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Abstract
Purpose: Superior mesenteric artery syndrome (SMAS) is a rare complication following restorative proctocolectomy with only eight previous reports. We report the case of a 16-year-old girl known to have familial adenomatous polyposis who underwent a restorative proctocolectomy with an ileal pouch-anal anastomosis.

Methods: The features of the case were studied followed by a review of the current literature.

Results: After an uneventful first postoperative week, the patient developed SMAS, characterised by voluminous bilious vomiting. Laparotomy revealed compression of the duodenum by the overlying mesenteric root containing the superior mesenteric artery. Unexpected dense peri-mesenteric and intraperitoneal fibrosis was also encountered.

Conclusion: Previous reports suggested the aetiology is directly related to the accentuated angle between the superior mesenteric artery and the aorta, which is created at the time of surgery. Our case suggests that it may actually be events in the postoperative period such as fibrosis of the mesentery that are responsible. When surgical intervention is required, we advocate duodenojejunostomy as the preferred solution.

INTRODUCTION
Compression of the duodenum by the superior mesenteric artery is a rare complication following restorative proctocolectomy. We report an interesting case in point, consider the possible aetiological factors, and discuss the management of the condition.

CASE REPORT
A 16-year-old girl known to have familial adenomatous polyposis underwent a restorative proctocolectomy with anastomosis of a J-shaped ileal pouch to the anal canal and fashioning of a covering loop ileostomy. The small bowel mesentery was mobilised close to its root and the ileocolic artery was divided, facilitating an anastomosis free from any tension. The initial post-operative course saw a timely reinstatement of her normal diet with a satisfactory stoma function. On the eighth day, she developed significant fresh rectal bleeding, which although required a blood transfusion, was self-limiting. The anastomosis felt intact on digital rectal examination.

On the tenth day, she complained of significant pain in her left iliac fossa and had voluminous bilious vomiting. The ileostomy continued to function normally and there were no other signs suggestive of bowel obstruction. Plain abdominal radiography was normal. A computed tomography scan demonstrated a distended stomach and duodenum to its third part (see figure 1). Total parenteral nutrition was started and she was managed expectantly.
On the sixteenth day, after no clinical improvement and continued vomiting, a contrast study was performed which demonstrated a complete obstruction of the duodenum at its third part (see figure 2). Laparotomy performed on the same day revealed the duodenum obstructed at its third part by the overlying leaf of the mobilised mesentery containing the superior mesenteric artery. Unexpected dense adhesions involving the root of the mesentery and throughout the peritoneum were also found.

A duodenojejunostomy was performed to bypass the obstruction. A steady and uneventful recovery followed where oral intake commenced after a week and discharge occurred after two weeks.

**DISCUSSION**

Restorative proctocolectomy with ileal pouch-anal anastomosis is widely practiced in cases of familial adenomatous polyposis. Early complications include pelvic sepsis, anastomotic leakage, wound infection, haemorrhage and ileostomy dysfunction.

Superior mesenteric artery syndrome (SMAS), whereby the duodenum is compressed against the aorta by the overlying mesentery, is a rare, early complication following restorative proctocolectomy. There have only been eight other reported cases [1,2,3,4,5,6,7]. It has been more readily described as a consequence of acute weight loss, spinal surgery, or neurological injury [8].

Current theory suggests the aetiology is directly related to the accentuated angle between the superior mesenteric artery
(SMA) and the aorta, which is brought about by mobilisation of the mesentery down towards the pelvis [1,2,3]. It has been suggested that loss of the duodenal fat pad, indicated by a low body mass index, is a prerequisite to developing the syndrome [4], but this has recently been refuted [5].

However, in our case, the patient only developed intestinal obstruction after 10 days during which a normal diet and stoma function had been achieved. There was no tension on the anastomosis at the time of its construction, and the vascular supply to the ileal pouch was never compromised. Compression of the duodenum must therefore have occurred after the first week following the operation. The discovery of dense peri-mesenteric and intraperitoneal fibrosis so shortly after the primary procedure was unexpected, and may offer an insight into the aetiology of SMAS.

We propose that SMAS following restorative proctocolectomy may occur as a consequence of postoperative events. Our hypothesis is that a fibrotic reaction within the mesentery causes its gradual contraction during the early postoperative period. Where the initial length of the mesentery may have been adequate, subsequent shortening gives rise to compression of the underlying duodenum. As the mesentery actually shortens, the superior mesenteric vessels are neither stretched nor impinged, explaining the absence of vascular compromise to the ileal pouch.

Superior mesenteric artery syndrome secondary to restorative proctocolectomy has been successfully managed expectantly by employing nasogastric drainage and prolonged parenteral nutrition, achieving resolution in 14 to 18 days [1,3]. In other instances, duodenal division with re-anastomosis anterior to the SMA [3], gastrojejunostomy [6] and Strong’s procedure [10] (mobilisation of the duodenum inferiorly by division of its retroperitoneal attachments and the ligament of Treitz [10]) have all been utilised with a satisfactory outcome. We successfully employed a duodenojejunostomy and believe it should be the procedure of choice in this situation: the integrity of the duodenum is at less risk compared with dividing the duodenum and there is less reflux of bile into the stomach compared with gastrojejunostomy.

CONCLUSION
Superior mesenteric artery syndrome is a rare complication of restorative proctocolectomy. Our case suggests that events in the postoperative period such as fibrosis may be implicated in its pathogenesis. When surgical intervention is required, we advocate duodenojejunostomy as the preferred solution.
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