, and subjects who needed IAP implementation over 14 mmHg were also excluded from the study.

Demographic data of the subjects were recorded. IV access was performed and crystalloid 500 mL bolus was given to all subjects, and continued as 10 ml/kg for volume replacement. Baseline MAP, HR and SpO₂ (peripheral oxygen saturation) (GE Datex-Ohmeda S/5 Avance) monitoring data were recorded.

Fentanyl 10 µg.kg⁻¹ and midazolam 0.025 mg/kg were performed for premedication and thiopental 5 mg/kg and rocuronium 0.5 mg/kg were induced, anesthesia was maintained with O₂-N₂O 50%-50% and sevoflurane 1-2%. Mechanical ventilation (GE Datex-Ohmeda S/5 Avance) was set to achieve 6 l/min air flow, 8ml/kg tidal volume, +5 cmH₂O PEEP and 12/min respiratory rate.

ETCO₂ monitoring was initiated after induction, radial artery cannulation and basilic vein catheterization (Cavafix, Certo 375 Braun 16 G) were performed and Vigileo monitor was connected with FloTrac sensor to artery line. Before insufflation MAP, HR, SpO₂, PIP, PETCO₂, SVP, CO, CI, SV, SVI, SVV, SVR, SVRI, DO₂, DO₂I measurements in supine position and PaO₂, PaCO₂, pH, HCO₃⁻, SaO₂, PO₂(a/A) (Radiometer ABL 725 device) values from blood gas sample were recorded. The measurements were recorded before insufflation, at 5 minutes of insufflation, and at 5 minutes of reverse Trendelenburg position, before desufflation and after desufflation.

Subjects included in the study were planned to have a maximum IAP of 14 mmHg (Endoscopy Device, Richarow-Wolf 5520-5124).

Reduction of SpO₂ below 95% was considered as desaturation and increase of tidal volume was planned. Increase of ETCO₂ value above 40 mmHg was considered as hypercarbia and respiratory rate was increased. The operation time was recorded also.

Statistical evaluation were performed by statistical analysis

SPSS 16.0 for Windows program. Definitive statistics, two-way multivariate variance analysis and Tukey HSD multicomparative method for multiple comparisons were used as analysis methods. P<0.05 was considered as the statistically significant.

RESULTS

Age, body mass index, average operation time and gender with ASA physical status distributions of the subjects are presented in Table 1.

Table 1

Demographic data, ASA and operation lengths of subjects MAP measurements of IS and ADS were significantly high compared to BIS values (p<0.05) (Figure 1); no significant difference were detected between measurement points in HR and CVP values (p>0.05) (Table 2).

CO and CI measurements of IS period showed a significant decrease compared to BIS values, however ADS values were significantly high compared to IS values (p<0.05), SV and SVI values of ADS were significantly high compared to IS values (p<0.05), SVV values of ADS were found significantly high compared to BDS values (p<0.05), SVR values of IS, RTP and BDS were significantly high compared to BIS values, SVR-BDS and SVR-ADS values were found significantly low compared to SVR-IS values (p<0.05), SVRI-IS values were significantly high compared to SVRI-BIS values while SVRI-RTP, SVRI-BDS and SVRI-ADS values were significantly low compared to SVRI-IS values (p<0.05), DO₂-IS values were significantly low compared to DO₂BIS values (p<0.05) and no significant difference was detected between DO₂I values of the intervals (p>0.05) (Table 2, 3).

Table 2

SAP, DAP, MAP, HR, SVP, CO and CI values according to measurement times of subjects (mean±std)

Table 3

SV, SVI, SVV, SVR, SVRI, DO₂ and DO₂I values according to measurement times of subjects (mean±std) SpO₂ of values ADS were significantly high compared with values of BDS (p<0.05), SpO₂ was not below 97% in any subject, PIP and PETCO₂ values of IS, RTP, BDS and ADS were significantly high compared to BIS values, while PIP-BDS were significantly high compared with PIP-IS values, PIP-ADS values were significantly low, a significant increase was detected in PIP values of BDS and RTP compared to ADS-PIP values (p<0.05), PETCO₂ was not detected above 40 mmHg in any case (Table 4).

Table 4

PIP, PETCO₂, PaO₂, PaCO₂, pH, SaO₂ and PO₂(a/A) values according to measurement times of subjects (mean+std)

Significant reductions were detected in PaO₂ values of RTP, BDS and ADS compared to BIS values, PaO₂-IS and PaO₂-BDS values were found significantly high compared to PaO₂-ADS value ($p < 0.05$). There was no difference between measurements of PaCO₂ and HCO₃⁻ values ($p > 0.05$), HCO₃⁻ values ranged between 17-29.1 mmol/l, pH values of BDS and ADS were significantly low compared to values of IS ($p < 0.05$), SaO₂ values of BIS, IS and RTP were found significantly high compared to ADS values ($p < 0.05$), no significant difference was detected between PO₂(a/A) values in any period ($p > 0.05$) (Table 4).

DISCUSSION

Hemodynamic and cardiopulmonary changes occurred during LC depend on the current health status of the patient, the anesthesia technique, several surgical factors such as IAP, the absorption of CO₂, the position of the patient and the length of the surgical procedure [7]. Besides these changes, the specific potential risk factors related to LC (visceral injury, difficulty in detecting the amount of blood loss) require full cardiac and respiratory monitoring of the patients.

FloTrac-Vigileo system was preferred for cardiac monitoring in our study as it is less invasive and more applicable compared to pulmonary artery catheterization and other methods for arterial pressure wave analysis. This recently developed device offers the possibility of uncalibrated continuous CO measurement based on arterial waveform analysis [8]. It is noted that in less compromised patients, FloTrac sensor requires reliable CO calculation [9].

The effect of IAP increase on cardiac functions depends on intravascular volume, level of IAP and position of the patient. In animal experiments, an increase of 5 mmHg in IAP caused an increase in CO in hypervolemic animals due to the increase of average systemic pressure and venous return; in contrast, the increase in average systemic pressure caused a decrease in CO in hypo and normovolemic animals because of the dominance of vena cava compression [10,11]. Backlund et al. reported that iv volume replacement was important in subjects who underwent laparoscopic surgery and 10 ml/kg fluid replacement increased CO of subjects in response to CO₂ insufflation [12].

Hemodynamic effects of PP depends on the status of the

subjects as well as IAP level. In a study conducted by Dexter et al. in which insufflation pressures of 7 and 15 mmHg were compared in LC cases, HR and MAP increased similarly in both groups, however SV and CO significantly decreased in the high pressure group [13]. In a study conducted by Rishimani et al., while MAP was increased 41.15% with 14 mmHg and 24.94% with 6 mmHg, HR remained constant in both IAP values [14].

Subjects are usually positioned in RTP to facilitate surgical view during laparoscopy however, these positional changes may effect hemodynamic functions. Berg et al. conducted a study in LC cases and identified that CO₂ insufflation resulted in increases of 21% in MAP, 12% in HR and over 200% in CVP in supine position, and with RTP, they detected increases of 28% in MAP, 18% in HR [15].

In a study of Hirnoven et al. significant reduction in pulmonary artery and pulmonary capillary wedge pressure (PCWP), CVP, CO, CI and SV, SVI in supine position and significant increases in SVR and SVRI in RTP were detected in awake subjects. In patients under anesthesia, similar effects were observed with increases in HR in RTP. Compared to BIS values of supine position, significant increases in HR, CVP, pulmonary artery pressure, PCWP, SVR and SVRI, and decreases in CI and SVI were detected with PP (IAP 13-16 mmHg) and RTP. As a result, Hirnoven et al. proved that RTP alone highly affected the venous return without an IAP increase and caused an increase in SVR and a decrease in other hemodynamic parameters [2].

In a similar study by Joris et al., MAP and CI were significantly decreased with anesthesia induction, a decrease of 17% in MAP and 14% in CI due to the decrease in cardiac preload was detected with RTP and insufflation pressure of 14 mmHg resulted with an increase in HR, CVP, MAP by 37%, in SVR by %65, in pulmonary vascular resistance up to 90% and an apparent decrease in CI up to 50% in RTP. In the same study, it was suggested that increased SVR and PCWP were accompanied with increased MAP as a result of CO₂ PP [5].

Another study by Joris et al. showed that while minimum reduction in MAP and an apparent reduction in CO were seen after induction of anesthesia, an apparent reduction in PCWP occurred with changing into RTP followed by a further decrease in CO and MAP, more reduction in CO at 5 min of insufflation, right atrial pressure and MAP increased. An evident increase was detected in SVR, pulmonary vascular resistance (PVR) and PCWP. All hemodynamic

parameters returned to preoperative values in ADS period. Moreover, it was proved that a sudden increase was seen in vasopressin level at 5 min of insufflation while epinephrine, norepinephrine, renin and cortisol levels increased during laparoscopy and it was suggested that the changes in MAP and SVR occurred with the effect of neurohumoral factors as well as the mechanical effect of the IAP increase. Joris et al. detected decrease of DO₂ parallel to the significant decreases in CO in the same study [16].

In the current study increases in MAP, SVR and SVRI values along with decreases in CO, CI, SV, SVI, DO₂ and DO₂I values were seen without any changes in HR, CVP and SVV values with insufflation. Although arterial pressures increased, the detected decrease in cardiac parameters suggested that preloading with crystalloid preoperatively did not achieve adequate hydration. Unlike several studies, subjects positioned into RTP did not present prominent hemodynamic changes; no significant decrease occurred in MAP values; HR, SVP, SV, SVI and SVV values remained stable; CO, CI, DO₂ and DO₂I values showed mild increases while SVR and SVRI values moderately decreased. BDS values were similar with RTP. With the discontinuation of CO₂ insufflation the values of CO, CI, SVR, SVRI DO₂ and DO₂I returned to baseline values, and DAP and MAP increased, SV and SVI reached the highest levels during the study period. These changes observed in ADS period are related to hyperdynamic circulation resulting from cease of partial compression on large abdominal veins. The increases and decreases in DO₂ value were parallel to CO and CI. Elevated CO₂ directly caused myocardial depression and vasodilation. In addition to it sympathetic stimulation caused tachycardia and systemic vasoconstriction.

The effect of IAP increase on pulmonary functions depends on abdominal expansion and movement of diaphragm cephalad, that is related to the level of insufflation pressure; thus intrathoracic pressure is increased, pulmonary basal segments are subjected to compression and expansion of the lungs is restricted. Pulmonary compliance is decreased by up to 50% and peak inspiratory pressures are increased, vital capacity is decreased, however these pathophysiological changes return to normal values with desufflation [3, 17].

Volpino et al. did not detect changes in systemic blood pressure and HR during PP and RTP in their cases. No changes were observed in SaO₂ and PaO₂ values, however peak air way pressure and PETCO₂ increased without statistically significance, pulmonary compliance decreased,

pH also decreased significantly and significant increases were detected in PaCO₂ and PO₂(A-a) [18].

In a study by Rishimani et al., airway pressure and ET-CO₂ have increased by 44.73% and 20.5% respectively, with 14 mmHg IAP and 10.2% and 10.6% respectively, with 6 mmHg IAP, and no change has occurred in SaO₂ with both IAP. ET-CO₂ has increased 50% less with IAP of 6 mmHg compared with IAP of 14 mmHg without any change in ventilation modes [14].

Galizia et al. have detected an increase up to 109% in PIP after 12 mmHg CO₂ PP, increases in PETCO₂ and higher increases in PaCO₂ compared to ET-CO₂, and a mild decrease in pH due to the increase of PaCO₂. They have not changed the modes of mechanical ventilation to compensate these changes and minute ventilation was maintained constant. All parameters have returned to normal values after desufflation [4].

In a study conducted by Ishikawa et al. for investigation the pulmonary effects of LC, PaCO₂ presented a sudden increase in the first 10 minutes after insufflation compared to BIS values without any difference in blood pressure and heart rate and this increase continued gradually, PaCO₂ have reached high values at 40 and 80 minutes of insufflation (40.4 and 42.7 mmHg), ET-CO₂ have started to increase in the first 10 minutes and reached significant values after 20 minutes, and PaO₂ was stable during PP. They have found that PO₂(A-a) decreased gradually from the first 5 minutes following insufflation and PIP has increased gradually but no significant difference were present compared to BIS [6].

Berg et al. have detected 16% increase in ventilation pressures and 18% decrease in PaO₂ values after CO₂ insufflation. An increase of 2.4 mmHg in PETCO₂ was seen with CO₂ insufflation in supine position and this increase reached up to 5 mmHg when subjects were changed into RTP. pH has decreased from 7.47 to 7.43 during PP while PaCO₂ has increased in a range of 5.1-38.7 mmHg and continued increasing up to 43.9 mmHg after desufflation [15].

In the present study, no change in PaCO₂ and pH values were recorded in the measurement periods. PIP increased rapidly due to the increase in intrathoracic pressure and a decrease in pulmonary compliance with CO₂ insufflation. An increase in ET-CO₂ and a decrease in PaO₂ and PO₂(a/A) values were detected with the reduction in vital capacity and functional residual capacity. However no

significant change was detected in SpO₂, pH, HCO₃⁻ and SaO₂. In subjects changed into RTP, PIP and ETCO₂ values continued to increase and PaO₂ to decrease. PIP was decreased due to the reduction of intrathoracic pressure during ADS while ETCO₂ levels were still high. Insufflation have increased the delivery of CO₂ to the lungs which is especially important in patients particularly at risk of decreased pulmonary function. And these group of patients must be strictly monitored. The observed increments in ETCO₂ in normal ranges and the reason for PaCO₂ and pH values to remain constant in measurement points might be related to the length of the surgery and physical status of the subjects in this study.

Our results maintained with FloTrac sensor confirm earlier published studies. As a result, we think that IAP increase resulting from CO₂ insufflation alone was responsible for hemodynamic and pulmonary changes observed during LC, however RTP was not so effective in these changes. It is desired to avoid intraoperative cardiopulmonary abnormalities by maintaining low insufflation pressures, preoperative hydration and head up tilt as much as 10-15 degrees.

References

1. Soper NJ, Stockmann PT, Dunnegan DL, Ashley SW. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy. The new "gold standard?" *Arch Surg* 1992;127:917-21.
2. Hirvonen EA, Poikolainen EO, Paakkönen ME, Nuutinen LS. The adverse hemodynamic effects of anesthesia, head-up tilt, and carbon dioxide pneumoperitoneum during laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Surg Endosc* 2000; 14:272-277.
3. Grabowski JE, Talamini MA. Physiological effects of pneumoperitoneum. *J Gastrointest Surg* 2009;13:1009-1016.
4. Galizia G, Prizio G, Lieto E, Castellano P, Pelosio L, Imperatore V, Ferrara A, Pignatelli C. Hemodynamic and pulmonary changes during open, carbon dioxide pneumoperitoneum, and abdominal wall-lifting cholecystectomy. *Surg Endosc* 2001; 15:477-483.
5. Joris JL, Noirot DP, Legrand MJ, Jacquet NJ, Lamy ML et al. Hemodynamic changes during laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Anesth Analg* 1993; 76:1067-1071.
6. Ishikawa S, Makita K, Sawa T, Toyooka H, Amaha K. Ventilatory effects of laparoscopic cholecystectomy under general anesthesia. *J Anesth* 1997; 11:179-183.
7. Girish PJ. Anesthesia for laparoscopic surgery. *Can J Anesth* 2002; 49(6):1-5.
8. Mayer J, Boldt J, Wolf M, Lang J, Suttner S. Cardiac Output derived from arterial pressure waveform analysis in patients undergoing cardiac surgery: validity of a second generation device *Anesth Analg* 2008; 106: 867-72
9. Guarracino F, Stefani M, Lapolla F, Cariello C, Doroni L, Baldassari R. Monitoring cardiac output with FloTrac Vigileo *BJA* 2007; 99(1): 142-3
10. Diamant M, Benumof JL, Saidman LJ. Hemodynamics of increased intra-abdominal pressure: Interaction with hypovolemia and halothane anesthesia. *Anesthesiology* 1978; 48:23-27.
11. Kashtan J, Green JF, Parsons EQ, Holcroft JW. Hemodynamics effects of increased abdominal pressure. *J Surg Res* 1981; 30:249-255.
12. Backlund M, Kellokumpu I, Scheinin M, von Smitten K, Tikkanen I, Lindgren L. Effects of temperature of insufflated CO₂ during and after prolonged laparoscopic surgery. *Surg Endosc* 1998; 12:1126-1130.
13. Dexter SP, Vucevic M, Gibson J, McMahon MJ. Hemodynamic consequences of high and low pressure capnoperitoneum during laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Surg Endosc* 1999; 13:376-381.
14. Rishimani AS, Gautam SC. Hemodynamic and respiratory changes during laparoscopic cholecystectomy with high and reduced intraabdominal pressure. *Surg Laparosc Endosc* 1996; 6:201-204.
15. Berg K, Wilhelm W, Grundmann U, Ladenburger A, Feifel G, Mertzluff F. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy effect of position changes and CO₂ pneumoperitoneum on hemodynamic respiratory and endocrinologic parameters. *Zentralb Chir* 1997;122(5):395-404.
16. Joris JL, Chiche JD, Canivet JL, Jacquet NJ, Legros JJ, Lamy ML. Hemodynamic changes induced by laparoscopy and their endocrine correlates: Effects of clonidine. *JACC* 1998; 32:1389-96.
17. Koivusalo AM, Lindgren L. Effects of carbon dioxide pneumoperitoneum for laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand* 2000; 44:834-841.
18. Volpino P, Cangemi V, D'Andrea N, Cangemi B, Piat G. Hemodynamic and pulmonary changes during and after laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Surg Endosc* 1998; 12:119-123.

Author Information

Yavas Cenk

Medeniyet University Goztepe Training and Research Hospital, Department of Anesthesiology and Reanimation
Istanbul, Turkey

Sagiroglu A. Esra

Medeniyet University Goztepe Training and Research Hospital, Department of Anesthesiology and Reanimation
Istanbul, Turkey

Sen Betul

Medeniyet University Goztepe Training and Research Hospital, Department of Anesthesiology and Reanimation
Istanbul, Turkey
drbetulsen@yahoo.com

Devrim Sibel

Medeniyet University Goztepe Training and Research Hospital, Department of Anesthesiology and Reanimation
Istanbul, Turkey

Gurlek Zeliha

Medeniyet University Goztepe Training and Research Hospital, Department of Anesthesiology and Reanimation
Istanbul, Turkey

Gura Celik Melek

Medeniyet University Goztepe Training and Research Hospital, Department of Anesthesiology and Reanimation
Istanbul, Turkey