

Staphylococcus Aureus As A Causative Agent Of Atopic Dermatitis/ Eczema Syndrome (ADES) And Its Theraputic Implications

I Al-saimary, S Bakr, K Al-Hamdi

Citation

I Al-saimary, S Bakr, K Al-Hamdi. *Staphylococcus Aureus As A Causative Agent Of Atopic Dermatitis/ Eczema Syndrome (ADES) And Its Theraputic Implications*. The Internet Journal of Dermatology. 2005 Volume 3 Number 2.

Abstract

From 286 studied ADES cases, (94.4, 86.36) % were from eczematous lesions and healthy areas and gave positive bacterial cultures ($P < 0.05$). Staphylococcus aureus recorded the highest occurrence ratio (60.84, 17.48) % from same above areas respectively.

Antibiotic susceptibility of thirteen antibiotics against Staph. aureus were evaluated in this study. Some of these antibiotics were studied for the first time (Amoxicillin/ Clavulanic acid, Bacitracin, Doxycylin HCl, Rifamicin, and Vancomycin). Also, the results evidenced resistance of Staph. aureus to more of one antibiotic (three antibiotics or more) that indicated Staph. aureus developed a modern resistance against useful antibiotics.

INTRODUCTION

Atopic dermatitis/ eczema syndrome (ADES) is a chronic relapsing, pruritic inflammation of the skin, affecting 10-20% of children and 1-3% of adults worldwide with increasing prevalence in highly industrialized countries⁽¹⁾. Staphylococcus aureus is the most important microorganism of the normal skin flora⁽²⁾. The bacterial skin flora of patients with atopic dermatitis is different from that in healthy people. In addition, such patients more often suffer from microbial infections such as impetigo, folliculitis, and furunculosis⁽³⁾. The microbial flora of AD patients shows striking differences in term of the presence of Staph. aureus. The relative rarity (2%-25%) of colonization by Staph. aureus on normal skin sites⁽⁴⁾ is in sharp contrast to the high carriage rate found in patients with ADES ranging from 76% on unaffected areas and up to 100% on acute, weeping lesions⁽⁵⁾. As the colonization correlates significantly with the severity of ADES, anti-staphylococcal treatment measurements are widely used⁽⁶⁾.

The aims of the present study are to determine presence / or occurrence ratio of Staphylococcus aureus in eczematous lesion and healthy area of patients with ADES, and testing the antibiotic susceptibility on these bacteria.

MATERIAL & METHODS

PATIENTS

A total of 286 patients (males & females) in various age groups were included in this study. The patients suffered from atopic dermatitis / eczema syndrome (ADES) attending the outpatients department of dermatology of the main hospitals in the Basrah providence (outpatients-ased study). ADES was diagnosed under supervision of dermatologists based on criteria of Hanifin & Rajka, 1980⁽⁷⁾, Spengel & Schneider, 1999⁽⁸⁾, and Stanway, 2005⁽⁹⁾. The study was carried out during a period from November 2003 to July 2005.

PRIMARY ISOLATION

Skin swabs were collected from eczematous lesions and nearly healthy areas of ADES patients saturated by Brain Heart Infusion Broth (Oxoid), and transported immediately to the laboratory⁽¹⁰⁾. Then, they were cultured on primary isolation media: Blood Agar Base (Oxoid), MacConkey Agar, and Nutrient Agar (Himedia) and incubated at 37°C for 24-48 hrs aerobically. Samples that cultured on Chocolate Agar in addition to Nutrient Agar were incubated and CO₂ in candle jar at the same temperature and period mentioned above⁽¹⁰⁾.

IDENTIFICATION TECHNIQUE

API technique (bioMerieux, France) as a rapid identification system was used for identification of various bacterial isolates based on enclosed instruction of supplied company. Some specialized biochemical tests and grew on Mannitol Salt Agar and Staph 110 Agar (Himedia)-that used as a selective media-were done for confirmation the diagnosis of Staph. aureus^(10,11,12).

ANTIBIOTICS SUSCEPTIBILITY

Thirteen antibiotics (Himedia, India) common used with treatment of atopic dermatitis^(6,13) were used to testing the antibiotic susceptibility of Staph. aureus :

Amoxycillin / Clavulanic acid (20/10mg) (AC), Bacitracin (10U)(B), Cephalothin (30mg)(Ch), Chloramphenicol (30mg)(C), Clindamcin (2mg)(cd), Co-trimoxazole (trimethoprim/sulphametaxazole) (1.25/23.75mg) (Co), Doxycyclin hydrochloride 30mg) (Do), Erythromycin (15mg)(E), Gentamicin (10mg)(G), Methicillin (5mg)(M), Rifampicin (5mg) (R) Tetracyclin (30mg)(T), and Vancomycin (30mg) (Va).

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Chi-square test and ANOVA test were carried by using computer program SPSS ver. 11, and statistical similarities were carried by using Minitab program ver. 10.

RESULTS

Table (1) illustrates all bacterial types isolated from eczematous lesions and nearly healthy areas. The total number of positive cultures were (270, 247) cases from 286 studied ADES cases in percentages (94.4, 86.36) % from eczematous and healthy areas respectively ($P<0.05$). In general, twenty bacterial types were isolated from both area separately and (959, 744) isolates with isolation ratio (3.35:1, 2.6:1) isolates: case were identified in each above area respectively. Staph. aureus recorded the highest occurrence ratio (60.48%, 17.48%) from above area compared to those of all of isolated bacterial agents that recorded the following percentage in eczematous lesions and healthy areas: ($P<0.05$).

Staph. epidermidis (17.13, 57.34)%, Staph. xylosus (2.79)% in each, Staph. saprophyticus (5.24, 10.48)%, Staph. capitis (2.79)% in each, Staph. hominis (22.37, 9.44)%, Strept. pyogenes (17.13, 9.79)%, Strept. Faecalis (23.07, 17.83)%, Strept. Mutans (14.68, 9.44)%, E. coli (25.52, 33.21)%, Enterobacter sp. (5.59, 17.83)%, Klebsiella sp. (3.14,

1.39)%, Acinetobacter sp. (5.59, 3.49)%, Proteus sp. (5.94)% in each, Pseudomonas aeruginosa (17.48, 5.59)%, Probionibacterium acnes (19.58,3.49)%, Pr.granulosum (20.27, 18.53)%, Haemophilus influenzae (21.32, 11.53)%, Bacteroid sp. (18.18, 3.84)% and Corynebacterium sp. (26.92, 17.83)%.

Table (2) illustrates antibiotic, susceptibility pattern of Staph. aureus isolated from eczematous lesions and healthy areas against various antibiotics. The percentages of sensitivity modes against antibiotics in each of above areas respectively same as follows: Ac (87.28, 66.0)%, B (86.7, 72.0)%, Cd (53.75, 70.0)%, Ch (70.52, 62.0)%, Co(24.85, 46.0)%, Do (31.21, 50.0)%, C (48.55, 64.0)%, E (17.91, 10.0)%, G (73.41, 100)%, M (46.82, 72.0)%, R (74.56, 78.0)%, T (49.13, 80.0)% and Va (89.59, 100)%.

Highly significant differences were found ($P<0.01$) between three modes of antibiotic susceptibility within the same antibiotics and between these modes of various antibiotics.

Table (3) determines the percentages of antibiotics resistance modes of Staph. aureus according the biggest percentages as follows: ($P<0.05$) (36.41, 24.27, 16.76, 13.87 and 8.67)% of resistance to three, double, single, four and five or over of antibiotics respectively for eczematous lesions. (36.0, 22.0, 20.0, 14.0, and 8.0)% of resistance to double, single, three, four, and five or over of antibiotics respectively for healthy areas of ADE patients.

The statistical similarities between antibiotics affecting modes on Staph. aureus are shown in figure (1). It has been found that the antibiotic affecting on Staph. aureus isolated from eczematous lesions are tightly correlated with each other in similarity ranged from 8.75-99.13)% and splitted from the same antibiotics affecting on Staph. aureus isolated from healthy area that also closed related with each others and have a similarity ranged from (97.0-100)%. ($P<0.001$).

Staphylococcus Aureus As A Causative Agent Of Atopic Dermatitis/ Eczema Syndrome (ADES) And Its Therapeutic Implications

Figure 1

Table 1: Illustrate bacterial types isolated from eczematous lesions and healthy areas of AD patients (P< 0.05) .

Bacterial types	No. of cases (%) from eczematous lesion	No. of cases (%) from healthy area
<i>Staph. aureus</i>	173(60.48)	50 (17.48)
<i>Staph. epidermidis</i>	49 (17.13)	164 (57.34)
<i>Staph. xylosus</i>	8 (2.79)	8 (2.79)
<i>Staph. saprophyticus</i>	15 (5.24)	30 (10.48)
<i>Staph. capitis</i>	8 (2.79)	8 (2.79)
<i>Staph. hominis</i>	64 (22.37)	27 (9.44)
<i>Strept. pyogenes</i>	49 (17.13)	28 (9.79)
<i>Strept. Faecalis</i>	66 (23.07)	51 (17.83)
<i>Strept. Mutans</i>	42 (14.68)	27 (9.44)
<i>E. coli</i>	73 (25.52)	95 (33.21)
<i>Enterobacter sp.</i>	16 (5.59)	51 (17.83)
<i>Klebsiella sp</i>	9 (3.14)	4 (1.39)
<i>Acinetobacter sp.</i>	16 (5.59)	10 (3.49)
<i>Proteus sp.</i>	17 (5.94)	17 (5.94)
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	50 (17.48)	16 (5.59)
<i>Propionibacterium acnes</i>	56 (19.58)	10 (3.49)
<i>Pr. granulosum</i>	58(20.27)	53(18.53)
<i>Haemophilus influenzae</i>	61 (21.32)	33 (11.53)
<i>Bacteroid sp.</i>	52 (18.18)	11 (3.84)
<i>Corynebacterium sp.</i>	77 (26.92)	51 (17.83)
No. of isolates	959	744
Average (isolate: case)	3.35:1	2.6:1
No. of -ve growth cultures	16 (5.59)	39 (13.63)
No. of +ve growth cultures	270 (94.4)	247 (86.36)
Total no. of cultures	286	

Figure 2

Table 2: Antibiotics Susceptibility Patterns Of Isolated From Eczematous Lesions (D) And Healthy Area (N) Against Various Antibiotics . (P < 0.01)

ANTIBIOTICS	AREA	RESISTANCE	INTERMEDIATE	SENSITIVE
AC	D	13 (7.5)	9 (5.2)	151 (87.28)
	N	17 (34.0)	-	33 (66.0)
B	D	11 (6.35)	12 (6.9)	150 (86.7)
	N	9 (18.0)	5 (10.0)	36 (72.0)
CD	D	27 (15.6)	53 (30.63)	93 (53.75)
	N	5 (10.0)	10 (20.0)	35 (70.0)
CH	D	16 (9.24)	35 (20.23)	122 (70.52)
	N	8 (16.0)	11 (22.0)	31 (62.0)
CO	D	104(60.11)	26 (15.02)	43 (24.85)
	N	15 (30.0)	12 (24.0)	23 (46.0)
DO	D	102 (58.9)	17 (9.82)	54 (31.21)
	N	21 (12.13)	4 (8.0)	25 (50.0)
C	D	53 (30.63)	36 (20.8)	84 (48.55)
	N	-	18 (36.0)	32 (64.0)
E	D	116 (67.05)	26 (15.02)	31 (17.91)
	N	22 (44.0)	23 (46.0)	5 (10.0)
G	D	-	46 (26.58)	127 (73.41)
	N	-	-	50 (100.0)
M	D	50 (28.9)	42 (24.27)	81 (46.82)
	N	-	14 (28.0)	36 (72.0)
R	D	25 (14.45)	19 (10.98)	129 (74.56)
	N	4 (8.0)	7 (14.0)	39 (78.0)
T	D	75 (43.35)	13 (7.51)	85 (49.13)
	N	10 (20.0)	-	40 (80.0)
VA	D	5 (2.89)	13 (7.51)	155 (89.59)
	N	-	-	50 (100.0)
TOTAL NUMBER OF CASES (286)				
NO. OF POSITIVE CULTURES OF STAPH AUREUS FROM ECZEMATOUS LESIONS (D) : 173 (60.48 %)				
NO. OF POSITIVE CULTURES OF STAPH AUREUS FROM NORMAL AREA (N) : 50 (17.48 %)				
NO. OF NEGATIVE CULTURES OF STAPH AUREUS FROM ECZEMATOUS LESIONS (D) : 97 (33.9 %)				
NO. OF NEGATIVE CULTURES OF STAPH AUREUS FROM NORMAL AREA (N) : 197 (68.88 %)				
NO. OF TOTAL POSITIVE CULTURES FROM ECZEMATOUS LESIONS (D) : 270 (94.4 %)				
NO. OF TOTAL POSITIVE CULTURES FROM NORMAL AREA (N) : 247 (86.36 %)				
NO. OF TOTAL NEGATIVE CULTURES FROM ECZEMATOUS LESIONS (D) : 16 (5.59 %)				
NO. OF TOTAL NEGATIVE CULTURES FROM NORMAL AREA (N) : 36 (13.63 %)				


Figure 3

Table 3: Illustrate modes of antibiotics resistance of isolated from eczematous lesions and healthy / normal areas . (P < 0.005)

Mode of antibiotics resistance	No. of isolates from eczematous lesions(%)	No. of isolates from healthy areas (%)
Single antibiotic	29 (16.76)	11 (22.0)
Double antibiotics	42 (24.27)	18 (36.0)
Three antibiotics	63 (36.41)	10 (20.0)
Four antibiotics	24 (13.87)	7 (14.0)
Five or over antibiotics	15 (8.67)	4 (8.0)
No. of Staph. aureus isolates	173	50

Figure 4

Figure 1: Statistical Similarities Between Antibiotics Affecting Mode On Staph . Aureus Isolated From Eczematous (D) And Healthy (N) Area Of Ad Patients . ($P < 0.001$)



DISCUSSION

Our result revealed (94.4% and 86.36%) of positive cultures from total ADE cases (eczematous lesions and healthy areas) respectively. Staph. aureus was the predominant bacterial agent isolated from (60.48% and 17.48%) from same of above areas followed by other nineteenth bacterial types in various percentages of isolation.

Results of previous studies confirmed our results regarding the importance of microbial factors on the pathogenesis of eczema and the therapeutical implications for the treatment of atopic dermatitis₍₆₎. Since then, our knowledge concerning the complex interaction between microbes and skin inflammation has improved dramatically and today the Gram-positive bacterium, Staph. aureus is recognized as an important triggering factors for the maintenance of skin inflammation and acute exacerbations of the genetically determined skin disease atopic dermatitis_(14,15). Many studies showed a heavy colonization of AD with Staph. aureus, This phenomenon suggests that Staph. aureus in Ad lesions influences the disease processes of AD_(16,17). Others demonstrated that the skin of 100% of patients with ADE is colonized with Staph. aureus, up to 65%-90% of all Staph. aureus strains isolated from lesional skin have been shown to produce exotoxins with superantigenic properties_(18,19).

Thirteen antibiotics were tested against Staph. aureus and some of these antibiotics were detailed from another studies. Others such as AC, B, Do, Co, R, and VA were not studied in any of atopic dermatitis investigations. Recent studies suggest that in case of atopic dermatitis exacerbation with wide-spread weeping lesions, a systemic antibiotic treatment is warranted, with erythromycin no longer being recommended due to an increased resistance rate. In

localized superinfected lesions the topical of an antibiotic-glucocorticoid preparation may offer advantages to the mere steroid application₍₆₎.

Other studies showed that as a significant number of Staph. aureus isolates are resistant to erythromycin and the antibiotics of choice are penicillin-resistant penicillins such as flucloxacillin. Oral cephalosporins such a cephalexin and fusidic acid, systemic antistaphylococcal antibiotics are particularly helpful in the treatment of acute exacerbations of AD due to diffuse Staph. aureus infection₍₂₀₎. Due to the increased risk of bacterial resistance accompanying frequent use of antibiotics, it is important to combine antimicrobial therapy with effective skin care, since it is well established that the excoriated inflamed skin of ADE predisposes to Staph. aureus colonization₍₂₁₎. Therefore, use of antibiotics therapy must be carried out with good skin hydration to restore skin barrier function and effective anti-inflammatory therapy to reduce overall skin inflammation and Staph. aureus colonization₍₂₂₎. Exacerbating factors such as food allergens, inhalant allergens, irritants, and emotional triggers should be identified and eliminated because they can alter response to therapy. Since the major reservoir for Staph. aureus is in the nose, intranasal antibiotics may b needed to reduce overall skin carriage of Staph. aureus_(6,23).

CORRESPONDENCE TO

IHSAN EDAN AL-SAIMARY; Assist. Prof. Dept. Microbiology, Coll. Medicine , Univ. Basrah, PO BOX 696 Ashar, Basrah, IRAQ E-mail: Ihsanalsaimary@yahoo.com

References

1. Roll, A., Cozzio, A., Fischer, B. and Schmid. Grenedelmeier, P. Microbial colonization and atopic dermatitis. Allergy Clin Immunol., 2004., 4(5):373-378.
2. Senol, M., Ozerol, H., Sasmaz, S., Sahin, K., Soyuturk, D. and Ozcan, A. Staphylococcus aureus colonization in atopic skin diseases. J. Turgut Ozal Med. Center., 1996., 3(4):299-302.
3. Ogawa, T., Katsuoka, K., Kawano, K, et al. Comparative study of staphylococcal flora on the skin surface of atopic dermatitis patients and healthy subjects. J. Dermatol., 1994, 21: 453-460.
4. Dahl, M.V. Staphylococcus aureus and atopic dermatitis. Arch. Dermatol., 1983. 119: 840-846.
5. leyden, J.L., Marples, R. and Kligman, A.M. Staphylococcus aureus in the lesions of atopic dermatitis. Br. J. Drematol., 1993., 90:525-530.
6. Abeck, D. and Mempel, M. Staphylococcus aureus colonizatoin in atopic dermatitis and its therapeutic implication. Br. J. Dermatol., 1998., 139:13-16.
7. Hanifin, J.M. and Rajka, G. Diagnostic features of atopic dermatitis. Acta Derm. Venereol. (Stockh)., 1980., 92 (suppl.):44-47.
8. Spergel, J.M. and Schneider, L.C. Atopic dermatitis. Inter.

- J. Asthma Allergy Immunol., 1999., 1(1):1-16.
9. Stanway, A. Atopic dermatitis. Available from: <http://DermNetNZ.bookstore.Net> . 2005.
10. Forbes, B.A., Sahm, D.F. and Weissfeld, A.S. Baily & Scotts Diagnostic Microbiology . 10th ed. Vol.1 , Mosby co. St Louis., 1998. pp:68-280.
11. Garrity, G.M. (Edit.). Bergy's Manual of systematic Bacteriology. 2nd ed. Springer-Verlage , N.Y. vol.3., 2001. Group-17. Also Available from <http://www.Bergeys.org>.
12. Brooks, G. F., Butel, J.S. and Morse, S.A. Jawetz, Melnick Adelbergs Medical Microbiology. 23rd ed., McGraw-Hill Co. Boston. 2004., pp:147-200, 223-268.
13. Habif, T.P. Clinical Dermatology: a color guide to diagnosis and therapy. 4th ed. Ch.5: atopic dermatitis. Mosby Co. London. 2004. pp:105-128.
14. Leyden, J.L., Marples, R. and Kligman, A.M. Staphylococcus aureus in the lesions of atopic dermatitis. Br. J. Dermatol., 1993., 90:525-530.
15. Strane, P. Skov., L., Lisby, S. et al. Staphylococcal enterotoxin B applied on intact normal and intact atopic skin induces dermatitis. Arch. Dermatol. 1996., 132:27-33.
16. Brook, I., Frazier, E.H. and Yeager, J.K. Microbiology of infected atopic dermatitis. Int. J. Dermatol. 1996., 35(11):791-793.
17. Morihita, N., Tada, J. Sato, F. et al. Possible influences of Staph. aureus on atopic dermatitis-the colonizing features and the effects of staphylococcal enterotoxins. Clin. Exp. Allergy., 1999., 29(8):1110-1117.
18. Breuer, K., Haussler, S., Kapp, A. and Werfel, T. Staphylococcus aureus: colonizing features and influence of an antibacterial treatment in adult with atopic dermatitis. Br. J. Dermatol., 2002., 147(1):55.
19. Heaton, T., Mallon, D., Venaille, T. and Holt, P. Staphylococcal enterotoxin induced IL-5 stimulation as a cofactor in the pathogenesis of atopic disease: the hygiene hypothesis in reverse? . Allergy. 2003., 58(3):252-256.
20. Ring, T., Brockow, K. and Abeck, D. The therapeutic concept of patient management in atopic eczema. Allergy, 1996. 51:206-215.
21. Leung, D.Y.M. Role of Staphylococcus aureus in atopic dermatitis. In: Bieder, T. and Leun, D.Y.M. Atopic dermatitis. Marcel Dekker, Inc. N.Y. 2002. pp:401-418.
22. Matsumoto, M., Ra, C., Kawamoto, K. et al. IgE hyperproduction through enhanced tyrosine phosphorylation of Janus Kinase 3 in Nc/Nga mice, a model for human atopic dermatitis. j. Immunol., 1999., 162:1056-1063.
23. Ramsay, C.A., Savoic, L.M., and Gilbert, M. The treatment of atopic dermatitis with topical fusidic acid hydrocortisone acetate. J. Eur. Acad. Dermatol. Venereol., 1996., 7:515-522.

Author Information

Ihsan Edan Al-saimary

Department Microbiology, Coll. Medicine, University of Basrah

Sundis S. Bakr

Department Microbiology, Coll. Medicine, University of Basrah

Khalil E. Al-Hamdi

Department of Medicine, Coll. Medicine, University of Basrah