Epidural Catheters, Anticoagulation and the risk of Spinal Hematoma: A Review of Literature

C Moussallem, A Helou, C El-Yahchouchi, R Bou Ghosn

Citation

C Moussallem, A Helou, C El-Yahchouchi, R Bou Ghosn. *Epidural Catheters, Anticoagulation and the risk of Spinal Hematoma: A Review of Literature*. The Internet Journal of Orthopedic Surgery. 2006 Volume 6 Number 2.

Abstract

Anticoagulation and epidural catheters have always been a problematic issue. While being a very common application in orthopedic surgery, puncture of epidural vessels during catheter placement occurs during 3–12% of attempts1. Spinal hematoma causing neurological damage is rare but has devastating complications leading to irreversible paraplegia if immediate actions are not taken. In this concise review of literature, we try to provide you some information, that stands to be very useful in the practice of orthopedic surgery and to avoid the complication of spinal hematoma with the use of epidural catheters.

INCIDENCE

Incidence of clinically apparent epidural hematoma is unknown, due to the lack of case reporting on one side, and the huge number of patients needed to be enrolled in this kind of studies on the other. However, its occurrence may be increasing with the increasing use of anticoagulation, the use of spinal anesthesia and epidural catheter insertion for post operative pain management. There have been some interesting case reports of epidural hematoma after epidural catheter insertion or even removal, like the recently published case report of Sokratis et al., where the authors describe an epidural hematoma secondary to removal of an epidural catheter after a total knee replacement. Vandermeulen and colleagues combined 18 studies involving 200 000 patients who received epidural analgesia and found no incidence of epidural hematoma₄. In another study done by Stafford, that grouped 13 case series and involved around 850 000 epidurals, found only three cases of epidural hematoma (0.0004%)₅. At the other extreme, three cases of epidural hematoma were reported by Dahlgren et al. after 9232 (0.03%) epidural insertions, and an even higher incidence, of two cases out of 1014 (0.2%) insertions, has also been reported by Scott et al., An overall estimation of spinal hematoma after epidural analgesia was given by Tryba et al. and showed to be 1:150 000 at the upper 95% confidence interval₈.

RISK FACTORS

Case reports of spinal hematoma due to indwelling epidural

catheters contributed to the establishment of potential risk factors. However spinal hematoma after epidural catheter insertion may happen without any evident risk factor and no anticoagulation_o. Risk factors like hemostatic abnormalities and/or anticoagulation, in particular the timing of catheter insertion and removal in relation to the administration of anticoagulants seems to be very important. In 61 cases of spinal hematoma associated with epidural or spinal anesthesia, 42 (68%) of them had evidence of hemostatic abnormalities (altered PT, PTT, INR or platelet count): 30 had received heparin and 12 had evidence of coagulopathy or were treated with antiplatelet agents, thrombolytic drugs or anticoagulants₄. Forty-six of these had undergone epidural anesthesia, 32 with the use of an epidural catheter. In nearly 50% of the 32 patients, the spinal hematoma occurred immediately after removal of the catheter, nine being removed when heparin concentrations were therapeutic. This demonstrates that development of a hematoma is not just related to insertion but also and of equal importance to removal of the epidural catheter as the case report described recently by Sokratis₃. Overall, 87% of epidural hematomas were related to some haemostatic abnormality or procedure difficulty. However, some studies done on anticoagulated patients with neuroaxial blockade described no cases of spinal hematoma.

SPECIFIC ANTICOAGULATION DRUGS

Low Molecular Weight Heparin (LMWH) as routine thromboembolic prophylaxis is widely used in orthopedic surgery. This increased the risk of spinal hematoma and its use with epidural catheters is problematic for some₁₀. In their review, Horlocker and Wedel, made some recommendations concerning specific anticoagulants. It is relatively safe to insert an epidural catheter if the patient is receiving antiplatelet medication like aspirin; this is supported by several large studies_{12,13}. Also no increased incidence of bloody tap was observed during epidural insertion in 1000 patients on antiplatelet medication₁₄. Catheter placement/removal should not be performed in fully anticoagulated patients. Epidural insertion is relatively safe, if low-dose warfarin (3-5 mg day) is started after catheter insertion, as showed by a study done by Horlocker on 192 patients₁₅. An international normalized ratio (INR) of <1.4 at the time of catheter removal resulted in no cases of spinal hematoma in a study done on 459 patients by Wu CL et al.₁₆ Low-dose subcutaneous heparin in combination with epidural analgesia is relatively safe. Three cases of spinal hematoma are described in the literature and none in a review of more than 5000 patients₁. At least 45 cases of spinal hematoma have been reported in the USA with neuraxial anaesthesia under LMWH prophylaxis₁₇. The abovementioned cases were probably related to intraoperative or early postoperative administration, a twicedaily dosing schedule and concomitant antiplatelet therapy in the USA. The worst incidence of spinal hematoma has been estimated at 1 in 3100 cases with continuous epidural analgesia under LMWH cover in the USA₁₈. New recommendations follow the European guidelines, recommending 24-hourly dosing and a 12-h interval between LMWH injection and insertion or removal of the catheter. Nevertheless, once-daily dosing of LMWH is the primary mode of thromboprophylaxis following total joint replacement in Sweden. The one in 3,600 risk of spinal hematoma for women undergoing total knee replacement is similar to that calculated for the twice-daily dosing LMWH regimen in North America. This suggests that the European LMWH dosing schedule may not be as safe as previously considered₁₉. Another study done by Douketis compared the anticoagulant effect at the time of epidural catheter removal in patients receiving twice-daily or once-daily low molecular weight heparin and continuous epidural analgesia after orthopedic surgery₂₀ showed that all 25 patients who received once-daily LMWH had an anti-Xa heparin level <0.10 U/ml at the time of catheter removal, and of 25 patients who received twice-daily LMWH, the anti-Xa heparin level at the time of catheter removal was 0.20 U/ml in 5 patients (P = 0.050), and 0.10 U/ml in 7 patients (P =

0.009). The study concluded that in patients who are receiving co-administered LMWH and continuous epidural analgesia after orthopedic surgery, twice-daily but not oncedaily LMWH administration is more likely to be associated with a clinically important anticoagulant effect at the time of epidural catheter removal. The disadvantage of LMWH compared to Heparine and Warfarin in the case of spinal hematoma remains that the coagulating effect of Heparine and Warfarin may be reversed by the following antidotes, consecutively: protamine sulfate and vitamin K.

CONCLUSION

While anticoagulants are a must in orthopaedic surgery and considered safe to use during epidural analgesia, special attention and care to epidural hematoma should be given. Any signs or symptoms suggesting spinal cord compression, immediate action should be taken to decompress the spinal canal and this diagnosis of epidural or spinal hematoma should be kept in mind in the differential diagnosis while using epidural catheters and anticoagulation. To note that dural puncture, direct trauma to the spinal cord, transient neuropathy and infection are also common complications among others of epidural catheters but are not the subject of this work.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS TO

- 1. Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Lebanese University, Faculty of Medical Sciences.
- Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Notre Dames Des Secours Hospital, Byblos Lebanon
- Maroun D. Moussallem, Ph.D Candidate Reasearch Assistant, Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry at the Florida State University

References

- 1. Schwander, D., and Bachmann, F.: Heparin and Spinal or Epidural-Anesthesia Clinical Decision-Making. Annales Francaises D Anesthesie Et De Reanimation, 10(3): 284-296, 1991.
- 2. Wheatley, R. G.; Schug, S. A.; and Watson, D.: Safety and efficacy of postoperative epidural analgesia. British Journal of Anaesthesia, 87(1): 47-61, 2001.
- 3. Varitimidis S, E.; Paterakis, K.; Dailiana Z, H.; Hantes, M.; and Georgopoulou, S.: Epidural hematoma secondary to removal of an epidural catheter after a total knee replacement. A case report. J Bone Joint Surg Am, 89(9): 2048-50, 2007.
- 4. Vandermeulen, E. P.; Vanaken, H.; and Vermylen, J.: Anticoagulants and Spinal Epidural-Anesthesia. Anesthesia and Analgesia, 79(6): 1165-1177, 1994.
- 5. Stafford-Smith, M.: Impaired haemostasis and regional anaesthesia. Canadian journal of anaesthesia, 43(5 Pt 2):

129-41, 1996.

6. Dahlgren, N., and Tornebrandt, K.: Neurological complications after anaesthesia. A follow-up of 18,000 spinal and epidural anaesthetics performed over three years. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand, 39(7): 872-80, 1995. 7. Scott, D. A.; Beilby, D. S. N.; and Mcclymont, C.: Postoperative Analgesia Using Epidural Infusions of Fentanyl with Bupivacaine - a Prospective Analysis of 1,014 Patients. Anesthesiology, 83(4): 727-737, 1995. 8. Tryba, M.: Epidural regional anesthesia and low molecular heparin. Anasthesiol Intensivmed Notfallmed Schmerzther, 28(3): 179-81, 1993. 9. Sidiropoulou, T.; Pompeo, E.; Bozzao, A.; Lunardi, P.; and Dauri, M.: Epidural hematoma after thoracic epidural catheter removal in the absence of risk factors. Regional Anesthesia and Pain Medicine, 28(6): 531-534, 2003. 10. Horlocker, T. T., and Wedel, D. J.: Neuraxial block and low-molecular-weight heparin: Balancing perioperative analgesia and thromboprophylaxis. Regional Anesthesia and Pain Medicine, 23(6): 164-177, 1998. 11. Horlocker, T. T., and Wedel, D. J.: Neurologic complications of spinal and epidural anesthesia. Regional Anesthesia and Pain Medicine, 25(1): 83-98, 2000. 12. Horlocker, T. T.; Wedel, D. J.; Schroeder, D. R.; Rose, S. H.; Elliott, B. A.; Mcgregor, D. G.; and Wong, G. Y.: Preoperative Antiplatelet Therapy Does Not Increase the Risk of Spinal Hematoma Associated with Regional

Anesthesia. Anesthesia and Analgesia, 80(2): 303-309, 1995.

13. Urmey, W. F., and Rowlingson, J.: Do antiplatelet agents

- contribute to the development of perioperative spinal hematoma? Regional Anesthesia and Pain Medicine, 23(6): 146-151, 1998.
- 14. Horlocker, T. T.; Wedel, D. J.; and Offord, K. P.: Does Preoperative Antiplatelet Therapy Increase the Risk of Hemorrhagic Complications Associated with Regional Anesthesia. Anesthesia and Analgesia, 70(6): 631-634, 1990. 15. Horlocker, T. T.; Wedel, D. J.; and Schlichting, J. L.: Postoperative Epidural Analgesia and Oral Anticoagulant-Therapy. Anesthesia and Analgesia, 79(1): 89-93, 1994. 16. Wu, C. L., and Perkins, F. M.: Oral anticoagulant prophylaxis and epidural catheter removal. Regional Anesthesia, 21(6): 517-524, 1996. 17. Horlocker, T. T., and Wedel, D. J.: Spinal and epidural
- 17. Horlocker, T. T., and Wedel, D. J.: Spinal and epidural blockade and perioperative low molecular weight heparin: Smooth sailing on the Titanic. Anesthesia and Analgesia, 86(6): 1153-1156, 1998.
- 18. Schroeder, D. R.: Statistics: Detecting a rare adverse drug reaction using spontaneous reports. Regional Anesthesia and Pain Medicine, 23(6): 183-189, 1998.
 19. Moen, V., and Dahlgren, N.: Severe neurological complications after central neuraxial blockades in Sweden 1990-1999. Anesthesiology, 101(4): 950-959, 2004.
 20. Douketis, J. D.; Kinnon, K.; and Crowther, M. A.: Anticoagulant effect at the time of epidural catheter removal in patients receiving twice-daily or once-daily low-molecular-weight heparin and continuous epidural analgesia after orthopedic surgery. Thrombosis and Haemostasis, 88(1): 37-40, 2002.

Author Information

Charbel D. Moussallem, M.D.

Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Lebanese University, Faculty of Medical Sciences

Antonios G. Helou, M.S.

Medical School at the Holy Spirit University of Kaslik

Christine A. El-Yahchouchi, M.S.

Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Lebanese University, Faculty of Medical Sciences

Rony G. Bou Ghosn, M.D.

Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Lebanese University, Faculty of Medical Sciences