

Comparative Susceptibility of BHK 21 and Vero Cell Lines to Bluetongue Virus (BTV) Isolate Pathogenic for Sheep

P Sekar, K Ponmurugan, G Gurusubramanian

Citation

P Sekar, K Ponmurugan, G Gurusubramanian. *Comparative Susceptibility of BHK 21 and Vero Cell Lines to Bluetongue Virus (BTV) Isolate Pathogenic for Sheep*. The Internet Journal of Microbiology. 2008 Volume 7 Number 1.

Abstract

Bluetongue (BT) is an infectious, non contagious arthropod-borne disease of ruminants caused by Bluetongue virus (BTV), prototype species of the genus Orbivirus, within the family Reoviridae. In Tamil Nadu, 22 out of 24 districts were reported to be affected by the bluetongue virus. Hence the attempt has been made to cultivation and adaptation of BTV isolates in two different cell lines namely BHK 21 and Vero. The study was found to be successful as the inclusion bodies induced by the virus (intra cytoplasmic eosinophilic inclusions, nuclear fusion with giant cell formation). It was evident from the infectivity titre of 9.48 that the virus has got adapted to BHK21 cells to a greater extent than Vero cells as it happened to be an ideal cell line for Bluetongue vaccine production. The silent persistent infection of the BHK 21 and Vero cell lines cells with BTV indicates that more stringent screening of the cells used in the production of live vaccines.

INTRODUCTION

Bluetongue (BT) is an infectious, non contagious arthropod-borne disease of ruminants caused by Bluetongue virus (BTV), prototype species of the genus Orbivirus, within the family Reoviridae. Twenty four serotypes of bluetongue virus have been identified till date (Davies et al., 1992).

India has significant population of domestic and wild ruminants which are known to be susceptible to bluetongue virus infection. Several exotic breeds of sheep were introduced into the country between 1960 and 1970 for the genetic improvements of national flock by cross breeding with native breeds. In India, the total sheep population is 51 million, accounting to 5 percent of world's sheep population and 123 million goats accounting for 20 per cent of the total global livestock. (FAO, 2003).

In Tamil Nadu, 22 out of 24 districts were reported to be affected by the bluetongue virus. The reported case of bluetongue virus among sheep and goats occurs presumable in an epidemic form during the Southwest monsoon season which favours vector population of bluetongue virus (Ilango, 2006). Although the history of reporting was not continuous, the number of outbreaks, attacks and deaths among ruminants reported is of great concern that needs immediate attention for the protection of livestock and economic growth (Wilson et al., 1997).

The development of laboratory based systems for the investigation of animal disease such as bluetongue is crucial to understand the infectious agent and the disease process globally. As a result, adaptation of bluetongue virus to grow in laboratory conditions, such as cell culture techniques. It has been possible to develop a range of diagnostic tests and vaccines (Bowne et al., 1970). Hence the attempt has been made to cultivation and adaptation of BTV isolates in two different cell lines namely BHK 21 and Vero.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

BLUETONGUE VIRUS ISOLATION

One hundred and sixty five (165) blood samples were collected between 2003 and 2007 from BTV infected animals of each district of Coimbatore, Dindigul, Erode, Karur, Namakkal and Salem. The blood samples were collected from animals in their high febrile period by jugular vein puncture in a vacutainer tubes containing EDTA (Wilson et al., 2000). The collected samples were kept in vaccine bath and transported to the laboratory. For long-term storage the blood samples were collected in a vial containing Oxalate – phenol -glycerine (OPG) and stored at -70°C (Reddington et al., 1994).

CULTIVATION OF BTV ISOLATES IN BHK 21 AND VERO CELL LINES

CELL LINES

Established cell lines such as BHK-21 and Vero were obtained from National Centre for Cell Sciences, Pune, India. The cell lines were sub-cultured and maintained in minimal essential medium (MEM).

PROPAGATION OF CELLS

Cells were diluted in growth medium so that it contained 1×10^5 cells/ml and distributed in tissue culture bottles. For each tissue culture bottles, 5ml of growth medium (10%FBS) was added. The seeded bottles were incubated at 37°C, 5% CO_2 with humidity till the monolayer was completed. After 48 hours of incubation, the growth medium was discarded and 5ml of maintenance medium was added and tissue culture bottles were incubated at 37°C, 5% CO_2 with humidity over night (Wilson et al., 2006).

INOCULATION OF VIRUS INTO CELL LINE

The blood samples collected and separated the RBC and lysed with distilled water. The lysed RBC was clarified by centrifugation at 10,000 rpm for 10 min at 4°C. The virus in the supernatant was filtered and 0.1 ml of viral sample was added (0.22 μm) to baby hamster kidney BHK-21, and Vero cell lines using syringe filter (Wilson et al., 1997). The efficiency of isolation was often significantly lower following direct addition to cultured cells compared with that achieved in ECE. Greatest efficiency of isolation in cell culture is achieved by first passaging ECE homogenates in cells, followed by either antigen detection procedures or additional passages in mammalian cell lines, such as BHK-21 and Vero cytopathic effect (CPE) was necessarily observed in cells. Cell monolayers were monitored for the appearance of a CPE for 5 days at 37°C in 5% CO_2 with humidity. Cytopathic effects show the presence of inclusion bodies in the intracytoplasmic of bluetongue virus infected BHK-21 cell line (Babu et al., 1993).

DETECTION OF CYTOPATHIC EFFECT

After 48 hours the tissue culture bottle were observed for cytopathic effect, like cytopathic changes/morphological changes using inverted microscope. CPE occurs in a uniform manner in the tissue culture bottles. The range of percentage of CPE is detected by using dilution virus titre method (Read and Muench, 1967).

VIRUS TITRATION IN MICROTITER PLATE (READ AND MUENCH, 1967)

Log dilution of virus to be titrated was prepared. 4.5ml of

HBSS were added to sterile test tubes and 0.5ml of virus suspension was added to the first tube. It was mixed gently and 0.5ml was transferred to the next diluent tube successively. It was mixed and added using a fresh pipette to the subsequent dilution without touching the diluent and the pipette was discarded after transfer of the 0.5ml volume. 50 μl MEM was distributed to 7rows of 8 wells sterile microtitre plate by using a multichannel pipette. 50 μl of each virus dilution was added to appropriately marked rows. 100 μl of cell suspension was added in growth medium with 10% fetal calf serum. The microtitre plates were incubated for observing CPE.

RESULTS

The blood samples were collected from the infected sheep for the isolation of BTV. In the four year study period there were 95 field outbreaks from which 165 samples were collected for the isolation purpose. The virus was cultivated in two different cell cultures like BHK21 and Vero to find out its susceptibility and production of high titre. In this study, infectivity virus titration was done in Vero cell line and BHK 21 cell line and their findings are summarized in Table 1., and Fig.1. In BHK 21 and Vero cell lines the BTV isolates produced visible CPE from 36h post infection, infected cells became swollen and well defined. Rounding of cells, syncytia formation, giant cell formation and grouping of cells have also been observed in fifth passage. The affected cells have shown granularity and undergone variable degrees of shrinkage. Later, most of the cells got detached from the glass (Plate I and II).

Figure 1

Table 1. Comparison of infectivity titre value in vero cell line and BHK 21 cell line

S.No.	Passage number	Infectivity Titre Value #		Mean difference	P-value
		In Vero cell line	In BHK 21 cell Line		
1	3	6.21 \pm 0.25 (5.9-6.7)	5.6 \pm 0.27 (5.2-5.9)	0.617*	P<0.0001
2	5	7.15 \pm 0.25 (6.8-7.5)	6.70 \pm 0.23 (6.3-6.9)	0.450*	P<0.0001
3	7	8.21 \pm 0.22 (7.9-8.6)	7.31 \pm 0.15 (7.1-7.5)	0.900*	P<0.0001
4	9	9.15 \pm 0.25 (8.8-9.5)	8.51 \pm 0.15 (7.1-7.5)	0.633*	P<0.0001
5	12	10.58 \pm 0.16 (10.3 \pm 10.8)	9.48 \pm 0.28 (8.1-8.8)	1.100*	P<0.0001

Titres are shown as median TCID₅₀ per millilitre (Log₁₀), * - Significant difference
Values in parenthesis are minimum and maximum

Figure 2

Fig.:1. Comparison of virus titration in two cell lines




Figure 3

Fig 1: Cytopathic effect of Bluetongue virus on vero cell line stained with Giemsa





Figure 4

Fig 2: Cytopathic effect of Bluetongue virus on BHK 21 cell line stained with Giemsa



Infectivity titre values of the thirteen isolates ranged between 6.21 and 10.58 and 5.6 and 9.48 from 3rd to 12th passage in the case of Vero cell line and BHK 21 respectively. Between the two cell cultures, the Vero cell line exhibited more titre value than BHK 21 (Table 1). This was significantly noticed in the mean difference values ($p < 0.0001$). Significant difference was observed right from the 3rd passage to 12th passage in all the thirteen isolates in terms of mean

infectivity titre value (Fig.1) and minimum and maximum titre value. It can be statistically concluded that Vero cell line exhibited maximum infectivity titre than BHK21 cell line.

DISCUSSION

Bluetongue has been recognized as an economically significant vector borne, non contagious, viral disease of domestic and wild ruminants in many parts of the world (Jones et al., 1981). Bluetongue continues to be a major disease affecting sheep in all countries in the tropics and subtropics including India. The economic loss due to bluetongue outbreaks in sheep is very high. Control of the disease is very difficult because of the plurality of the serotypes that occur and no single vaccine may be effective (Nachimuthu et al., 1992). In the absence of vaccines available for the control of Bluetongue virus, the other measures are needed to be adopted such as control of the vector population, treatment of animals for secondary bacterial infection and nursing of the animals. These control measures would be worthwhile if early diagnosis of the disease is accomplished (Prasad et al., 1994).

In BHK 21 and Vero cell lines, the BTV isolates produced visible cytopathic effect (CPE) from 36h post infection. Infected cells became swollen and well defined. Rounding of cells, syncytia formation, giant cell formation and grouping of cells have also been observed in fifth passage. The affected cells have shown granularity and undergone variable degrees of shrinkage. Later, most of the cells got detached from the glass. The same type of CPE and changes in the cell morphology was observed by Meenambigai et al., (2006) who made a comparative study of the inclusion bodies in BHK 21 and Vero cells infected with BTV. During initial passages there were more refractile cells in the cell monolayer, with aggregation and clumping of cells in 48 hours post infection in both cell lines. The initial stage of infection of cellular destruction coupled with clumping of cells and formation of polykaryotes were noticed. Later, rounding of cells became more prominent with syncytia formation. The pores in the nuclear envelope appeared much enlarged in BHK21 infected cells than Vero infected cells as compared with the control cell culture preparation. This was in accordance with the findings of earlier research workers Nachimuthu et al (1992) and Clavijo et al (2000).

In our study, BHK-21 cells have shown a high sensitivity to BTV infection, producing a distinct cytopathic effect (CPE) at 3–5 days post-infection. The CPE is characterized by the

appearance of foci of rounded and refractile cells. These foci rapidly enlarged to the point of rupture, whereupon they detach and float freely in the culture media. However, as reported by Afshar (1994), isolation of BTV from field samples required the amplification of BTV in ECE before tissue culture can be used. If direct inoculation in tissue culture of field samples is required, this should be carried out in parallel with ECE inoculation (Afshar, 1994).

The infectivity titres of BTV isolates in BHK 21 and Vero cell lines were adduced at the end of 3rd, 5th, 7th, 9th and 10th passage levels. A gradual rise in titres was observed with respect to all the isolates as the passage levels increased. At the end of 12th passage the (Log_{10}) tissue cultures infective dose 50 (TCID₅₀) was found to be between 10.3 and 10.8 in Vero cell line and 8.1 and 8.8 in BHK 21 cell line ($p < 0.0001$). It housed significant difference in the each passage titre value. In the earlier study of Reed and Muench (1938), a titre value of 7.5 and 6.5 was observed in BHK21 and Vero infected cells at 15th passage. These findings are in agreement with the earlier observations made by Howell and Verwoerd (1971) and Scott et al (1978).

The various mammalian cell lines, Baby Hamster Kidney (BHK21), African green monkey kidney (Vero) and an insect cell line (C6/36) derived from *Aedes* species were the most common types used for BTV propagation (Wechler and Holland, 1988). BHK21 and Vero cells offer a way of growing BTV to comparably high titres, free of cell metabolites (Homan and Yunker, 1998) and hence was chosen in the present study for the adaptation of BTV. Generally the use of cell cultures for the primary isolation of BTV from the field samples is not recommended. Routinely, it is necessary to amplify the virus in ECE before growing in cell culture for eventual identification using serological assays for viral antigen production (Gould et al., 1989). The same principle was followed in the present study and the outcome of the study was found to be successful as the inclusion bodies induced by the virus (intra cytoplasmic eosinophilic inclusions, nuclear fusion with giant cell formation) correlated with the findings of earlier workers (Brown and Jochim 1962). Moreover, it is also evident from the infectivity titre of 9.48 that the virus has got adapted to BHK21 cells to a greater extent than Vero cells as it happened to be an ideal cell line for Bluetongue vaccine production.

The outcome of the study was found to be successful as the

inclusion bodies induced by the virus (intra cytoplasmic eosinophilic inclusions, nuclear fusion with giant cell formation) correlated with the findings of earlier workers (Brown and Jochim 1962). Moreover, it is also evident from the infectivity titre of 9.48 that the virus has got adapted to BHK21 cells to a greater extent than Vero cells as it happened to be an ideal cell line for Bluetongue vaccine production. The silent persistent infection of the BHK 21 and Vero cell lines cells with BTV indicates that more stringent screening of the cells used in the production of live vaccines.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors are thankful to the Principal and the Management, K.S.R. Educational Trust, Tiruchengode, Tamil Nadu, India, for providing necessary facilities and constant encouragement to carry out this study.

References

- r-0. Afshar, A., Bluetongue: laboratory diagnosis. *Comp. Immunol. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.*, 1994;17(3-4): 221-242.
- r-1. Babu, N.G.R., Byregowda, S.M., Bragitha, A.J. and Gopal, T. 1993. Isolation and preliminary Characterization of Bluetongue virus from sheep. *Indian Vet. J.*, 69:1071-1074.
- r-2. Bowne, J. G. and Ritchie, A. E. 1970. Some morphological features of Bluetongue virus. *Virology.*, 40: 903 – 911.
- r-3. Clavijo, A., Heckert, R.A., Dulack, G.C. and Afshar, A. 2000. Isolation and identification of bluetongue virus. *J. Virol. Methods.*, 87: 13-23.
- r-4. Davies, F.G., Mungai, J.N. and Pini, A. 1992. A new bluetongue virus serotype isolated in Kenya. *Vet. Microbiol.*, 31: 25-32.
- r-5. FAO. 2003. Food and agricultural organization, Agricultural data FAOSTAT.
- r-6. Gould, A.R., Hyatt, A.D., Eaton, B.T., White, J.R., Hooper, P. T., Blacksell, S.D. and LeBlanc-smith, P.M. 1989. Current techniques in rapid bluetongue virus diagnosis. *Aust. Vet. J.*, 66: 450-454
- r-7. Homan, E.J. and Yunker E.C. 1998. Growth of bluetongue and epizootic hemorrhagic disease of deer viruses in poikilothermic cell systems. *Vet. Microbiol.*, 16: 15-24.
- r-8. Howell, P.G. and Verwoerd, D.W. 1971. Bluetongue virus, *Virol. Monographs.*, 9: 37 – 74.
- r-9. Ilango, K. 2006. Bluetongue virus outbreak in Tamil Nadu, Southern India: Need to study the Indian biting midge vectors, *Culicoides latreille (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae)*, *Curr. Sci.*, 90 (2):163-167.
- r-10. Jones, R.H., Luedke, A.J., Walton, T.E. and Metcalf, H.E. 1981. Bluetongue in the United States. An entomological prospective towards control. *World Animal Review.*, 38: 2 – 8.
- r-11. Meenambigai, T.V., Prabhakar, T.G., Govindarajan, R., Nachimuthu, K. and Koteeswaran, A. 2006. A comparative study of the inclusion bodies in BHK 21 and Vero cells infected with bluetongue virus, *Tamilnadu J. Vet. and Animal Sci.*, 2(6): 248-250.

Comparative Susceptibility of BHK 21 and Vero Cell Lines to Bluetongue Virus (BTV) Isolate Pathogenic for Sheep

- r-12. Nachimuthu, R., Thangavelu, A., Dhinakaraj, G., and Venkatesan, R.A. 1992. Isolation of Bluetongue virus, from sheep. 1992. *Indian J. Anim. Sci.*, 62: 112-114.
- r-13. Prasad, G., Garg, A.K., Minakshi Kakkar, N.K. and Srinivastava, R.N. 1994. Isolation of bluetongue virus from sheep in Rajasthan State, *Rev. Sci. Tech. Off. Int. Epiz.*, 13: 935-938.
- r-14. Reddington, J.J., Reddington, G.M., MacLachlan, N.J. 1994. A competitive ELISA for detection of antibodies to the group antigen of bluetongue virus *J. Wildl. Dis.*, 30(1): 99-102
- r-15. Reed, L.J. and Muench, H. 1938. A simple method of estimating 50 percent end points. *Am. J. Hyg.*, 27: 493 – 497.
- r-16. Scott, J.L., Barber, T.L. and Osburn. B.I. 1978. Serotyping Bluetongue virus: a comparison of plaque inhibition (disc) and plaque neutralization methods. In: *Proc. 21st Ann. Meet. Am. Assoc. Vet. Lab. Diagnost.*, 29-31: 399 – 410.
- r-17. Wechesler, S.J. and McHolland, L.E. 1988. Susceptibilities of 14 cell lines to Bluetongue virus infection. *J. Cl. Microbiol.*, 26(11): 2324 – 2327.
- r-18. Wilson Aruni, A., Chandran, N.D.J., Manohar Paul, W., Prabakar. T.G. and Venkatesan. R.A. 1997. Isolation of bluetongue virus from outbreaks of Tamilnadu, *Ind. Vet. J.*, 74: 899-900.
- r-19. Wilson, A., Chandran, N.D.J., Manohar Paul, W., Prabakar. T.G. and Venkatesan. R.A. 2006. Plaque characters of Indian bluetongue virus isolates on cell lines, Tamilnadu, *J. Vet. and Anim. Sci.*, 1(3-4): 63 – 67.
- r-20. Wilson, A., Parthiban, M., Kumaran, K., Chandran, N.D.J. and Koteeswaran, A. 2000. Isolation of Bluetongue virus from infected sheep, Tamil Nadu *J. Vet. and Anim. Sci.*, 1(3-4): 63-67.

Author Information

P. Sekar

PG & Research Department of Microbiology KSR College of Arts and Science, Tiruchengode – 637 215, Namakkal District, Tamilnadu, India

K. Ponmurugan

PG & Research Department of Microbiology KSR College of Arts and Science, Tiruchengode – 637 215, Namakkal District, Tamilnadu, India

G. Gurusubramanian

PG & Research Department of Microbiology KSR College of Arts and Science, Tiruchengode – 637 215, Namakkal District, Tamilnadu, India