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Abstract
Aim: To elucidate if low-dosed heparins (both unfractioned and low-molecular-weight) have a significantly negative effect on bone healing in vivo, as reported previously. While other studies applied higher doses, we used doses comparable to clinical application.

Methods: Female rabbits received defined metaphyseal defects to their femora and were then subjected to daily injections of either saline solution, unfractioned low-dose heparin (UFH) or one of two different low-molecular-weight heparins for a period of 6 weeks. After scarification, the remaining osseous defects were histomorphometrically examined.

Results: We found some indication, but no statistical evidence, that both UFH and two different LMWH appear to reduce bone healing compared to placebo after 6 weeks. In the placebo group, an average defect reduction of 50.7 % was observed. In the UFH group, the defects had reduced by 42.7 % on average, in the certoparine group by 42.7 % and in the dalteparin group by 47.9 %.

Conclusion: As our results do not prove a significant reduction of bone healing in vivo at clinically relevant doses, we recommend to continue using a combined approach for clinical DVT prophylaxis, including daily s.c heparin administration, until full mobilization.

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS
DVT - Deep vein thrombosis
LMWH - Low molecular weight heparin
UFH - Unfractioned heparin
IU - International Unit, used to measure the anticoagulatory effect of a given heparin. Based on a WHO reference heparin derived from porcine intestinal mucosa. 1 mg of standard heparin contains ca. 170 IU (156).

INTRODUCTION
For more than 30 years, subcutaneous administration of heparin has been the gold standard for the prophylaxis of venous thrombembolisms (3). In orthopaedic surgery, injuries to the lower extremity, vertebral column or pelvis, are associated with an very high risk of thromboembolic incidents, such as deep vein thrombosis and pulmonary embolisms. Pulmonary embolism have been found in 2-22% of trauma patients. In the U.S., an estimated 100,000 patients die from pulmonary embolisms each year (4). Prophylaxis includes daily subcutaneous heparin administration as well as mechanical compression of the lower extremities, either by stockings or pneumatic compression devices. In our clinic, we use daily s.c. shots of enoxaprin (Clexane/Lovenox™) combined with compression stockings until patients are fully mobilized and are allowed to sustain their full body weight.

In a recent comprehensive review by Agudelo et al., the patho-physiological prerequisites for venous thrombembolism are discussed at length (5). Virchow's triad, published in 1856, established intimal venous injury, hemostasis and hypercoagulabilty as the 3 major factors for the development of venous thrombembolisms (5). More recently studies by Meissner et al.fnd hypercoagulabilty in up to 80% of trauma patients, persisting for at least one month after injury (6). As Agudelo and Morgan report, most thrombus in high-risk patients originate in the deep veins of the calf. Of these, about 10-20% eventually extend into more proximal veins, of which about half eventually lead to pulmonary embolisms.
Commercial medical-grade heparins are derived mainly from porcine intestine or bovine lung tissue. Heparins are mucopolysaccharides with molecular masses of 6-30 kDa (unfractioned heparin) and 1-10 kDa (low molecular weight heparins), respectively (1,13). Heparins as pentasaccharides bind to antithrombin III (19), amplifying its efficacy 1000-fold. The anticoagulatory effect is achieved at serum concentration of 0.1 to 1 International Unit (I.U.) heparin/ mL blood. Side effects include haemorrhage in the skin, mucous tissue, and wounds, as well as in the gastrointestinal and urinary tracts. (30,34). Another, not uncommon side effect is heparin-induced thrombocytopenia Type II (HIT II), an antibody/antigene reaction leading to a fast decline of platelet counts below 100k / µL or below 50% of an individual’s normal platelet level. UFH are typically used for the treatment of acute deep vein thrombosis and arterial embolism, as well as acute myocardial infarction.

Low molecular weight heparins (LMWH) are derived from standard heparin by chemical processes called fractioning or fragmentation. Due to these different production processes, LMWH are a heterogeneous group of substances with varying pharmacokinetic and pharmakodynamic properties and can not be readily substituted for one another (1). The average molecular mass of LMWH varies between 3.900 and 6.000 Da (13,14). Generally, LMWH provide their antithrombotic effect by binding to AT III and deactivating thrombine (Factor IIa). While UFH binds to AT III and deactivates Factor IIa equally, LMWH have less affinity to Factor IIa, most likely due to their shorter polysaccharide chains. While UFH binds to AT III and Factor IIa 1:1, LMWH bind to AT III 2 to 4 times more likely than to Factor IIa (1). For this reason LMWH do not effect tests such as aPTT as UFH and can not be monitored in terms of clinical effect, but only in terms of serum concentration of anti-Xa units. LMWH are used primarily for the prophylaxis of venous thrombembolic disorders, venous thromboses, pulmonary embolisms, during extracorporal circulation and hemodialysis (12,26).

In 1948, Lenggenhagen discovered that even minute concentrations of heparin can be an effective anticoagulant by blocking Factor Xa and thus preventing the formation of fibrin clots (17,22,25). Large studies in the 1970s by Kakkar and Gallus corroborated these results (13,14,17,25,26,47). Schöndorf et al. reported a reduction of thrombembolic incidents in orthopaedic patients receiving low-dose heparin from 60% to 18%. Instead of traditional continuous i.v. infusion of 18 I.U. heparin/ kg body weight/ hour or subcutaneous injections of 3 x 12.500 or 2 x 25.000 I.U./day, low-dose anticoagulation reduced these amounts to 3 x 5.000-7.500 I.U./day with equal efficacy. For more than 30 years, low-dosed heparins represent the gold standard for the prophylaxis of venous thromboses and associated complications in clinical medicine. Prophylaxis of arterial thrombosis (such as in myocardial infarction) and therapy of manifest deep vein thromboses as well as embolic incidents are treated with traditional i.v. heparin or weight-adjusted LMWH.

Both UFH and LMWH have been reported to interfere with bone physiology. Many cases of heparin-induced osteoporosis have been reported, leading to fractures of vertebral bodies or femoral neck, amongst others (2,41,46,53). The interactive pathways between heparins and bone cells have remained largely elusive. Both direct influences on osteoblasts and osteoclasts, as well as indirect influences such as interference with intercellular signalling, hormone feedback loops and mineral metabolism are being discussed. Monreal et al. suggested an inhibitory effect on osteoblasts with data derived from a rat model (6), while Fuller et al. consider an amplification of osteoclast activity the more likely reason (11). Asher et al. postulate that heparins actually interfere with collagen synthesis, resulting in less extracellular matrix and osteoid being produced and thus an overall reduced bone density (1). Mutoh et al. found a negative correlation between heparin and serum levels of vitamin-d3 (9). Crisp et al. postulate an increased activity of PTH under heparin (8), while Dahlmann et al. consider an attenuation of calcitonin activity to be the more likely cause for the formation of osteoporotic bone (9). Mätzsch et al. discuss a correlation between zinc-mediated binding to sulfonic acid groups and the adverse effects on bone formation (17).

Street et al. investigated the effect of prophylactic administration of the LMWH enoxaparin on the healing of a closed rib fracture in a rabbit model. Fracture healing was significantly attenuated at all times in animals receiving subcutaneous enoxaparin compared with that of the control animals. Fracture healing was assessed using histomorphometric, histologic and immunohistochemical methods (30). In accordance with this result Osip(30) and Kock (27) reported an significant inhibition of osteoblast growth by application of LMWH in a standardized in vitro model. Handschin described a significant, dose dependent inhibition of osteoblast proliferation, inhibition of protein synthesis and inhibited expression of phenotype markers.
(osteocalcin and alkaline phosphatase genes) in primary human osteoblast cell culture incubated with dalteparin (n).

In contrast to these results Matziolis et al (35) described an increased osteoblast-proliferation rate by exposing human osteoblasts in vitro to heparin concentration used therapeutically in humans. They showed also a synergism between heparin and the used fetal calf serum (FCS) which was able to amplify the positive effect of heparin. Hauser found similar results in an in vitro model using osteoblast-like Saos-2 cells. He reported that low concentrations of heparin (5 -500 ng/ml) promoted matrix deposition and subsequent mineralization (19).

This study was designed to elucidate if there are significant differences between two common low molecular weight heparins (LMWH) and unfractioned heparin (UFH) on bone healing and possibly derive a clinical guideline for the use and dosage of heparins for orthopaedic and traumatologic patients.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**ANIMAL MODEL**

This in vivo study was approved by the local and state animal protection boards according to German Animal Protection Law.

26 female New Zealand White rabbits with an average weight of 3 kg were used for this experiment. 13 of them were kept in 2 kennels of 20 m² each, able to move about freely on mulched hay litter. Water and food was made available around the clock, ambient temperature cycled around 21° Celsius with a 12h day/night rhythm.

The animals were arranged into 4 groups of 6 animals each, group 1 receiving daily s.c. injections of saline solution for 6 weeks following operation, group 2 receiving daily s.c. injections of UFH, group 3 receiving daily s.c. injections of dalteparin-sodium (Fragmin), group 4 receiving daily s.c. injections of certoparin-sodium (Mono-Embolex).

**SURGICAL PROCEDURE**

Initially, the animals received a solution of Ketamin (ketaminhydrochloride) and Rompun 2% (xylazinehydrochloride) i.m. and were then placed into single cages. After anaesthesia taking effect, the animals received an i.v. (Abbocath 22G) into an ear vein to maintain anaesthesia intraoperatively (Ketamin/Rompun and L-Polamivet). The animals were also intubated to prepare for assisted respiration in case of pulmonary depression. For protection of their conjunctiva, the animals were treated with panthenole ointment.

After shaving, washing and desinfecting the surgical field, sterile drapes were put in place. The incision was made laterally. The fascia lata was split and the lateral quadriceps was removed from its insertion and moved ventromedially to open a window in the soft tissues and prepare the lateral femur condyla for trepanation. Cylindrical bone defects were mill cut into the femoral condylae of the rabbits strictly perpendicular to the long axis of the femur using a water-cooled precision diamond mill cutting system (Diamond Bone Cutting System DBCS, Merck, Darmstadt, Germany; Fig. 1). The instrument used for this experiment was originally developed for the atraumatic trepanation of implant beds and extraction of bone cylinders with an outside diameter of 3,6 mm. After placement of the defect, it was throughly rinsed with saline solution, haemostasis was obtained and each layer of tissue subsequently sutured. Finally a spray-on dressing was applied. Likewise, an identical defect was placed into the opposite leg of each animal.
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Figure 1
Figure 1: The watercooled precision diamond millcutting system (Diamond Bone Cutting System, Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) used in this study for the trepanation of the bone defects.

Heparins were administered subcutaneously on a daily basis and dosed as follows: UFH 133 IE/kg body weight/day, dalteparin 50 anti-Xa-units/kg body weight/day, certoparin 50 anti-Xa-units/kg body weight/day.

POST-MORTEM
After sacrifice of the animals by professional staff, the hind legs of the animals were exarticulated to ease the preparation of the distal femora. At first, the specimens were fixed in an aqueous solution of 3.8% formaldehyde for a period of one week. Then, the specimens were extensively rinsed in water for two days to remove any remaining formaldehyde and prevent its interference with subsequent steps of preparation. For dehydration, the specimens were placed into a graded ethanol series (70, 80, 90, 96 and 100%) for 7 days, respectively. Then, they were placed into a acetone/ethanol solution to further reduce fat content. The dehydrated and degreased specimens were placed into a methylmetacrylat (MMA) solution to prepare for final embedding in MMA using Technovit 7200 (Heraeus-Kulzer, Wehrheim, Germany). The specimens were fully immersed in MMA, which polymerized and dried at 35°C in an oven for a period of one week. Sections perpendicular to the axis of the implant cylinder were cut from each preparation. The sections were ground to a thickness of 100µm and polished using an EXACT device. Then, a toluidine-blue stain was used to assess the remaining osseous defect (39).

INTERIM CARE
During the 6 week study interval, the animals received daily drug administration and wound inspection. Wound dehiscences, when detected, were surgically revised under sterile conditions as outlined above. Single, noticeably less mobile animals were x-rayed to rule out possible fractures to the trepanated bone. None of the animals were found to have fractures, and in all but one case, returned to normal behavior and mobility within days.

After the operation, the animals were individually housed for 14 days to limit excessive movement and to control wound healing. The animals received i.m. shots of Suovipen (an aqueous Penicillin/Streptomycin-solution) on post-op day 2 and 4 to contain possible infections. The animals received i.m. injections of Temgesic (buprenorphine) for analgesia.
Figure 2

Figure 2: Schematic of a frontal cross section of a rabbit femur. Horizontal lines marking position of the milled canal. Vertical lines marking tissue cross sections.

HISTOMORPHOMETRIC EVALUATION OF BONE DEFECTS

As previously established, in the absence of heparin, an osseous defect of 3.6 mm diameter at the distal femora of rabbits will be completely filled with new bone in 50% of cases after 6 weeks. With the administration of heparins, a reduction in bone healing is to be expected, resulting in a larger residual bone defect at the end of the study interval. These remaining defects, transformed to two-dimensional tissue sections, can be quantified histomorphometrically and help to differentiate the effects on bone healing of the various heparins applied. The sections were individually analyzed using a Leitz DM/LM microscope (Leitz, Wetzlar, Germany) with digital image acquisition and analysis software DISKUS (Hilgers, Königswinter, Germany), allowing true-to-scale measurements of the defect areas.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

All numeric data are given as means and standard deviations. To calculate the statistical significance of differences between the three heparin groups and the control group, the ANOVA test was applied.

RESULTS

In the first group of this in vivo experiment, the animals received 0.9% NaCl solution (physiologic saline), serving as control. All animals in this group recovered quickly from surgery and regained normal mobility during the first two weeks post-op. All animals were sacrificed after 6 weeks and both legs were prepared for histological analysis. A representative example is given in Fig. 3. The stained tissue sections displayed no indication of inflammation or excessive callus formation. The light-pink stained semitranslucent tissue around the residual defects displayed the typical morphology of woven and lamellar bone. This observation translates to prolific growth of vital bone tissue directly into the defect zone in the control group. The average defect size in the control group was 5.01 ± 1.73 mm², yielding an average defect reduction of 50.7% after 6 weeks.

In the second group, unfractioned heparin (UFH) was administered. All animals recovered well from surgery and were sacrificed after 6 weeks, as scheduled. Again, histological analysis revealed no irregular tissue or indication for an inflammatory reaction in or around the defect in any tissue section. The average defect size in the UFH group was 5.83 ± 1.76 mm², translating to a defect reduction by 42.7% after 6 weeks and indicating an inhibition of bone formation compared to the control group (p>0.05).
The third group of animals received daily s.c. shots of the LMWH certoparine (Mono-Embolex™). All animals recovered well from surgery and were sacrificed after 6 weeks, as scheduled. Histological analysis revealed no irregular tissue or indication for an inflammatory reaction in or around the defect in any tissue section. The average defect size in the certoparine group was 5.82 ± 1.88 mm², translating to an average defect reduction of 42.7% after 6 weeks. For certoparine, we found no statistically significant inhibition of bone formation compared to the control group (p>0.05).

The fourth group of animals was treated with the LMWH dalteparine (Fragmin™). Again, all animals recovered well from surgery and were sacrificed after 6 weeks, as planned. Histological analysis revealed no irregular tissue or indication for an inflammatory reaction in or around the defect in any tissue section. The average defect size in the dalteparine group was 5.3 ± 1.33 mm², indicating a inhibition of bone formation compared to the control group. This translates to a an average defect reduction of 47.9% after 6 weeks. We were not able to establish statistical significance for these results (p>0.05). Histomorphometrical data is provided numerically in Table 1 and shown graphically in Fig. 4.

**DISCUSSION**

In 1937, the swedish surgeon Crawford pioneered the clinical use of heparins for treatment and prophylaxis of thrombosis. Introduced more than 30 years ago, s.c. injections of heparins have become the current gold standard for the routine perioperative prophylaxis of deep vein thrombosis. Side effects like thrombocytopenia, haemorrhage or allergic reactions are not uncommon, but can typically be contained well if encountered.

Trauma patients have a comparatively high susceptibility for acquiring thrombosis and have to be monitored accordingly. By and large, patients with osteosynthesis to their lower limbs, hip or back, have to limit loading anywhere from 4 to 8 weeks, sometimes up to and in excess of 3 months post-operatively to allow the fracture to fully consolidate. During this period, the reduced mobility raises the risk for acquiring a thrombosis to up to 60%. After its inception in the 1970s, subcutaneous heparinisation with its ease of operation and high efficacy became very successful and reduced the risk.
for thrombosis from 60% to 18% in orthopaedic patients. However, heparins brought along a side effect with particular implication for traumatologic patients, namely reducing bone's capacity to heal, even causing osteoporosis after prophylactic administration. Since their introduction, subcutaneous heparins brought major advantages and improved the quality of life for millions of patients each year, but in orthopaedic disciplines, their use has remained a clinical problem to this day, leading to fracture non-unions, allograft loosening, periprosthetic fracturing, vertebral compression fractures or femoral neck fractures (23,42,46,51). Asher et al. discovered the activation of a collagenase by UFH, resulting in the resorption of collagen I, a major protein of the extracellular matrix of bone cells and primary scaffold for mineralisation (3). Hurley et al. reported a suppression of collagen synthesis in rats following administration of both UFH and LMWH (2). Thompson et al. studied the mechanical properties of bone of rats subjected to UFH and found a significant reduction of breaking strength. Megard et al. supported these results by reporting significantly rarefied trabecular bone and thinning of cortical bone in a similar model (15). Muir et al. examined the parameters bone mass, osteoblast surface, osteoklast surface, serum alkaline phosphatase activity and urinary type I cross-linked pyridinoline (PYD) in rats subjected to either UFH or LMWH. Both substances significantly reduced osteoblast surface area (equivalent to cell number), but only UFH simultaneously increased osteoclast area/number (15). These results were supported by additional findings: Alkaline phosphatase activity was reduced by both substances, but only UFH simultaneously increased the amount of urinary PYD, an end product of osteoclastic bone resorption. Muir postulates two alternative explanations: (i) Both UFH and LMWH have the capacity to bind to osteoblasts, but only UFH also interacts with osteoclasts, practically doubling its adverse effect on bone healing. (ii) Building upon results by Rodan, Braidman and McSheehy (5,38,46) who postulate paracrine signalling pathways between osteoblast and osteoclasts, he hypothesizes that UFH but not LMWH have the capacity to interfere with this signalling thus promoting osteoclast activity indirectly. Our experiment was designed to elucidate if low-dosed unfractioned heparins or low molecular weight heparins have significantly different effects on bone healing. The bone defect model involved New Zealand white rabbits and was previously used by Kock et al. in 2002 (30). UFH was administered at 133 IU/kg body mass/day. This is equivalent to a typical low-dose anticoagulation with 2 x 5000 IE of a 70kg female patient, for instance replacing cumarines in pregnant women with certain heart conditions. Both certoparine and dalteparine were dosed at 50 anti-Xa-units/kg body weight/day, equivalent to dosages administered perioperatively to high-risk traumatologic or orthopaedic patients. Leyvraz et al. demonstrated a clear advantage of weight-adjusted LMWH vs. serum-controlled UFH regarding efficacy of reducing perioperative thrombosis in high-risk patients. They used nadroparine, dosed between 38 and 58 anti-Xa-units/kg body weight/day (6).

Kock et al. reported a significant reduction in bone healing rate in rabbits subjected to 400 IU UFH/kg body weight/day or 80 anti-Xa-units/kg body weight/day of certoparine (30). In our study, UFH was dosed at 133 IU - a third of the amount used by Kock et al. – and continued to compromise bone healing. Both LMWH used in this study reduced bone healing as well. Our data suggests certoparin having a worse effect than dalteparine, however statistical significance for this observation could not be established.

The experiment began by surgically placing defined defects into the femoral condyle of the rabbits. Post-operatively, the animals were divided into groups of six (in one instance seven) and received daily shots of saline, and three types of heparins, respectively. The saline group was our point of reference. Using data by Kock et al., we expected 50% of the 3.6 mm defects to fill with new bone after a six week interval (30). The remaining 50% were expected to display small residual defects with both woven bone and trabecular bone grown into the defect zone from the original lamellar bone around its perimeter. The residual defect areas were quantified and served as reference for uncompromised, physiologic bone healing.

The animals in the other groups, one receiving UFH and two LMWH (certoparine and dalteparine), received injections of each substance equivalent to low-dose heparinisation in human patients. Likewise to the saline group, the residual defect areas were quantified microscopically.

After statistical analysis, we conclude that all heparins included in this study induced a non-significant reduction in bone healing rate compared to the control group, corroborating the results reported in many previous studies. When testing the UFH group vs. the certoparine (Mono-Embolex™) group we found some indication but no
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statistically significant evidence for a stronger adverse effect by UFH. The statistical analysis of UFH vs. the second LMWH dalteparine (Fragmin™), again gave no significant difference between defect sizes.

While not providing statistically significant results, our animal study indicates that both UFH and LMWH interfere with bone healing, in some accordance with previous studies. However, the extent of this interference appears marginal given the possible implications of thrombembolic incidents. It is our assessment that daily LMWH administration until full mobilization should continue to be part of a proper prophylaxis of DVT in trauma patients.
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