

# Squamous Cell Carcinoma And Segmental Mandibulectomy: Can I Still Serve ???

N Ahuja, P Kumar, H Singh, Y Bakshi, R Kaur

## Citation

N Ahuja, P Kumar, H Singh, Y Bakshi, R Kaur. *Squamous Cell Carcinoma And Segmental Mandibulectomy: Can I Still Serve ???*. The Internet Journal of Rehabilitation. 2009 Volume 1 Number 2.

## Abstract

Segmental resection of the mandible commonly results in deviation of the mandible to the defective side. This loss of continuity of the mandible destroys the balance of the lower face and leads to decreased mandibular function by deviation of the residual segment toward the surgical site. Prosthetic methods advocated to reduce or eliminate mandibular deviation include intermaxillary fixation, removable mandibular guide flange, palatal ramp, implant-supported prosthesis, and palatal guidance restorations which may be useful in reducing mandibular deviation and improving masticatory performance and efficiency. These methods and restorations would be combined with a well organized mandibular exercise regimen. This clinical report describes the rehabilitation following segmental mandibulectomy using palatal ramp prosthesis.

## INTRODUCTION

In this modern era, one of the most challenging and demanding maxillofacial endeavors is the fabrication of functional complete dentures for the edentulous patient who has undergone a mandibular resection. The extent of mandibular resection and loss of continuity is directly related to the decreased masticatory function. On contrary, patients with mandibular resections resulting in little soft tissue loss have less mandibular deviation.

Segmental resection of the mandible results in special physiological and esthetic problems, especially if condylectomy has been performed. The most significant difficulty in esthetics is encountered with mandibular deviation towards the defective side when condylectomy has been performed<sup>1</sup>. The earlier that mandibular guidance therapy is initiated in the course of treatment, the more successful the patient's definitive occlusal relationship and masticatory efficiency. Any delays in the initiation of mandibular guidance appliance therapy, due to problems such as extensive tissue loss, radiation therapy, radical neck dissection, flap necrosis, and other post surgical morbidities, may result in an inability to achieve normal maxillomandibular relationships<sup>2,3</sup>. Intermaxillary fixation, mandibular-based guidance restorations, and palatal -based guidance restorations will reduce or minimize this mandibular deviation.

A well organized mandibular exercise program should

always accompany these methods. Any uncoordinated masticatory movements may result in dental or soft tissue trauma, including severe lip or tongue lacerations and hemorrhage<sup>4</sup>. So, monitoring the lesion, smoothing sharp teeth, using oral appliances, extracting problematic teeth, or inhibiting behaviors such as self-mutilation of lips, cheeks, and tongue are the best solutions for such soft tissue trauma. This article describes the fabrication of palatal ramp type guidance appliance with supporting flanges incorporated for a patient following a segmental mandibulectomy.

## CASE REPORT

A 64-year-old male patient was referred to the Department of Prosthodontics and Oral Pathology, ITS Centre For Dental Sciences and Research Ghaziabad, for functional rehabilitation following resection of the right mandible (Figure 1).

**Figure 1**


Figure 1: Extraoral view of patient



The patient's history indicated that 3 years back, he was surgically treated for the Pindborg tumor on right mandibular molar region (Figure 2). Right mandibular resection was followed by mini plating on right side.

**Figure 2**

Figure 2: Orthopantomograph showing resected mandible.



In Jun 2011 he complained of swelling and pain at the same site. Infected bone mini plates were removed in June 2011. There was marked deviation towards the resected site due to resection of muscle attachments along with body of the mandible.

### **CLINICAL EXAMINATION AND TREATMENT PLANNING**

The mandibular defect reported in this clinical report is Cantor and Curtis<sup>7</sup> Class 2 defect (Lateral resection of the mandible distal to the cuspid). TMJ examination revealed severe deviation of the mandible towards the resected site (Figure 3).

**Figure 3**

Figure 3: Intraoral view of patient



Intraoral examination revealed an intact natural dentition in maxillary arch. Mandibular teeth present were after resection. The tissue bed in the edentulous region was not displaceable, and the denture foundation in the edentulous area was ideal for the support. Based on the clinical situation, a palatal ramp type guidance appliance was planned (Figure 4).

**Figure 4**

Figure 4: View of prosthesis



It was noted that that the patient lacks motor control to bring the mandible into centric occlusion. Definitive impressions were made with addition of polysilicone (Coltene Whaledent, Switzerland). Definitive casts were poured with type IV dental stone (Kalrock, Kalabhai Karson Pvt. Ltd., Mumbai, India). Over the maxillary cast a simple retainer type of appliance was made. The retainer was checked for adaptation in oral cavity. A crib was adapted on the cast to

reinforce the acrylic extension of the appliance. After this auto polymerizing acrylic resin was added to this retention plate and as acrylic resin reached doughy stage, mandible was manipulated to the desired interocclusal relationship. This movement was repeated several times. The resin was manipulated to extend 7-10 mm superiorly. After this prosthesis was removed from the mouth and resin was allowed to polymerize. The appliance was finished, evaluated, and adjusted intraorally. It was noted that the patient was able to achieve a functional intercuspal position immediately after insertion of the prosthesis. The prosthesis was removed from the mouth. The prosthesis was repolished and inserted (Figure 5).

### **Figure 5**

Figure 5: Final prosthesis in patient's mouth.



The patient was given routine post insertion instructions and was motivated to make efforts to learn to adapt to the new prosthesis. Simple exercises were suggested to the patient that helped the patient learn to manipulate the mandible into the proper position.

### **DISCUSSION**

Successful rehabilitation of edentulous mandibulectomy patients is more difficult than that of a dentulous patient. Sharry<sup>5</sup> described the difficulties encountered as:

Limited coverage and retention

Grossly impaired relation of the mandible to the maxilla

Limited movement of the mandible

Loss of facial structures and sensory and motor innervation complicates the control factors.

Mandibular treatment prosthesis is very helpful in reducing the unavoidable sequelae resulting from extensive mandibular resection like muscular contraction, mutilation of occlusal plane, scar contracture, etc. Its success varies and depends upon the nature, size and site of the surgical defect, initiation of the guidance therapy, patient's expectation, cooperation and other factors. Modification to these principles are determined on an evidence basis and greatly influenced by unique residual tissue characteristics and dynamics and science of mandibular movement<sup>6</sup>. As described in this report, the mandibular guidance prosthesis consisted of a removable partial denture framework, with a flange extending laterally and superiorly on the buccal aspect of the bicusps and molars on the non defect side. This flange engages the maxillary teeth during mandibular closure, thereby directing the mandible into an appropriate intercuspal position. Earlier the mandibular guidance therapy is initiated in the course of treatment, more successful the patient's definitive occlusal relationship. Mandibular guidance therapy begins when immediate postsurgical sequelae have subsided, usually two weeks after surgery. Various other methods advocated to reduce or eliminate mandibular deviation include mandibular guidance therapy, intermaxillary fixation, resection guidance restorations, splinting, and fabrication of prosthesis similar to 'swing lock' removable partial dentures. For best results, these methods and restorations would be combined with a well-organized mandibular exercise regimen. An implant-supported fixed prosthesis can be an optional treatment modality for functional and esthetic rehabilitation. The use of resection guidance restoration is predicted on the basis of presence of maxillary and mandibular teeth, as teeth presence in both arches is important for effective guidance and reprogramming of mandibular movement. The patient in this clinical report retained all of his maxillary dentition and mandibular dentition from left third molar till right central incisor, and consequently better proprioceptive sensation. For the patient, this prosthesis provided comfort and sufficient function, and she was able to achieve functional intercuspal position immediately after the insertion of the prosthesis. A removable prosthesis is an equally effective alternative for most patients with mandibular defects, considering the poor prognosis, difficulty in decision making for use of implant, and economic feasibility.

### **CONCLUSION**

This clinical report describes the prosthetic rehabilitation following segmental mandibulectomy with mandibular guide flange prosthesis. Certain basic principles of construction of

conventional dentures should be modified for mandibular resection patients because of many restrictive physical factors. The philosophical approach to the treatment and rehabilitation of such patients with resected mandibles is not in concentrating on what has been sacrificed in the eradication of the disease, but rather in taking full advantage of the remaining structures. This clinical report describes the prosthetic rehabilitation following segmental mandibulectomy with mandibular guide flange prosthesis and the patient expressed satisfaction with the mastication and esthetic outcome.

### **References**

1. Beumer J 3rd, Curtis TA, Marunick MT. Maxillofacial rehabilitation: Prosthodontic and surgical consideration. St. Louis: Ishiyaku. EuroAmerica; 1996. p.184-8.
2. Sahin N, Hekimoglu C, Asian Y. The fabrication of cast metal guidance flange prostheses for a patient with segmental mandibulectomy: A clinical report. J Prosthet Dent 2005;93:217-20.
3. Ufuk H, Sadullah U, Ayhan G. Mandibular guidance prosthesis following resection procedures: Three case reports. Eur J Prosthodont Rest Dent 1992;1:69-72.
4. Oelgiesser D, Levin L, Barak S, Schwartz-Arad D. Rehabilitation of an irradiated mandible after mandibular resection using implant/tooth-supported fixed prosthesis: A clinical report. J Prosthet Dent 2004;91 :310-4.
5. Sharry JJ. Extension of partial denture treatment. Dent Clin North Am 1962; 6: 821-35.
6. Beumer J, Curtis T, Firtell D editors. Maxillofacial rehabilitation. St. Louis: Mosby; 1979. p. 90-169.
7. Cantor R, Curtis TA. Prosthetic management of edentulous mandibulectomy patients. Part 1. Anatomic, physiologic and psychologic consideration. J Prosthet Dent 1971;25:446-57.

**Author Information**

**Nitin Ahuja, MDS**

Senior lecturer, Manav Rachna Dental College

**Prince Kumar, MDS**

I.T.S Dental College

**Harkanwal Preet Singh, MDS**

I.T.S Dental College

**Yujika Bakshi, MDS**

D.J Dental College

**Rasleen Kaur, BDS**

Private Practice