Point of Care Ultrasound to Evaluate Peripheral Intravenous Catheters

A M Girgis, A Chopra, J J Finneran IV, M J Greenberg

Citation

A M Girgis, A Chopra, J J Finneran IV, M J Greenberg. *Point of Care Ultrasound to Evaluate Peripheral Intravenous Catheters*. The Internet Journal of Anesthesiology. 2020 Volume 39 Number 1.

DOI: <u>10.5580/IJA.55438</u>

Abstract

Several studies have described the use of ultrasound for the placement of peripheral intravenous catheters (PIV); however, visual and tactile inspection still remains the primary clinical tool for the identification of infiltration. We describe a technique using point of care ultrasound for the assessment of two PIV to confirm functionality in a patient scheduled for an above the knee amputation. This technique can be used for both superficial and deep peripheral veins where the detection of catheter infiltration by physical exam alone is often challenging.

Glossary of Terms:

PIV – peripheral intravenous catheter(s).

HIPAA - health insurance portability and accountability act.

- G gauge.
- TM Trademark.

UT – Utah.

USA - United States of America.

UK – United Kingdom.

ASA - American Society Anesthesiologists.

- mg Milligrams.
- mcg Micrograms.
- MHz Megahertz.
- WA Washington.

INTRODUCTION

The reliable use of peripheral intravenous catheters (PIV) is essential for the safe practice of intraoperative anesthesia. The assessment of PIV functionality is therefore critically important with PIV complications resulting in 2.1% of all closed claims from 1970 through 2001.1 Current clinical assessment of PIV relies on visual and tactile inspection following a small bolus of normal saline. The combination of resistance to injection and subcutaneous tissue expansion often identifies an infiltrated catheter. Infiltration is more difficult to identify in obese, edematous and pediatric patients as well as with catheters placed within deep peripheral veins. With the increasing ubiquity of highquality ultrasound machines, point of care ultrasound has become an important tool for anesthesiologists. The use of ultrasonography has proven instrumental in the facilitation of both central and peripheral vascular catheterization; however, point of care ultrasound for in situ catheter assessment has not been previously described. Previous work has evaluated the use of the subcostal 4-chamber view using transthoracic echocardiography to identify changes in flow patterns after a saline bolus.2 With the increased incidence of ultrasound-guided PIV placement in deep peripheral veins, a reliable identification method for infiltration becomes important. We describe a technique utilizing point of care ultrasound for the quick, reliable assessment of two questionable PIV in a hospitalized patient prior to surgery. We obtained a written health insurance portability and accountability act (HIPAA) authorization to use and disclose protected health information.

CASE REPORT

A 68-year-old male with a medical history significant for end-stage renal disease treated with hemodialysis, hypertension, and a below the knee amputation of the left lower extremity presented with sepsis secondary to left knee stump gangrene. The patient was scheduled for an above the knee amputation of the left lower extremity for primary infectious source control. Upon admission, a 20-gauge (G) peripheral intravenous catheter (BD InstyteTM AutoguardTM, Sandy, UT 84070, USA) was inserted in the patient's right anterior forearm. A visual and tactile inspection following a small saline bolus was negative for resistance to injection or clinical signs of infiltration. The patient denied discomfort with injection and the PIV was connected to an infusion pump (CareFusion AlarisTM, Hampshire 305 Ltd., RG22 4BS, UK) without increased pressure alarms.

On the day of surgery, the patient was transferred to the preoperative holding area for left sciatic and femoral nerve blocks prior to amputation. Standard ASA monitors were placed and the patient was administered oxygen by facemask. The patient was sedated with 2 mg of intravenous midazolam and 50 mcg fentanyl via the right forearm PIV. There was no resistance to injection or visual signs of infiltration with medication administration. Due to patient discomfort during positioning, an additional 50 mcg of fentanyl was administered. Given the persistent patient discomfort despite presumably adequate sedation, we considered possible PIV infiltration. In order to evaluate the PIV, a 13- to 6-MHz 38-mm linear array ultrasound transducer (Edge II; SonoSite, Bothell, WA) was used to examine the right forearm PIV. The transducer was placed just proximal to the catheter tip and a small normal saline bolus was rapidly injected into the PIV (Supplemental Video 1). Subcutaneous tissue expansion was evident on ultrasonography following the normal saline bolus, likely indicating infiltration. The right forearm PIV catheter was therefore removed. A new 20G PIV catheter was then inserted in the right antecubital fossa with ultrasound guidance. Again, the transducer was placed just proximal to the catheter tip and a small saline bolus was administered with no evidence of subcutaneous tissue expansion. In addition, intraluminal venous expansion at a position cephalad to the tip of the catheter was visualized, indicating an appropriately positioned catheter (Supplemental Video 2).

The patient received additional sedation and the peripheral nerve blocks were completed successfully. Shortly following block completion, the patient became overly sedated and experienced an episode of large volume emesis. Given the concern for possible aspiration, the amputation was rescheduled.

DISCUSSION

A functioning intravenous catheter is essential to deliver medications during anesthesia. PIV catheters can be difficult to place, requiring multiple attempts, causing discomfort and anxiety for the patient. The use of bedside ultrasound has significantly improved the ability of clinicians to place the catheters, even in cases where a peripheral vein cannot be felt.3 When a patient arrives to the pre-surgical area with a PIV in place, it is often difficult to verify patency. While a clinical assessment consisting of visual and/or tactile inspection during injection can be reassuring, the physical exam alone can be misleading – as in this case. Failure to recognize an infiltrated PIV catheter may lead to complications including tissue necrosis, nerve damage, infection, and compartment syndrome.4

Best practice guidelines have been widely published for central venous, peripheral venous, and arterial cannulation.5, 6 Furthermore, there is extensive literature regarding ultrasound-based approaches for vascular access, with additional recommendations for safe use.7, 8 There is a demonstrable decreases in complications related to catheter placement - and subsequent cost-savings – when advanced imaging is utilized for intravenous catheter insertion.9 However, there is little available in the literature on point of care ultrasonography for evaluation of PIV.2 The use of ultrasound for confirmation and assessment of catheter location have been previously described primarily for central venous catheters.10-12

This case illustrates how point of care ultrasound can

quickly differentiate appropriately placed and infiltrated intravenous catheters. Since assessment by physical exam looking for leaking or a feeling of fullness around the tip of the catheter is unreliable, a direct visual demonstration of patency can provide the practitioner an easy tool to use to ensure a functional catheter. In this case, the patient became overly sedated and aspirated following an episode of emesis, shortly following the regional block. The subcutaneous reabsorption of sedative medications administered through the infiltrated catheter was likely the culprit, demonstrating an avoidable morbidity.

In summary, we have described a previously unreported technique using point of care ultrasound to determine the proper intraluminal placement of a PIV. Early recognition of infiltrated PIV has the potential to decrease morbidity and mortality. This technique may be considered when the placement of a PIV is in question and ultrasound is available.

References

1. Ball, RD, Henao, JP, Ibinson, JW, Metro, DG Peripheral intravenous catheter infiltration: Anesthesia providers do not adhere to their own ideas of best practice. J Clin Anesth. (2013). 25 115–20

2. Adam C. Adler, Denis H. Jablonka; Bedside Ultrasound: A Tool for Assessment of IV Functionality. Anesthesiology 2016;124(4):959.

3. Gottlieb M, Sundaram T, Holladay D, Nakitende D.

Ultrasound-Guided Peripheral Intravenous Line Placement:

A Narrative Review of Evidence-based Best Practices. West J Emerg Med. 2017;18(6):1047–1054. doi:10.5811/westjem.2017.7.34610

4. Bhananker, SM, Liau, DW, Kooner, PK, Posner, KL, Caplan, RA, Domino, KB Liability related to peripheral venous and arterial catheterization: A closed claims analysis.. Anesth Analg. (2009). 109 124–9
5. American Society of Anesthesiologists Task Force on Central Venous Access, Rupp SM, Apfelbaum JL, et al. Practice guidelines for central venous access: a report by the American Society of Anesthesiologists Task Force on

Central Venous Access. Anesthesiology 2012; 116:539. 6. Bodenham Chair A, Babu S, Bennett J, et al. Association

of Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland: Safe vascular access 2016. Anaesthesia 2016; 71:573.

7. Lamperti M, Bodenham AR, Pittiruti M, et al. International evidence-based recommendations on ultrasound-guided vascular access. Intensive Care Med 2012; 38:1105.

8. Bouaziz H, Zetlaoui PJ, Pierre S, et al. Guidelines on the use of ultrasound guidance for vascular access. Anaesth Crit Care Pain Med 2015; 34:65.

9. Lee J, Geyer B, Naraghi L, et al. Advanced imaging use in intensive care units has decreased, resulting in lower charges without negative effects on patient outcomes. J Crit Care 2015; 30:460.

10. Saul T, Doctor M, Kaban NL, et al. The Ultrasound-Only Central Venous Catheter Placement and Confirmation Procedure. J Ultrasound Med 2015; 34:1301.

11. Kim SC, Heinze I, Schmiedel A, et al. Ultrasound confirmation of central venous catheter position via a right supraclavicular fossa view using a microconvex probe: an observational pilot study. Eur J Anaesthesiology 2015; 32:29.

12. Vezzani A, Brusasco C, Palermo S, et al. Ultrasound localization of central vein catheter and detection of postprocedural pneumothorax: an alternative to chest radiography. Crit Care Med 2010; 38:533.

Author Information

Alexander M. Girgis, MD

University of California San Diego Medical Center, Department of Anesthesiology La Jolla, CA

Arsh Chopra, MD

University of California San Diego Medical Center, Department of Anesthesiology La Jolla, CA

John J. Finneran IV, MD

University of California San Diego Medical Center, Department of Anesthesiology La Jolla, CA

Mark J. Greenberg, MD

University of California San Diego Medical Center, Department of Anesthesiology La Jolla, CA