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Abstract

Background: A novel way to assess the autonomic vascular functional unit of the upper extremity is the sympathetic vasomotor response of the radial artery using continuous wave Doppler ultrasonography. We used this method to determine whether such response is impaired in patients with end stage renal disease (ESRD).

Methods: 43 patients with ESRD on renal replacement therapy(Ga), 48 normal healthy subjects(Gc1) and 28 patients with diabetes mellitus and/or hypertension, serum creatinine levels <1.13mg/dL (100µmol/l) and with no evidence of nephropathy(Gc2) underwent continuous wave Doppler examination of the radial artery before and after cough using Bidop ES-100V3® (8MHz probe). The maximal change in waveform after cough was recorded. The resistance index was calculated as systolic velocity minus diastolic velocity/systolic velocity. The pulsatility index was calculated as peak to peak change/mean flow velocity.

Results: The resistance index after cough as a ratio of the baseline (RI) in Gc1 increased significantly (0.21±0.19) as opposed to Ga (0.02±0.07), the mean difference between the two groups being 1.93±0.29 (CI: 1.36,2.51 p<0.001). The pulsatility index after cough as a ratio of the baseline (PI) in the Gc1 increased significantly (1.93±2.09) as opposed to Ga (0.36±0.56), the mean difference between the two groups being 1.56 SD 0.31 (CI: 0.94,2.19 p<0.001). There was no significant difference in RI and PI between Ga and Gc2.

Conclusion: Patients with ESRD have impaired sympathetic vasomotor response of the radial artery after cough.

BACKGROUND

Neurophysiological assessment of the peripheral autonomic system is characterized by various limitations (1). A novel approach to assess the autonomic vascular functional unit of the upper extremity makes use of continuous wave Doppler ultrasonography (CWD) by assessing the sympathetic vasomotor tone of the radial artery (2).

The sympathetic vasomotor response can be tested reliably at an easily accessible superficial artery such as the radial artery using basic Doppler equipment. After adequate sympathetic stimulation (cough, inspiration, acoustic, or electric) and transmission by an intact peripheral sympathetic system, an age-independent vasoconstrictor response is observed in the Doppler waveform being sampled at the radial artery (3). Figure 1 below shows the difference in blood flow velocity waveforms before and after stimulation in a normal sympathetic vasomotor response. Note the reversed diastolic flow after cough.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

PATIENTS AND SUBJECTS

43 patients with end stage renal disease (Ga) who have started renal replacement therapy (RRT) were included in the study. 25 were males and 18 were females (mean age 63
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Patients who had already failed bilateral wrist arteriovenous fistulas, had valvular heart disease, uncompensated heart failure, atrial fibrillation or were on Beta blockers were excluded from the study. Current smokers or who stopped smoking one month or less from index examination were also excluded. Informed consent was obtained from all patients and subjects. The study was approved by the local research ethics committee.

METHOD

A continuous wave Doppler ultrasound machine (Bidop ES-100V3 ®) with an attached 8MHz probe was used for the study. It was calibrated once at the beginning of the study. Its accuracy is up to ±10% or less. All the examinations were carried out by a single author who had a month period of training in the procedure before the commencement of the study.

Continuous wave Doppler examination of the radial artery before and after cough was performed on all patients and subjects. The maximal change in waveform after cough was recorded. Where possible, the non-dominant upper extremity (ND) was used for the examination. If patients had failed or had functioning wrist arteriovenous fistula in the ND, the dominant upper extremity was used for the examination.

Patients were in the sitting position with the forearm to be examined resting on the thigh. The Doppler probe was held at a 60o angle to the skin. The systolic velocity (cm/sec), mean flow velocity (cm/sec), diastolic velocity (cm/sec), resistance index (systolic velocity minus diastolic velocity divided by systolic velocity), pulsatility index (peak to peak change divided by mean flow velocity), the pulse rate (bpm) were recorded three times in successive manner from the radial artery at the wrist near the level of the radial styloid process at the point of maximal pulsation. The average of the three sets of readings was used for data analysis.

Patients were then asked to cough without moving their hands. The same parameters were recorded at the point of maximal change in waveform after cough. This was repeated after another two more coughs, each separated by one minute. The average of the three sets of readings was used for data analysis.

The independent student t-test for equal and unequal variances was used for analysis of data using SPSS version 12.0. Statistical significance was assumed if the P value was <0.05.

RESULTS

BASELINE

Before cough, subjects in Gc1 had significantly higher systolic velocity (S), diastolic velocity (D) and mean flow velocity (MV) than group Ga as shown in table 1. However, patients in Ga had a significantly higher pulsatility index (PI) and a higher resistance index (RI) than Gc1 (Table 1).

Figure 2

Table 1: Baseline systolic velocity (S) / cm sec-1, diastolic velocity (D) / cm sec-1, mean flow velocity (MV) / cm sec-1, pulsatility index (PI) and resistance index (RI) in Ga and Gc1. Data are means ± standard deviation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Ga</th>
<th>Gc1</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>17.6 +/- 7.5</td>
<td>21.4 +/- 7.0</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>0.5 +/- 3.6</td>
<td>4.5 +/- 4.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MV</td>
<td>2.8 +/- 2.2</td>
<td>8.1 +/- 5.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI</td>
<td>11.9 +/- 9.2</td>
<td>3.6 +/- 2.8</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RI</td>
<td>1.0 +/- 0.1</td>
<td>0.8 +/- 0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There was no significant difference in baseline S, D, PI and RI between Gc2 and their controls from Ga as shown in table 2. However, patients in Gc2 had a significantly higher MV than their controls from Ga (Table 2).
Table 2: Baseline systolic velocity (S) / cm sec-1, diastolic velocity (D) / cm sec-1, mean flow velocity (MV) / cm sec-1, pulsatility index (PI) and resistance index (RI) in Ga (matched patients) and Gc2. Data are means ± standard deviation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Ga</th>
<th>Gc2</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>20.5 +/- 7.1</td>
<td>17.5 +/- 8.2</td>
<td>0.230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>3.1 +/- 2.1</td>
<td>1.6 +/- 2.4</td>
<td>0.116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MV</td>
<td>0.5 +/- 1.0</td>
<td>4.2 +/- 3.9</td>
<td>0.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI</td>
<td>11.9 +/- 8.9</td>
<td>9.2 +/- 8.2</td>
<td>0.058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RI</td>
<td>1.0 +/- 0.1</td>
<td>0.9 +/- 0.1</td>
<td>0.126</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

STIMULATION

After cough there was a significant difference in the decrease in S in Ga compared to Gc1 (0.55 ± 3.81 vs. 5.03 ± 6.03, P <0.001, 95% CI 2.40, 6.56). Also, the decrease in D was much less in Ga than Gc1 after cough (0.23 ± 0.99 vs. 3.72 ± 3.57, P <0.001, 95% CI 2.41, 4.56). After cough, MV decreased much less in Ga than in Gc1 (0.68 ± 1.20 vs. 4.65 ± 4.53, P <0.001, 95% CI 2.61, 5.33) (Figure 2).

There was a significant difference in the increase in PI after cough as a ratio of the baseline in Ga when compared with Gc1 (-0.36 ± 0.56 vs. -1.93 ± 2.09, P <0.001, 95% CI 0.94, 2.19) (Figure 3).

Also, there was a significant difference in the increase in RI after cough as a ratio of the baseline in Ga when compared with Gc1 (-0.02 ± 0.07 vs. –0.21 ± 0.19, P <0.001, 95% CI 1.36, 2.51) (Figure 4).
There was no significant difference in the change in S (P=0.09, 95% CI 0.03, 4.14), D (P=0.06, 95% CI 0.03, 1.39), MV (P=0.06, 95% CI 0.03, 2.00), PI as a ratio of the baseline (P=0.07, 95% CI 0.07, 1.52) and RI as a ratio of the baseline (P=0.08, 95% CI 0.0, 0.10) between Gc2 and their controls from Ga (data not shown).

CONCLUSIONS

Our results show that patients with ESRD have flow velocity profiles which differ considerably from the healthy controls. The baseline flow profile of patients with ESRD revealed a lower diastolic velocity and a higher pulsatility index than the normal controls. This may be due to the greater rigidity of the vessels due to arterial calcification of the media which has been observed in diabetic patients (3).

The value of neurophysiological tests of the autonomic nerve system is limited. The sympathetic skin response (SSR), one of the routinely employed methods for evaluating the autonomic function of peripheral nerves is more of a qualitative technique. Eicke BM et al compared SSR with CWD of the radial artery in healthy subjects. The stimulus was a loud and unexpected acoustic signal or a cough. They showed a decrease in systolic velocity and diastolic velocity, and an increase in resistance index in 85% of subjects and SSR was observed in 88% of subjects. They concluded that CWD allows an additional approach to autonomic nerve evaluation (4). Wenderhold et al concluded that CWD can be used to allow easy quantitative assessment of autonomic nerve lesions. They showed a reduction of systolic, diastolic and mean flow velocity in most of the healthy subjects after electrical and acoustic stimulation as well as after inspiratory cough. However, changes in blood flow could not be observed in patients with lower brachial plexus lesions (1).

To our knowledge this is the first study that makes use of CDW to assess the autonomic vascular functional unit of the upper extremity in patients with ESRD. In our study we excluded patients with valvular heart disease, uncompensated heart failure and atrial fibrillation due to the great variation in subsequent waveforms which in turn reflected on the wide variation in blood velocity patterns including pulsatility index. For example a patient with aortic regurgitation had extremely high pulsatility index due to the large negative diastolic flow. Patients taking Beta blockers were excluded due to the effect of such medications on the sympathetic nerve endings. Current smokers or who stopped smoking one month or less from index examination were excluded to eliminate the eventual effect of nicotine on the sympathetic nervous system as a confounding factor.

The present study showed that patients with ESRD have an impaired sympathetic vasomotor response of the radial artery when compared with the normal controls. However, there was no statistically significant difference between patients with DM and/or HT when compared to patients with ESRD with DM and/or HT. This implies that the impaired sympathetic response in patients with ESRD is largely the effect of DM and possibly hypertension with the renal disease per se playing a less important role.

A primary recommendation of the National Kidney Foundation published as Dialysis Outcomes Quality Initiative is to maximize the number of primary arteriovenous fistula. Some studies showed that brachial plexus block increased blood flow through the brachial artery by giving a temporary sympathectomy type effect and helped in increasing primary patency rates (7). However, patients with ESRD who have impaired sympathetic vasomotor response of the radial artery, brachial plexus block may not prove to be helpful in this regard. A further study may help in proving or disproving this hypothesis.
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