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Abstract
Not much is written about lateral condylar fractures of humerus in children following a united supracondylar fracture except for a few case reports and series. We present a series of 7 such cases. There were 5 boys and 2 girls and left side was involved in 5 cases and right in 2. Lateral condylar fracture occurred at an average of about 2.72 years after sustaining initial supracondylar fracture. In all cases fresh fracture was treated by open reduction and k-wire fixation. The varus deformity required a second stage corrective osteotomy in 4 of 7 patients for cosmetic reasons. Further research is needed and special stress needs to be given to the biomechanics of occurrence of lateral condylar fracture following supra condylar fracture.

INTRODUCTION
There is not much literature available regarding lateral condylar fracture following a united supracondylar fracture of humerus in children. In past some light on this topic has been showered by Herrin and Fitch (1) who reported one case, David’s et al (2) reported 6 such cases and Takahara et al (3) added 9 more to the list. We over a period of 5 years from beginning 2003 to ending 2008 collected data Of patients who had sustained a lateral condylar fracture following a united supracondylar fracture. Our study adds 7 more cases to the limited resource of knowledge we have about these fractures

MATERIAL AND METHODS
From 2003 to 2008, we collected data of patients who had sustained a lateral condylar fracture subsequent to a united supracondylar fracture (Table 1). We had a total of 7 cases, 5 boys and 2 girls. Left side was involved in 5 cases and right in 2. The age at which patients suffered supracondylar fracture ranged from 4 to 8 years with an average of 5.85 years. Out of 7 patients, 4 had a type III supracondylar fracture and 3 had a type II fracture using Gartland classification (4). All type II fractures and 2 type III fractures were managed by closed reduction and long arm slab while as 2 type III fracture were managed by closed reduction and percutaneous pinning. All patients united in some varus deformity with carrying angle ranging from 0 to -15 degrees with a mean of -9.14 degrees (Figure 1,2,3,4). Lateral condylar fracture occurred due to fall in all patients at an age range of 7 to 11 years with an average of 8.57 years. So lateral condylar fracture occurred at an average of about 2.72 years after sustaining initial supracondylar fracture. The lateral condylar fracture was classified on the basis of displacement and confirmed intraoperatively. All patients were treated by open reduction and k wire fixation.
RESULTS

All the patients had a lateral condylar fracture through the lateral metaphysis just above the distal humeral physis. All radiographs showed varus malunion, the sequelae of prior supracondylar fracture. The lateral condylar fracture was classified on the basis of displacement with 5 patients having moderate displacement and 2 having severe displacement. There was varus displacement of the distal fragment and the relationship of the capitellum to the radius was maintained.
All patients were treated by open reduction and k-wire fixation. All patients were followed till union. The radiographic examination at the time of union showed an increase in varus deformity in all patients to the extent of 5 degrees each on an average. The varus deformity required a second stage corrective osteotomy in 4 of 7 patients for cosmetic reasons.

**DISCUSSION**

We all are well versed with the complications of supracondylar fractures which range from acute neurovascular complications, compartment syndromes to varus deformities and myositis ossificans to name a few (5). Subsequent additions have been as tardy ulnar nerve palsy (6,7) avascular necrosis of the distal humeral epiphysis (8), posterolateral rotatory instability of the elbow (9). Lateral condylar fracture of humerus secondary to a malunited supracondylar fracture has received least attention in orthopaedic and relevant literature. David’s et al (2) suggested that the varus residual deformity following a malunited supracondylar fracture may predispose a child to subsequent lateral condylar fracture. They studied the biomechanics of cubitus varus, and suggested that posttraumatic cubitus varus alignment could increase both the distraction and shear forces across the lateral condyle of the distal humerus generated by a routine fall on an outstretched upper arm. As was seen by Takahara et al (3) in their series , our patients had adduction avulsion fractures as described by Milch (10) which suggests that the cause had been predominantly a distraction rather than a compression force (11).

**CONCLUSION**

Since much attention has not been paid to the occurrence of lateral condylar fracture following a malunited supracondylar fracture, further research is needed. Special stress needs to be given to the biomechanics of occurrence of lateral condylar fracture following supracondylar fracture. Cadaveric studies which are yet to be taken are needed to know the exact role of various distraction and compression forces which may contribute to this complication and modes of prevention need to be stressed.
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