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Abstract
We report a rare case of gastric collision tumor composed of well differentiated tubular adenocarcinoma and typical carcinoid in an 47-year-old woman. On endoscopic examination, an invasive tumor was noted at the pyloric end of the stomach, and a pathologic examination of the biopsy specimen revealed adenocarcinoma. After total gastrectomy, a thorough histopathologic examination of the resected tumor revealed the concurrent presence of well differentiated adenocarcinoma and typical carcinoid tumor, which had a colliding pattern of tissue proliferation. The presence of either tumor individually would not be especially noteworthy, but this collision-type tumor of both histopathologic types in the stomach is, to our knowledge, is the eighth case in the literature.

INTRODUCTION
Neuroendocrine cells are frequently found in gastric tumours, although they rarely make up more than one third of the total number of tumour cells. When juxtapositioning of the two kinds of tumour cells occurs, a “collision tumour” is formed. These have been described to occur with varying frequency throughout the digestive tract. They are uncommon in the stomach. We describe a case, of a gastric collision tumour in which an adenocarcinoma coexisted with a carcinoid tumour.

CLINICAL FINDINGS
A 47-year-old female was admitted to the surgical ward with the history of vomiting and abdominal distension since one week. On endoscopic examination, a growth was noted at the pyloric end of the stomach. Biopsy revealed well differentiated adenocarcinoma. Surgery was the choice of treatment, and the patient underwent partial gastrectomy with the removal of lymph nodes and piece of omentum.

PATHOLOGICAL FINDINGS
On gross examination, partial gastrectomy specimen revealed Borrmann type 3 ulcer measuring 5x2.5cm at the pyloric end. Cut section of the growth was greyishwhite in colour with yellowish areas in the deeper portion. Microscopic examination showed that the tumor was composed of two distinct population of cells. One was well differentiated tubular adenocarcinoma and the other was carcinoid tumor composed of small uniform tumor cells arranged in solid nests, sheets and trabaculae (Fig 1, 2, 3). These tumor cells had round nucleus with stippled chromatin, inconspicuous nucleolus and scanty cytoplasm. Both components of the tumor tissue invaded the subserosal layer. Lymph nodes showed metastatic deposits of adenocarcinomatous component. Immunohistochemical studies on carcinoid tumor showed positivity for chromagranin and synaptophysin.

Figure 1
Figure 1: Photomicrograph showing both adenocarcinoma and carcinoid, invading the muscularis propria (H&E, x20)
**DISCUSSION**

In general, a carcinoid tumor of the stomach is rare and constitutes approximately 2% of all gastrointestinal carcinoid tumors. Still rarer in stomach are tumors comprising of both carcinoid and adenocarcinoma. This combination of tumors is devided in to two morphological groups. When two elements are juxtapositioned,a “collision tumor” is formed. When there is intermingling between the two components, it is called a “composite tumor”. Tumors like lymphoma, gastrointestinal stromal tumor, and carcinoid can occur in collision with gastric adenocarcinoma. In our case, there was collision between a well differentiated adenocarcinoma and carcinoid. Usually, it is not easy to morphologically distinguish a collision-type from a composite-type tumor. It has been reported that metastasis from a composite tumor shows both of the tissue constituents, whereas those from a collision tumor show only a single tissue component. Our case was compatible with such a finding, since the metastatic lymph nodes showed adenocarcinoma alone.

To our knowledge, there are 34 cases of gastric collision tumor composed of epithelial and nonepithelial malignant neoplasm reported in the literature. A summary of those 34 cases showed a male-female ratio of 2.5:1 and an average age of incidence of 61 years (range, 42–80 years). Most tumors were found in the body of the stomach. In those cases, a simultaneous incidence of adenocarcinoma and carcinoid tumor was the most frequent finding. There were only 7 cases of adenocarcinoma and carcinoid tumor. Our case was compatible with such a finding, since the metastatic lymph nodes showed adenocarcinoma alone.

The relationship between these two distinct tumors is unclear. There are two schools of thought regarding the origin of this tumor. One is that both are derived from amultipotential epithelial stem cell. The other hypothesis is that both tumors have different cells of origin. It has been observed that patients with carcinoids have an increased risk of developing secondary neoplasms, and half of these cases also have an adenocarcinoma in the gastrointestinal tract.

The prognosis of collision tumors is uncertain, but it seems that the presence and degree of differentiation of the adenocarcinoma component have a greater negative impact than the carcinoid component.
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**Figure 4**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case no</th>
<th>Age/sex</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Epithelial tumor</th>
<th>Neuroendelial tumor</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>58M</td>
<td>Mid body</td>
<td>Adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>Carcinoid</td>
<td>Yamashina de Flinner et al. 1985</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>68F</td>
<td>Body</td>
<td>WD adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>Carcinoid</td>
<td>Chodankar et al. 1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>45M</td>
<td>Upper body</td>
<td>M D adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>Carcinoid</td>
<td>Mori et al. 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>72M</td>
<td>Undetermined</td>
<td>M D adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>Carcinoid</td>
<td>Coskun and Esenalp 1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>66M</td>
<td>Cardia</td>
<td>adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>Carcinoid</td>
<td>Mori et al. 1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>54F</td>
<td>Cardia</td>
<td>M D adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>Carcinoid</td>
<td>Mori et al. 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>43M</td>
<td>Pylorus</td>
<td>M D adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>Carcinoid</td>
<td>Jayaraman et al. 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>47F</td>
<td>Pylorus</td>
<td>M D adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>Carcinoid</td>
<td>Present case 2003</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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