Study Of Ethanol Production From Fungal Pretreated Wheat And Rice Straw

S Patel, R Onkarappa, K Shobha

Citation

S Patel, R Onkarappa, K Shobha. *Study Of Ethanol Production From Fungal Pretreated Wheat And Rice Straw*. The Internet Journal of Microbiology. 2006 Volume 4 Number 1.

Abstract

Bioconversion offers a cheap and safe method of not only disposing the agricultural residues, but also it has the potential to convert lignocellulosic wastes into usable forms such as reducing sugars that could be used for ethanol production. This paper reports a preliminary study on the microbial pretreatment and fermentation of the agricultural residues like wheat straw, rice straw. A combination of five different fungi viz. Aspergillus niger , Aspergillus awamori , Trichoderma reesei, , Phenerochaete chrysosporium, Pleurotus sajor-caju, obtained from screening were used for pretreatment and Saccharomyces cereviseae (NCIM 3095) was used for carrying out fermentation. In case of Wheat straw and rice straw, pretreatment with Aspergillus niger and Aspergillus awamori and later fermentation yielded highest amount of ethanol(2.5gl⁻¹ & 2.2g l⁻¹ respectively).

INTRODUCTION

Bioethanol produced from renewable biomass has received considerable attention in current years. Using ethanol as a gasoline fuel additive as well as transportation fuel helps to alleviate global warming and environmental pollution.

In the last decade, most research has tended to focus on developing an economical and ecofriendly ethanol production process. Much emphasis is being given to the production of ethanol from agricultural and forestry residues and other forms of lignocellulosic biomass.(Kadam et al. 2000). Changes in how agricultural field residues are managed further complicate farming economies. In the past, disposal of straw by burning was an accepted practice. This practice is now being challenged due to concern over the health effects of smoke from burning fields. Further the cellulosic plant material represents an as-of-yet untapped source of fermentable sugars for significant use, especially non-food lignocellulosic waste products like wheat straw, rice straw, baggasse, rice husk etc. In these waste products, the polysaccharides, cellulose and hemicellulose are intimately associated with lignin in the plant cell wall(Ballerini.et al. 1994). The lignin component acts as a physical barrier and must be removed to make the carbohydrates available for further transformation processes. Therefore, the pretreatment is a necessary process for utilization of lignocellulosic materials to obtain ultimately high degree of fermentable sugars.Bioconversion of

cellulosic biomass into fermentable sugar, for production of ethanol using microorganisms, especially cellulose degrading fungi, makes bioethanol production economic, environmental friendly and also renewable.

Cellulose is the major constituent of organic matter of plant origin. Lignocellulosic materials are most abundant and renewable resources on earth, which makes them attractive for production of ethanol (Zsolt Szengyel 2000).Pretreatment is an important tool for practical cellulose conversion processes. Pretreatment is required to alter the structures of cellulosic biomass to make more accessible to the enzymes that convert the carbohydrate polymers into fermentable sugars (Mosier et al. 2005) and to cellulase producing microorganisms.

There are several ways to increase the digestibility of cellulose before it is exposed to enzyme or microbial conversion: mechanical, physical chemical or biological pretreatment, as well as the combination of these methods (Bollok 1999).

In this work a study is made on the ethanol production from raw materials which have been treated with various combination of the fungal strains obtained after screening.

MATERIALS AND METHODS RAW MATERIALS

Wheat straw obtained from local fields of Davanagere

district

Rice straw from local fields

Each raw material was powdered and sieved into a 1mm seiver. Powder of each raw material was used as carbon source.

Microorganisms : Screening of cellulose degrading fungi was done from the soil samples of local paddy and wheat fields and five of such fungi were selected viz. Aspergillus niger, Aspergillus awamori, Trichoderma reesei, Phenerochaete chrysosporium, Pleurotus sajor-caju. These were identified using manuals like "Demataceous fungi" by Barnet, and text books like "Text book of mycology" by Alexopolus and confirmed by sending them to NCIM, Pune. These all were preserved on PDA slants.

Saccharomyces cereviseae (NCIM3095) was obtained from NCIM, Pune.

Inoculum preparation: Fungal cultures were inoculated onto PDA medium in the Petri plate. After 4-5 days, culture was used for inoculation.

Culture medium: Mandles medium was prepared by adding (gl-1): urea 0.3, $(NH_4)_2SO_4$ 1.4, KH_2PO_4 2, $CaCl_2$ 0.3, $MgSO_47H_2O$ 0.3, bacto peptone 0.75, and yeast extract 0.25. Trace elements were also added, using a 1% (v/v) solution of salts(mll-1):FeSO_47H_2O 0.5, $MnSO_4$ 0.16, $ZnSO_4$ 0.14, $CoCl_2$ 2. pH was adjusted to 5.5-6.0 before sterilization(Bollok and Reczey 2000).

Culture conditions: 10g /l of each residue was taken in conical flask containing 200ml of Mandle's medium. The conical flasks were plugged with cotton and sterilized at 15lbs per sq.inch for 20 minutes. Each flask was inoculated with 4-5 discs of different fungi . These flasks were incubated at room temperature for 5days on an orbital shaker. After five days mycelium was separated by filtration through Whatman filter paper No.1. The filtrate was used for further studies (Abdul et al. 1999).

Determination of total carbohydrate: The carbohydrate content of untreated and pretreated raw materials in the culture broth was measured by phenol sulphuric acid method (Thimmaiah 1999) with glucose as standard.

Determination of reducing sugars: Reducing sugars in untreated and pretreated raw materials in the culture broth were determined by dinitrosalicylic acid (DNS) method (Miller 1959) with glucose as standard. Determination of protein: The protein content of culture broth was determined by Lowry et al. method (Thimmaiah 1999) with bovine serum albumin as standard.

FPU assay: Cellulase enzyme production was studied by FPU assay(Ghose 1987)

Fermentation: Culture filtrate was further inoculated with Saccharomyces cereviseae strain and allowed for fermentation for seven days (Sandhu et al. 1998). After fermentation it was filtered and ethanol content was determined.

Ethanol estimation: Determination of ethanol content was done by spectrophotomentric method.(Caputi et al. 1968)

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Total sugar, reducing sugar, nonreducing sugar, organic carbon, Nitrogen, total solids, moisture content of each raw material was determined.

Initial composition of each raw material is given in the table 1.

Figure 1

Table 1: Initial composition of the raw materials

Sl. No	Raw material	Total sugar	Reducing sugar	Nonreducing sugar	Moisture (%)	Total solids	Organic carbon	N2 (%)
	1	Wheat straw	0.4	0.0175	0.685	5.265	94.735	36.18
2	Rice straw	0.7	0.0175	0.382	1.83	98.62	36.93	0.448
3	Rice husk	2.6	0.0325	2.5675	6.69	93.31	29.87	0.574
4	Bagasse	1.3	0.175	1.125	8.34	91.66	36.18	0.448

Autoclaving for sterilization has affected and resulted in increase in sugar content. With fungal treatment still increase in the yield of sugars was observed. Than individual fungal treatment, the combination of two fungi resulted in high yield of sugars. In case of wheat straw the treatment with Aspergillus niger and Aspergillus awamori , Aspergillus niger and Trichoderma reesei, Aspergillus awamori and Phenerochaete chrysosporium were found to be effective, the highest yield being with Aspergillus niger and Aspergillus awamori. Rice straw also yielded high amount of reducing sugar with treatment of Aspergillus niger and Aspergillus awamori.

Similar effects were observed as that of reducing sugar yield for protein and cellulase activity. Highest FPU of cellulase was observed in Aspergillus niger and Aspergillus awamori treated wheat and rice straws. As highest reducing sugar yield was seen in Aspergillus niger and Aspergillus awamori treated wheat and rice straws the ethanol yield was also observed to be the highest in these treatments.

Results of all the treatments on each raw material is represented in the tables 2,3.

Microbial treatment of wheat straw and rice straw with Aspergillus niger and Aspergillus awamori was found to be very effective in increasing the reducing sugars which later were fermented to yield ethanol. Therefore, fungal treatment can be an effective pretreatment method in bioethanol production.

Figure 2

Table 2: Effect of fungal treatment on Wheat straw

S1.	Treatment	Total sugar	Reducing sugar	Nonreducingsu	Protein	FPU	Ethanol
No		(mgg ⁻¹)	(mgg ⁻¹)	gar(mgg ¹)	(mgg ⁻¹)	(IUml ⁻¹)	(gl^{-1})
1	Untreated After autoclaving	15	10	5	0.8	0.15	0.8
2	AN	18	15	3	1.8	0.45	1
3	AA	20	18	2	2.2	0.35	1.5
4	TR	17	14	3	2.0	0.32	1.2
5	PC	17	14	3	2.0	0.40	1.1
6	PS	20	15	2	2.1	0.22	1.4
7	AN+AA	36	22	14	2.9	0.90	2.5
8	AN+TR	35	20	15	2.3	0.40	1.5
9	AN+PC	23	19	3	2.2	0.21	1.5
10	AN+PS	22	12	10	2.7	0.45	1.2
11	AA+TR	25	14	6	2.5	0.60	1.5
12	AA+PC	20	18	2	2.8	0.45	1.2
13	AA+PS	26	13	13	3.1	0.75	2
14	TR+PC	20	15	5	2.4	0.32	1.5
15	TR+PS	21	14	7	2.2	0.21	1.5
16	PC+PS	19	17	2	2.4	0.30	1.8

AN= Aspergillus niger AA= Aspergillus awamori TR= Trichoderma reese

PC= Phenerochaete chrysosporium PS= Pleurotus sajor-caju

Figure 3

Table 3: Effect of fungal treatment on Rice straw

SI. No	Treatment	Total sugar (mgg ⁻¹)	Reducing sugar (mgg ⁻¹)	Non reducing sugar(mgg ¹)	Protein (mgg ⁻¹)	FPU (IUml ⁻¹)	Ethanol (gl-1)
1	Untreated after autoclaving	25	14	11	0.9	0.20	0.9
2	AN	28	16	12	2	0.50	1.6
3	AA	29	16	13	2.5	0.60	1.8
4	TR	27	15	12	2.6	0.42	1.6
5	PC	29	15	14	2.2	0.35	1.5
6	PS	30	16	14	2	0.32	1.6
7	AN+AA	42	22	19	3.1	0.92	2.2
8	AN+TR	25	16	9	3	0.28	1.8
9	AN+PC	25	15	10	2.5	0.2	1.7
10	AN+PS	32	16	16	2.5	0.65	1.6
11	AA+TR.	33	17	16	2.7	0.68	1.7
12	AA+PC	29	16	13	2.8	0.90	1.9
13	AA+PS	39	17	12	3.0	0.68	2.0
14	TR+PC	27	15	12	2.5	0.30	1.7
15	TR+PS	26	15	11	2.5	0.22	1.7
16	PC+PS	32	17	15	2.8	0.35	1.8

CORRESPONDENCE TO

Seema J Patel Lecturer Dept. of Biotechnology GM Institute of Technology Davanagere-577006 Karnataka, India Ph. No.9448223566 Fax No.08192233344 Email ID:

sjp_kv@yahoo.co.in

References

1. Abdul Jalil Kader, Othman Omar, Loo Shu Feng (). Isolation of cellulolytic fungi from the Barino Highlands, Sarawak.In: ASEAN Review of biodiversity and environmental conservation (ARBEC). 1999 Article XX. 2. Ballerini D, Desmarquest JP, Pourquie J Ethanol production from lignocellulosics : Large scale experimentation and economics. Biores. Tech.1994 50 : 17-23.

3. Bollok M. Studies on ethanol production on lignocellulosics: SSF and cellulase production. PhD Thesis. 1999. Department of Agricultural and Chemical Technology. Technical University of Budapest, Hungary.

4. Bollok M, Reczey K. Cellulase enzyme production by various fungal strains on different carbon sources. Acta Alimentaria 2000.29(2): 155-168.

5. Caputi A Jr, Ueda M, Brown T. Spectrophotometric determination of ethanol in wine. Am. J. Enol. Vitic. 1968. 19: 160-165.

6. Ghose T.K. Measurement of cellulase activities. Pure and Appl. Chem. 1987. 59 : 257-268.

7. Kadam K L, Forrest LH, Jacobson W A .Rice straw as a lignocellulosic resource collection, processing, transportation and environmental aspects. Biomass Bioenergy. 2000. 8: 369-389.

 Miler G L. Use of DNS reagent for the measurement of reducing sugar. Anal.Chem. 1959. 31: 426-428.
Mosier Nethan, Wyman Charles, Dale Bruce, Elander Richard, Lee, Y.Y., Holtzapple Mark , Ladisch Michael. Features of promising technologies for pretreatment of lignocellulosic biomass. Biores. Tech. 2005. 96 : 673-686. 10. Sandhu Harpreet, Bajaj, K L, Arneja, J S. Biochemical studies on bioconversion of rice straw to ethanol. Ind. J. of Ecol. 1998. 25(1): 62-65. Thimmaiah S K . 1999. Standard methods of biochemical analysis. Kalyani Publishers, New Delhi.
Zsolt Szengyel. Ethanol from wood: cellulase enzyme production. PhD Thesis. 2000. Department of chemical engineering. Lund University. Sweeden.

Author Information

Seema J. Patel, M.Sc. Lecturer, Dept. of Biotechnology, GM Institute of Technology

R. Onkarappa, Ph.D.

HOD of Microbiology, Sahyadri science College, Kuvempu University

K. S. Shobha, M.Sc.

Lecturer, Dept. of Microbiology, Sahyadri science College