Myocardial infarction following parenteral beta agonists for asthma in two patients with normal coronary arteries- a call for caution

A Barilan, A Aizikovich, M Somin, N Beilinson, A Basevitch, S Goland, S Malnick

INTRODUCTION

Asthma is characterized by reversible bronchospasm and beta-adrenergic agonists are one of the cornerstones of treatment, being among the most potent and rapidly acting bronchodilators available.

Beta agonists attenuate asthma exacerbations by interacting with beta receptors on the surface of a variety of cells: they relax bronchial smooth muscle, decrease mast cell mediator release, inhibit neutrophil, eosinophil, and lymphocyte functional responses, increase mucociliary transport, and affect vascular tone and edema formation [1].

Due to the presence of beta [1] and beta [2]–adrenoreceptors in the heart even highly selective beta[2] agonists can produce a positive inotropic effect.

Under conditions of hypoxemia, e.g. during a severe asthma attack, beta activation may further impair the myocardial oxygen supply-demand relationship, which may result in myocardial damage.

Although short-acting beta agonists are an important component of the treatment of acute asthma, it appears that inhaled and subcutaneous beta agonists are superior to oral therapy [ 2,3,4 ].

Cumulative dose-response curves to inhaled albuterol in asthmatic patients have demonstrated that the dose required to produce 50 percent of maximal bronchodilation is, on average, three to four times the dose needed in nonasthmatic controls. This relative resistance may reflect β-receptor down regulation due to the disease itself or to chronic beta agonist overuse [5, 6]. These observations have prompted many clinicians to use larger total doses during acute exacerbation of asthma, both by injection [7] and nebulization [8, 9].

Parenteral beta agonists for treatment of severe asthma attacks appears in published recommendations [10], although this is not based on good quality medical evidence [11]. There is, however, a paucity of evidence providing a contraindication to the use of subcutaneous epinephrine. We wish to report two cases of myocardial infarction in patients with normal coronary arteries as a result of parenteral beta agonist therapy during a severe asthmatic attack.

METHODS

Case details of 2 patients treated recently in the Department of Internal Medicine C with a myocardial infarction related to parenteral beta-agonist therapy were obtained from the electronic medical records of Kaplan Medical Center. The medical literature was reviewed by use of the PubMed site using keywords asthma, troponin, myocardial ischemia.
RESULTS

CASE #1
A 38 year old woman with a history of asthma experienced an acute exacerbation. She had suffered from asthma for 10 years and was treated with an inhaler of salmeterol and fluticasone propionate. The patient had been admitted with status asthmaticus 10 months previously. On that occasion following treatment which included s/c epinephrine, there were ST depressions in the inferior leads and the troponin I increased to 3.7 ng/mL. An echocardiogram revealed normal systolic function with no wall-motion abnormalities. Due to increasing severity of the asthma and lack of response to nebulized bronchodilators, she ordered the Emergency Medicine Service (EMS) to her home. She was treated with methylprednisolone intravenously, nebulized salbutamol and ipratropium. The EMS physician administered epinephrine 0.3 mg s/c and transferred her to the Emergency Room. She received an additional 0.3 mg of epinephrine s/c in the Emergency room and was hospitalized. She denied chest pain. On examination her blood pressure was 100/50 mmHg, she had a tachycardia of 100 per minute. She was in respiratory distress with a tachypnea of 28 breaths per minute, there was no central cyanosis, and expiratory wheezes were audible all over the chest. The heart sounds were rapid and normal. The remainder of the physical examination was normal.

The chest x-ray revealed hyperinflation with no evidence of either a lung infiltrate or pneumothorax. The ecg showed a sinus tachycardia. Routine laboratory investigations including a complete blood count and renal function and electrolytes were normal except for arterial blood gases which showed a pH of 7.27 a pCO2 of 41.6 mmHg and a pO2 of 207 mmHg.

A repeat ECG was performed 2 days later showed poor R wave progression in leads V1-V3 and T wave inversion in leads V1-V5. There was no routine for performing daily ecgs on such patients. In light of these changes a serum troponin was tested and found to be elevated to 4.69 ng/mL. Coronary angiography was performed on the next day which showed normal coronary arteries and grade 3 TIMI flow (Figure 1). Echocardiography revealed LV dilatation and depressed LV function (ejection fraction of 45%) with global hypokinesis mostly of anteroapical area. (Figure 2). This finding persisted on follow-up of several months.

CASE #2
A 70-year old asthmatic patient developed a severe asthmatic attack after exposure to acidic vapors while cleaning her house. Due to a rapid deterioration in her condition, the mobile intensive care unit was called. She denied chest pain. On examination she was found to be cyanotic and unconscious, not responding to pain. The respiratory rate was 6 breaths per minute and breath sounds were diminished with widespread wheezing. The patient was intubated and treated with endotracheal salbutamol 15 mg.

Following her arrival to the emergency room she received inhalations of ipratropium. Oxygen saturation was 100% and arterial blood gases results showed respiratory acidosis (number) combined with metabolic acidosis. A chest X-ray was normal. A 12-lead electrocardiogram showed sinus tachycardia with no ischemic changes. One hour later the patient was successfully extubated. At this point physical examination revealed prolonged expiration with diffuse rhonchi.

The next day the patient complained of mild chest pain and electrocardiography revealed ST segment elevation in leads II, III, and aVF. The Troponin I level was 1.54 ng/ml. Echocardiography showed normal systolic and diastolic function and angiography a further day later revealed normal coronary arteries and grade 3 TIMI flow. There were no evolutionary t wave changes on subsequent ecgs.

DISCUSSION
We have described two patients who we believe developed a myocardial infarction following parenteral beta agonist administration, despite having angiographically normal coronary arteries. The patients did not have routine ecgs performed on all hospital days and so the changes were detected more than 24 hours after administration of the catecholamines. It is important to perform daily ecgs on inpatients who have received parenteral epinephrine. An increase in serum troponin is highly suggestive of myocardial damage, although there are other causes including pulmonary embolism, sepsis and myocarditis [12].

In case #1, the focal wall motion abnormalities that were not reversible and ecg changes are consistent with a myocardial infarction. In case #2, the ST elevation is suggestive of myocardial damage. We cannot exclude myocardial necrosis as a result of hypoxia, severe asthma and respiratory failure. However, even if one of the above was the main cause, it would emphasize the need for caution prior to administrating
parenteral epinephrine which could increase the myocardial injury.

Subcutaneous epinephrine has been used in the treatment of asthma since 1910 [13]. It has been shown to be effective in terms of reducing bronchospasm and improving hypoxemia (13). This treatment modality has never been subjected to randomized controlled clinical trials. A systematic review in 2001 of the use of subcutaneous epinephrine in both asthma and anaphylaxis revealed only three case reports (level VII evidence) and several studies (level III and level V) with no adverse effects [11]. However, no controlled trials have been published.

There does not appear to be an advantage to intravenous administration of beta agonists. A meta-analysis on the use of intravenous beta agonist therapy for acute asthma compared the outcomes of 584 patients in 15 trials [14]. Intravenous therapy was not associated with improved outcomes in the study population or any identified subgroup.

Intravenous administration is clearly associated with development of more adverse effects [3, 4]. The common side effects are tremor, increased heart rate and palpitations, and metabolic disturbances, including hyperglycemia and hypokalemia [15, 16, 17]. Despite this the use of beta-agonists parenterally features in the recommendations of many organizations including the Israel Emergency services (personal communication AA) . However, recent guidelines for the treatment of moderate-to-severe asthma in adults from the National Asthma Education and Prevention Program of the National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute have removed the recommendations for subcutaneous epinephrine, after stating that there is no proven benefit for systemic therapy over nebulized [18].

The presumed mechanism for an infarction in such cases is spasm of the coronary arteries related to the intense beta agonist stimulation.

The ability to detect small amounts of myocardial necrosis via the determination of serum troponin, has changed the definition of a myocardial infarction [19]. This test was not available in many of the previous reports of the use of parenteral beta agonists, therefore minor degrees of myocardial necrosis may have been present but not detected.

The endothelium is now no longer considered as an inert barrier to elements contained in the blood, but rather an active biological interface between the blood and other tissues. In some patients there may be a reduced coronary vasodilator or a paradoxical vasoconstrictor response to pharmacologic agents and exercise [20]. This has been termed cardiac syndrome X or microvascular angina. This endothelial dysfunction has been found in atherosclerosis, hypercholesterolemia, diabetes, hypertension, cigarette smoking, ischemia reperfusion, and aging. Consequent to the worldwide epidemic of obesity, there is an increase in many of these parameters. Thus many more people today have endothelial dysfunction and may be at risk of coronary artery vasospasm following parenteral beta agonists. In addition, endothelial dysfunction has been noted to be present in patients with asthma [21].

There are other potential pathophysiologic explanations for these observations. Catecholamine administration can cause myocardial injury through contraction-band necrosis, which has also been described in cocaine-induced myocardial injury. In addition , catecholamine administration has been shown to enhance coagulation through platelet activation and to increase cardiac demand [22 ].

Furthermore, it has recently become apparent that beta-agonists can have other effects on the myocardium. An entity termed Takatsubo or stress-induced cardiomyopathy has recently been described [23]. This results in transient wall motion abnormalities involving the left ventricular apical and mid-ventricular segments. This entity may present as ST elevation and there may be an increase cardiac enzymes but in the presence of normal coronary arteries. The echocardiographic picture is diagnostic involving left ventricular apical ballooning. We did not encounter evidence for Takatsubo cardiomyopathy in our patients.

Other medications may also cause myocardial infarction by increasing the availability of sympathomimetic amines. The appetite suppressants phentermine and sibutramine have recently been linked to myocardial infarction in obese women with angiographically normal coronary arteries [24].

Other cases in the literature with possible myocardial necrosis related to sympathomimetic treatment are shown in the table.

In the light of ours and others experience with parenteral epinephrine in asthma, the growing evidence for deleterious effects of sympathomimetics on the heart and the lack of any conclusive evidence for efficacy, we suggest that there is no further place for the administration of parenteral epinephrine in the treatment of severe asthma. If there is no response to
intensive therapy with nebulized sympathomimetics then the best option may be mechanical ventilation. Furthermore, patients who do receive parenteral sympathomimetics require close surveillance for ischemia or other effects on the heart.
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