Antibiotic Resistance Pattern Of Pseudomonas Aeruginosa Isolated From Clinical Specimens In A Tertiary Hospital In Northeastern Nigeria

O KO, A PC, O W, B ST, U A

Citation

Abstract

A total of 106 greenish, pigmented consecutive non-duplicate Pseudomonas aeruginosa isolates from clinical specimens were examined. The mean age of the patients was 28.8+17.3 years, comprised of 56 (52.8%) males and 50 (47.2%) females. High prevalence of pseudomonal infections occurred more in age-group 20-29 years, 26 (24.6%) and least in 50-59years and >60years, 6 (5.7%), respectively. Majority of the isolates were recovered from wounds specimens, 42 (39%). Overall antibiotic susceptibility pattern showed that sparfloxacin demonstrated highest sensitivity of 84.9%, followed by ciprofloxacin, 69.8% and perfloxacin, 52.8%. Other results were cefuroxime, 49.1%, and ceftrazone, 13.2%. Majority of the isolates exhibited multidrug resistance pattern. In conclusion, the multidrug resistance pattern of P. aeruginosa isolates observed in this study posed a dire clinical consequence, especially in patients management with pseudomonal infections and infections control approach in hospital environment due to rapid dissemination of the strains

INTRODUCTION

Pseudomonas aeruginosa, is a motile gram-negative rods that belongs to the family Pseudomonadaceae. It is a leading cause of nosocomial infections, especially among critically ill admitted in intensive care unit, immune-compromised patients.[1-3] It has been implicated in diverse nosoicomial infection likes nosocomial pneumonis, urinary tract infection, surgical site infection, severe burns and infections of patient undergoing either chemotherapry for neoplastic disease or those on antibiotics therapy.[4,5] P.aeruginosa is widely distributed in narture, but has higher prevalence in hospital environment, as the wards encourages bacterial growth.[3] The characteristic features of P.aeruginosa isolates that allows the persistence in hospital is the ability to acquires resistance to variety of antibiotics, withstands physical conditions like temperature, high concentration of salts and antiseprtics.

Epidemiologically, it is ranked as the fourth cause of nosocomial infections that accounts for 10% of all nosocomial infection in the United States. Overall prevalence in US hospital was approximately 4 per 1000 discharge and leading cause of high morbidity and mortality.[6] In studies conducted in Nigeria, it is one of the leading gram-negative bacteria isolated from clinical specimens in hospital-based studies[7-12] P.aeruginosa isolates are naturally resistant to large number of antibiotics that can be acquired during treatment [13] of as a result of treatment failure.[6] Consequential effect of high resistance pattern is responsible for high mortality rate associuated pseudomonal infections.[14]

Antibiotic resistance pattern of P. aeruginosa isolates varied with geographical location and hospitals environments. Therefore, chemotherapeutic approach of pseudomonal infection would depend on peculiarity of the isolates susceptibility pattern, in order to safeguard against treatment. In this context, this study examined the antibiotic resistance of P. aeruginosa isolates from clinical specimen in a tertiary hospital.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was conducted in the Medical Microbiology Laboratory of the University of Maiduguri Teaching Hospital between Febuary and October 2009. It is a major referral centre for other tertiary hospital in the northeastern Nigeria, a 500-bed capacity with sub-specialties in Internal Medicine, Surgery, Paediatric, Obsterics and Gyneacology and Pathology. Approximately 23,000 clinical specimens are rcevied in medical microbiology laboratory per year.

Over the study period, 106 greenish pigmented, non-duplicate consecutive P.aeruginosa isolated from the clinical specimens were identifed by standard bacteriological methods(colonial morphology, citrate, and oxidase). The isolates were recovered from sputum, urine, pus/aspirate, wounds, ear swab and catheter tips. Demographic information on the isolates includes the age of the patient, sex, type of clinical specimens and wards/ clinics.

Antibiotic susceptibility testing was determined by disc diffusion method using Mueller-Hinton agar plates.[15] Bacterial suspension was prepared in Andrase peptones water to give concentration an equivalent of 0.5McFarlane standards. The bacterial suspension were inoculated on the Mueller-Hinton agar plate by swabbing to give a smooth lawn, and antibiotic discs were placed on it, incubated at 37°C overnight. The following antibiotic discs were tested , cefuroxime(30ug), ciprofloxacin(10ug), cotrimoxazole(30ug), erythromycin(15ug), ofloxacin(10ug), ceftriazone(30ug), amoxicillin (30ug), gentamycin (10ug), chloramphenicol (30ug), amoxicillin/clavunate (30ug) sparfloxacin (10ug), perfloxacin (30ug) and streptomycin (30ug).The zone of inhibition diameter was measured using calibrated ruler and interpreted as susceptible, intermediate or resistant in accordance to CSLI guidelines. Multidrug resistance is defined as isolates resistance to more than three classes of drugs.

DATA ANALYSIS

Data analysis was carried using SPSS version 15.0[16], with values expressed in means and percentage. Statistical significance difference was determined by chi-square test (p<0.05).

RESULTS

A total of 5000 bacterial pathogens were isolated over the nine month study period, P.aeruginosa isolates accounted for 106 (2.1%). The mean age of the patient was 28.81+17.27 years (range 2-79 years), gender distribution showed that male patients were 56 (52.8%) and 50(47.2%) females. High frequency of pseudomonal infection was more within the age-group of 20-29 years (20.7%) and least in 50-59years (5.7%) (Table I). Significant proportion of isolates were recovered from wounds specimen 42(39.6%), followed by ear swabs 32 (30.2%), catheter tips 16 (15.1%) urine 8 (7.5%), aspirate 4 (3.8%) and least 2 (1.9%) from urethral swab and high vaginal swab respectively (Table II).

The antibiotic susceptibility pattern of P. aeruginosa isolates

as presented in Tables III, showed that the isolates were highly susceptible to sparfloxacin (84.9%0, ciprofloxacin (69.8%), and moderately to perfloxacin (52.8%) and least to ofloxacin (30.2%). High level of resistance was observed with erythromycin (100%), gentamicin (100%), streptomycin (71.1%), all the penicillins, amoxicillin (92.5%), ampiclox (100%), ampicillin-clauvante (100%), cotrimoxazole (100%) and chloramphenicol (98.3%). The two cephalosporin tested, cefuroxime (50.9%) and ceftriaxone (86.8%) respectively. Majority of the isolates that exhibited multidrug resistant pattern to a least 8 antibiotics were 22.6% and to all the drugs 9.4%.

Figure 1

Table I. Age and gender distribution of isolates

Age-group(years)	Males (%)	Females (%)	Total (%)
<10	10(9.4)	14(13.2)	24(22.6)
10-19	4(3.8)	4(3.8)	8(7.6)
20-29	6(5.7)	20(18.9)	26(24.6)
30-39	14(13.2)	8(7.5)	22(20.7)
40-49	12(11.3)	2(1.9)	14(13.2)
50-59	4(3.8)	2(1.9)	6(5.7)
>60	6(5.7)	0(0)	6(5.7)
Total	**56 (52.8)**	**50 (47.2)**	**106(100)**

Figure 2

Table II. Distribution of isolates among clinical specimens

Clinical specimens	Frequency (%)
Wound swab	42(39.2)
Ear swab	32(30.2)
Catheter tip	16 (15.1)
Aspirate	4 (3.8)
Urine	8 (7.5)
Urethral swab	2 (1.9)
High vaginal swab	2 (1.9)
Total	**106 (100)**

Figure 3

Table III. Antibiotic susceptibility pattern of isolates

Antibiotics	Sensitivity (%)	Resistance (%)
Quinolones		
Ciprofloxacin	74(69.8)	32(30.2)
Sparfloxacin	90(84.9)	16(15.1)
Ofloxacin	32(30.2)	74(69.8)
Perfloxacin	56(52.8)	50(47.2)
Macrolides		
Erythromycin	2(1.9)	104(98.1)
Aminoglycosides		
Gentamycin	0(0)	106(100)
Streptomycin	30(28.3)	76(71.7)
Penicillins		
Amoxicillin	8(7.5)	98(92.5)
Ampiclox	0(0)	106(100)
Ampicillin/clauvulanate	0(0)	106(100)
Sulfonamides		
Co-trimoxazole	0(0)	106(100)
Cephalosporins		
Cefuroxime	52(49.1)	54(50.9)
Ceftriaoxone	14(13.2)	92(86.8)
Chloramphenicol	2(1.9)	104(98.1)

DISCUSSION

Pseudomonas aeruginosa is ranked second among gram-negative bacteria isolated in hospital environmentinal, and leading cause of nosocomial infections responsible for high morbidity and moratlity rate. High prevalence of pseudomonal infections is common among critically ill patients on admission on intensive care unit and those with underlying clinical conditions.[17]

In this study, the prevalence of P. aeruginosa isolates in clinical specimens examined over the study period was 2.1%, this level is relatively low when compared with similar studies with higher prevalence level. In Zaria, Olayinka et al.[11] reported a level of 10.5%, while 30% was reported in a study conducted in Pakistan[18], and 20.3% in India.[19] Comparison of epidemiological data of bacterial pathogens as in this study might be difficult as there are other variables that influences the outcome of results such as, clinical specimens received for examination, studied population, type of hospitals and geographical locations.[19] Prevalence of P. aeruginosa isolates varied with clinical conditions and specimens. In the European Prevalence of Infection in Intensive Care (EPIC), P.aeruginosa was predominant gram-negative bacteria isolated from bronchopulmonary infections and accounts for 17% of health care-associated pneumonia and late –onset ventilate associated pneumonia[20] and accounts for significant cases of cystic fibriosis.[21] The distribution of isolates differs with studies and clincal specimens, In Zaria, Olayinka et al[11] reported 51.1% in urine, 41.3% in wound and 1.1% in sputum, while 4.6% in urine in Jos. In Ile-Ife, southwestern Nigeria, prevalence of 11.1% in open musculoskeletal injuries[8], and in Ibadan, isolate rate of 16.8% with 41.9% and 39.35 from ear and wound swab respectively.[22] However, the possibility of P. aeruginosa contaminaton of wounds and catheter tips cannot be ruled out. This is possible in hospital environment where strict hand washing procedure is not strictly adhered to and unhygienic procedure especially in wound dressing and insertion of indwelling catheter may be a contributory factor. Majority of isolates were recovered from patient on admission, this observation affirmed the significant role of this organism in nosocomial infection, similarly was the pattern in wounds and catheter tip specimens.

The unique feature of P. aeruginosa isolates is the resistance to variety of antibiotics, primarily attributed to low permeability of the cell wall, production of inducible cephalosporinase, active efflux and poor affinity for the target (DNA gyrase).[23] In this study, members of quinolones family exhibited high susceptibility pattern, sparfloxacin (84.9%) as the most effective , followed by ciprofloxacin (68.9%), perfloxacin (52.8%), while ofloxacin (30.2%) demonstrated the least susceptibility pattern. This pattern observed with ofloxacin is consistent with report from Bangkok, Thailand with with ofloxacin resistance value of 37.5%.[14] The pattern presented by sparfloxacin may not be surprising, as it is new drug in clinical armanameturium, that are seldom prescribed or abuse in the community. Erythromycin and chloramphenicol resistance value of 98.1% recorded in this study is similar with the report from Gujarat, India.[24] Penicillin are narrow spectrum antibiotics, therefore the high resistance pattern observed in this study, amoxicillin (92.5%), ampiclox and amoxicillin/clavulanate (100%). Similar pattern had been reported in studies in Enugu and Abakaliki, Nigeria[25] and in Malaysia.[26]

Aminoglycosides, especially gentamicin and streptomycin are known frontline antibiotics in the treatment of bacterial

infection due to gram-negative bacteria. However, emerging reports showed increased prevalence of resistance o these drugs. In the study, resistance value of 100% and 71.7% was observed which higher than values reported in study conducted in Ilorin, with resistance value of 40.2% and 36.0%[12] and in Lagos, 75% and 30%.[27] Difference in the resistance pattern may be attributable to factors like exposure to antibiotics, studied population, type of clinical specimen examined. Cephalosporins, are known anti-pseudomonal drugs, especially the third-genetration ceftadizime, that has demonstrated high susceptibility pattern with P. aeruginosa isolates, however cefuroxime and ceftriaxone were the two of the cephalosporin drug tested in this study,with resistance value of 50.9% and 86.8% respectively. These high resistance value observed were comparable with the report from Gujarat, India with resistance value of 73.2% and 75%[25], but higher than reports from Malaysia of 40% and 31% .[26] In contrast, cephalosporins tested in a study conducted in Ibadan, southwestern Nigeria, showed that 90% of the isolates were sensitive.[28] This pattern observed with cephalosporins, underscores the emerging resistance trends to antipseudomonals drugs in different parts of the world. The clinical implication, is that there is need for evaluate of the efficacy of cephalosporin in the treat,ment of pseudomonal infections in order to prevent treatment failure, a scenario that often common in management of pseudomonal infections.

Most disturbing pattern observed in this study was the multidrug resistance exhibited by most of the isolates. Although, similar pattern had been reported in studied conducted in Zaria[11], in Jamacia[29], in Italy[30], Saudi Arabia[31] and Brazil[32]. In conclusion, the multidrug resistance by P. aeruginosa isolated in this study posed dire clinical consequence in term of patient management and infection control approach in hospital environment.

References

1. Olorunfemi PO, Onaolapo JA. Comparative activities of some commonly used antibacterial agents on S. aureus, E. coli and P. aeruginosa. Nigerian Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences 1988; 4:53-57.
2. Govan JRW. Pseudomonads and non-fermenters. In: Greenwood D, Slack RCB, Peutherer JF (eds.). Medical Microbiology. Edinburgh, Churchill Livingstone 1998; 284-289.
3. Hugbo PG, Olurinola PF. Resistance of Pseudomonas aeruginosa to antimicrobial agents: Implications in medicine and pharmacy. Nigerian Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences 1992; 4:1-10.
4. Gilligan PH. Pseudomonas and Burkholderia. In Murray RR, Baron EJ, Pfaler MA, Tenover FC, Yolken RH (eds.) Manual of Clinical Microbiology. Washington DC, American Society of Microbiology, 1995. pp: 509-519.
5. Erdem B. Pseudomonas. In: Ustacelebi S (ed.) Basic Clinical Microbiology. Ankara, Gunes Publication, 1999 pp: 551-558.
6. Qarah S, Cunha AB, Dua P et al. Pseudomonas aeruginosa infections. 2008 Available from: http://www.emedicine.com/med/topic1943.html
7. Oduyebo O, Ogunsola FT, Odugbemi T. Prevalence of multi-resistant strains of P. aeruginosa isolated at the Lagos University Teaching Hospital from 1994 – 1996. Nigerian Quarterly Journal of Medicine 1997; 7:373–376.
8. Akinyola AL, Ako-Nai AK. Microbial isolates in early swabs of musculoskeletal injuries. West African Journal of Medicine 2005; 24(3): 273-278.
9. Ikem IC, Oginni LM, Adegbehinde OO. The bacteriology of open fractures in Ile-Ife, Nigeria. Nigerian Journal of Medicine 2004; 13 (4): 359-365.
10. Kehinde AO, Ademola SA, Okesola AO. Pattern of bacterial pathogens in burn wound infections in Ibadan, Nigeria. Annals of Burns, Fire and Disasters 2004 xvii: i.
11. Olayinka AT, Onile BA, Olayinka BO. Prevalence of multi-drug resistant (MDR) Pseudomonas aeruginosa isolates in surgical units in Ahmadu Bello University Teaching Hospital, Zaria, Nigeria: An indication for effective control measures. Annals of African Medicine 2004; 3(1):13-16.
12. Fadeyi A, Akanbi AA, Ndubisi C, Onile BA. Antibiotic disc sensitivity pattern of Pseudomonas aeruginosa isolates obtained from clinical specimens in Ilorin, Nigeria. Nigerian Journal of Medical Sciences 2005; 34(3): 303-306.
13. Bonfiglio G, Laksai Y, Franchino L et al. Mechanism of β-lactam resistance amongst Pseudomonas aeruginosa isolated in an Italian survey. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy 1998; 42: 697-702.
14. Samporn S, Chuntima T, Thitiya Y, Chertask D. Prevalence and antimicrobial susceptibility of Pseudomonas aeruginosa mucoid and non-mucoid type. Southeast Asia Journal of Tropical Medicine and Public health 2004; 35:893-894.
15. Cheesbrough M. District Laboratory Practice in Tropical Countries (2nd ed). Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 2000.
16. SPSS (2006). SPSS for Windows release 15.0 (standard version). Chicago IL, SPSS Inc.
17. Raja NS, Singh NN. Antimicrobial susceptibility pattern of clinical isolates of Pseudomonas aeruginosa in a tertiary care hospital. Journal of Microbiology, Immunology and Infections 2007; 40:45-49.
18. Nadeem SG, Qasmi SA, Afaque F, Saleem M, Hakim ST. Comparison of the in-vitro susceptibility of clinical isolates of Pseudomonas aeruginosa in a local hospital setting in Karachi, Pakistan. BJMP 2009; 2(4):35-39.
19. Savaş L, Duran N, Savaş N, Önlen Y, Ocak S. The prevalence and resistance patterns of Pseudomonas aeruginosa in intensive care units in a University hospital. Turkish Journal of Medical Science 2005; 35:317-322.
20. Vincent JL, Bihari DL, Suter PM, Bruining HA, White J, Nicolas-chanion M et al. The prevalence of nosocomial infection in intensive care units in Europe: results of the European Prevalence of Infection in Intensive Care (EPIC) study. JAMA 1995; 74:639-644.
21. Pier GB. Role of cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator in innate immunity to Pseudomonas aeruginosa infections. Proceedings of National Academy of Science, USA 2000; 97:8822-8828.

22. Ogbolu DO, Ogunledun A, Adebiyi DE, Daini OA, Terry AO. Antibiotic sensitivity pattern of Pseudomonas aeruginosa to available anti-pseudomonal drugs in Ibadan, Nigeria. African Journal of Medicine and Medical Sciences 2008; 37(3): 339-344.

23. Lim KT, Yasin RY, Yeo CC et al. Genetic fingerprinting and antimicrobial susceptibility profiles of Pseudomonas aeruginosa hospital isolates in Malaysia. Journal of Microbiology and Infectious Diseases 2009; 42:197-209.

24. Javiya VA, Ghatak SB, Patel KR, Patel JA. Antibiotic susceptibility patter of Pseudomonas aeruginosa in a tertiary care hospital in Gujurat, India. 2008; 40(5):230-234.

25. Amadi ES, Uzoaru PN, Orji I, Nwaziri AA. Antibiotic resistance in clinical isolates of P.aeruginosa in Enugu and Abakalilki, Nigeria. The Internet Journal of Infectious Diseases 2009; 7(1).

26. Jombo GTA, Jonah P, Ayeni JA. Multidrug Resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa in Contemporary Medical Practice: Findings From Urinary Isolates At A Nigerian University Teaching Hospital. Nigerian Journal of Physiological Sciences 2008; 23(1-2):105-109.

27. Ogundipeju OO, Nwobu RAU. Occurrence of Pseudomonas aeruginosa in post-operative wound infection. Pakistan Journal of Medicine 2004; 20:187-191.

28. Oni AA, Nwaorgu OGB, Bakare RA, Ogunkunle MO, Toki RA. The discharging ears in Adults in Ibadan, Nigeria; causative agents and antimicrobial sensitivity pattern. African Journal of Clinical and Experimental Microbiology 2002; 3:3-5.

29. Brown PD, Izundu A. Antibiotic resistance in clinical isolates of Pseudomonas aeruginosa in Jamaica. Rev Panam Salud Publica 2004; 16(2):125–130.

30. Pagani L, Mantengoli E, Migliavacca R et al. Multifocal detection of multidrug resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa producing the PER-1 extended spectrum □-lactamase in northern Italy. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy 2004; 42:2523-2529.

31. Al-Jasser AM, Elkhizzi NA. Antimicrobial susceptibility pattern of clinical isolates of Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Saudi Medical Journal 2004; 25(6):780-784.

32. Pellegrino FL, Teixeira M, Carvalho MD et al. Occurrence of a multi drug resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa clone in different hospitals in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. Journal of Clinical Microbiology 2002; 40: 2420-2424.

Author Information

Okon KO, M.Sc

Department of Medical Laboratory Sciences, College of Medical Sciences, University of Maiduguri

Agukwe PC, BMLS

Department of Medical Laboratory Sciences, College of Medical Sciences, University of Maiduguri

Oladosu W, Ph.D

National Institute of Pharmaceutical Research

Balogun ST, M.Sc

Department of Clinical Pharmacology & Therapeutic, College of Medical Sciences, University of Maiduguri

Uba A, Ph.D

Department of Biological Sciences, Abubakar Tafawa Balewa Unversity