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Abstract
Extraskeletal osteosarcoma (ESOS), the soft tissue counterpart of primary skeletal osteosarcoma, is a rare tumor. Although rhabdomyosarcomatous differentiation may be seen in a variety of sarcomas, it has not been described in an ESOS. We report a case of ESOS, osteoblastic type, in the right upper extremity of a 50-year-old woman showing rhabdomyosarcomatous differentiation in the local recurrence and lung metastases, so-called malignant mesenchymoma of soft tissue. Rhabdomyoblasts, which were not observed in the initial specimen, were detected in the recurrent and metastatic tumors, which were obtained after the administration of chemotherapy with cisplatin and adriamycin. The patient died with a metastatic giant soft tissue mass occupying the inferior part of left hemithorax 17 months after the appearance of the initial tumor. We discuss the differential diagnosis of soft tissue tumors showing more than one differentiated tissue type and the factors that might play a role in the histogenesis of tumors with rhabdomyosarcomatous differentiation.

INTRODUCTION
A variety of sarcomas may contain rhabdomyoblastic elements. Liposarcomas, chondrosarcomas, and malignant mesenchymoma, which have a propensity for undergoing dedifferentiation, and malignant mesenchymoma may exhibit rhabdomyosarcomatous differentiation. To date, four primary skeletal osteosarcomas having rhabdomyoblasts as the sole sarcomatous component have been reported in the literature. A computerized Medline search in the English literature has revealed only one case report of an osteosarcoma with rhabdomyosarcomatous differentiation in which rhabdomyoblasts were detected in lung metastasis. To the best of our knowledge, the present case is thought to be the first case showing rhabdomyoblasts in local recurrence and metastases of extraskeletal osteosarcoma (ESOS). The literature is reviewed in an attempt to emphasize the diagnostic dilemma in the classification of soft tissue tumors showing more than one differentiated tissue type and to discuss the factors, which might play a role in the histogenesis of tumors with rhabdomyosarcomatous differentiation.

CLINICAL HISTORY
A 50-year-old woman, without any previous history of trauma or radiation was admitted to our hospital with a 5-month history of a soft tissue mass, without any attachment to bony structures, in the distal one-third of right upper extremity in May 2002. The patient had a history of an excisional biopsy of the initial tumor, 8x6x6 cm in dimensions, performed in another hospital 4 months ago. On admission to our hospital, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) revealed a recurrent soft tissue mass measuring approximately 7x4x4 cm with irregular borders in the distal one-third of right arm without an involvement of bone or periosteum. Computed tomography (CT) of the thorax showed six nodular lesions consistent with metastases in the superior and inferior lobes of the right lung and another mass in the inferior lobe of the left lung measuring 3x2.5x2 cm. Chemotherapy including cisplatin (20 mg/m², 5 days) and adriamycin (25 mg/m², 3 days) was started. At the end of two cycles of chemotherapy, the nodules detected in both lungs had dramatic regression; however, there was a...
progressive growth of the mass in the right arm. Resection of the recurrent lesion was performed in August 2002. After six cycles of chemotherapy were completed, there was still regression in the dimensions of the nodules in both lungs. By MRI there was no recurrent lesion in the right arm at this stage of therapy. However, at the end of the seventh cycle of chemotherapy, progression was detected in all of the nodules seen in both lungs by thoracic CT in January 2003 and the metastatic nodules were resected. In May 2003, a giant soft tissue mass occupying the inferior part of left hemithorax with extrapleural extension was detected by thoracic CT. The patient received ifosfamide+mesna (1800 mg/m$^2$, 5 days) but died shortly after, on May 29, 2003.

**PATHOLOGIC FINDINGS**

The initial resection material had been examined at an outside laboratory and only eight hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) sections were received. Histological examination of the slides at our department showed a tumor composed of irregular trabeculae containing osteoid, focal areas of necrosis, spindle/oval cells with hyperchromatic nuclei and osteoclast-like multinucleated giant cells. All slides examined revealed a similar morphological appearance and no area suggesting another type of tissue differentiation was observed. Based upon the lack of connection with the underlying bone, the tumor was diagnosed as an ESOS, osteoblastic type (Fig. 1).

Figure 1

Figure 1: Initial tumor: extraskeletal osteosarcoma composed of irregular trabeculae of osteoid formation and osteoclast-like giant cells (Hematoxylin and eosin, x200).

Many atypical mitoses were observed. Although the tumor was extensively sampled and examined carefully, no osteoid formation was detected. Immunohistochemically, some of the pleomorphic cells and particularly cells with abundant eosinophilic cytoplasm and cells with strap forms showed cytoplasmic positivity for desmin (DAKO; monoclonal antibody, 1:100) but not for myoglobin (Immunon; polyclonal antibody, 1:600) or myogenin (DAKO; monoclonal antibody, 1:50).

Examination of the metastatectomy specimens revealed three nodules, the largest showing a maximum diameter of 7 cm (Fig. 3A). The metastatic lesions showed pleomorphic sarcomatous areas with cells containing conspicuous
nucleoli and abundant deeply eosinophilic cytoplasm, globoid cells, and strap shapes having cross striations (Fig. 3B). A very minute focus suggesting osteoid formation was also observed. Most of these cells expressed strongly desmin (Fig. 3C) and myogenin but not myoglobin.
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**Figure 3**

Figure 3: Metastatic nodule in the lung (A) (Hematoxylin and eosin, x200). Cells with abundant deeply eosinophilic cytoplasm, globoid cells, and strap forms having cross striations (B) (Hematoxylin and eosin, x400). Rhabdomyoblasts showing strong cytoplasmic positivity for desmin (C) (Diaminobenzidine, x400).

**DISCUSSION**

The tumor described in this report is composed of two
distinct differentiated malignant mesenchymal components, osteosarcoma and rhabdomyosarcoma. The initial biopsy was an ESOS, osteoblastic type. We were not able to perform immunohistochemical analysis on the initial tumor but the tumor was meticulously examined and there were no cells suggesting rhabdomyosarcomatous or any other sarcomatous differentiation. In contrast, the recurrent and metastatic tumors showed a dramatic change in morphology and rhabdomyoblasts became the dominant cellular component. At this point, there may be several explanations for this series of events: i) the rhabdomyosarcomatous component might have been overlooked in the initial tumor due to the specimen not being sufficiently sampled, ii) it has been shown that local recurrences and metastases can histologically display only one or dominant sarcomatous component, rhabdomyosarcomatous differentiation as in this case, and iii) chemotherapy itself may have resulted in a morphologic change since rhabdomyosarcomatous component may appear, persist, or disappear after chemotherapy.

A comparable case to ours was reported by Otsuka et al., in which the specimens obtained from the biopsy, first surgery, and subsequent hip disarticulation showed a primary bone osteosarcoma but no rhabdomyosarcomatous or any other sarcomatous component that could be interpreted as malignant mesenchymoma or dedifferentiated chondrosarcoma. Similar to our case, rhabdomyoblasts appeared in the second lung metastasis. The authors interpreted this morphological change as “rhabdomyosarcomatous metamorphosis” which was thought to be the result of differentiation in osteosarcoma cells. The chemotherapeutic agent ifosfamide was considered a possible cause of rhabdomyoblastic differentiation because rhabdomyoblastic differentiation was not observed during cisplatin and adriamycin therapy until chemotherapy was switched to ifosfamide. Similar to the aforementioned case, rhabdomyoblastic differentiation was not detected prior to use of chemotherapy in the present case. The detection of rhabdomyoblastic differentiation in the recurrent and metastatic tumors after the administration of chemotherapy but not in the initial tumor suggests a possible role for the chemotherapeutic agents used, cisplatin and adriamycin. In a study comparing morphological changes in rhabdomyosarcoma tissue specimens obtained before and after polychemotherapy (various combinations of cyclophosphamide, actinomycin D, adriamycin, bleomycin, methotrexate, and vincristine) showed that the most striking features detected in the well- and moderately differentiated rhabdomyosarcomas were relative increases in the numbers of strap and round rhabdomyoblasts and increases in the size, eosinophilia, and fibrillar character of the cytoplasm after treatment. It is interesting to note that cells with abundant deeply eosinophilic cytoplasm, some of which were globoid cells and strap-shaped cells having cross striations were detected after polychemotherapy in the recurrent and metastatic tumors in our case and in the second lung metastasis in the case of Otsuka et al. It may seem justified, therefore, to attribute at least part of these morphological changes to chemotherapy. Although it is unclear by which mechanisms chemotherapy affects tumor cells morphologically, it has been suggested that the major factor is the selective destruction of primitive tumor cells, which may in turn enhance the development of further differentiated cell lines and lead to a decrease in tumorigenicity. However, the very rare occurrence of rhabdomyoblastic differentiation despite the common use of chemotherapy in osteosarcoma, suggests other factors may have played a role in this transformation.

Classification of soft tissue tumors showing more than one differentiated tissue type is still debatable. From a historic perspective, the term malignant mesenchymoma was first coined by Stout to describe non-epithelial malignant tumors “showing two or more unrelated, differentiated tissue types in addition to the fibrosarcomatous element” in 1948. The definition was later refined by Stout himself and Lattes, as sarcomas composed of two or more unrelated, differentiated tissue elements, other than a fibrosarcomatous component. This term, however, has been used in different settings since. In 1991, Newman and Fletcher, attempted to refine the criteria regarding this entity by excluding the morphologic patterns that were judged to show no specific differentiation, such as fibrosarcoma, hemangiopericytoma, pleomorphic malignant fibrous histiocytoma, myxofibrosarcoma, and dedifferentiated sarcomas. There are several neoplasms that fulfill Stout’s definition of malignant mesenchymoma but they are arbitrarily regarded as distinct entities. This group includes malignant Triton tumor, dedifferentiated liposarcoma, and chondrosarcoma with a second differentiated component. The last World Health Organization classification of soft tissue tumors states that this group of tumors does not form a separate clinicopathologic entity and grouping them together under
one heading is misleading. Thus it is proposed that potential candidates for the designation can be more appropriately classified in other ways. Weiss and Goldblum also believe that the label “malignant mesenchymoma” is best deleted from classification schemes, and that sarcomas displaying two or more lines of differentiation are best diagnosed by identifying the lines of differentiation, their approximate amounts, and the grade of the most aggressive component.

The histogenesis of this group of lesions remains obscure. These tumors may arise from a primitive mesenchymal cell with the ability to differentiate into various components, or the neoplasm may itself contain multiple clones from which the various components are derived. It seems likely that aberrant gene expression or inhibition plays a role. Several human muscle-specific regulatory factors like myogenin, MyoD, Myf-3, Myf-5, and Mrf-4 are capable of inducing skeletal muscle differentiation when introduced in non-muscle cells, e.g., MyoD DNA transfection in fibroblasts and differentiated melanoma, neuroblastoma, liver, and adipocyte cell lines results in skeletal muscle differentiation. The exact role, however, of these and other muscle-specific regulatory factors in the histogenesis of tumors with rhabdomyoblastic differentiation remains to be elucidated.

In summary, we present the first case of ESOS with rhabdomyosarcomatous differentiation in which the local recurrence and lung metastases developed rhabdomyoblastic differentiation, possibly related with the chemotherapeutic agents. Rhabdomyosarcomatous differentiation in skeletal or extraskeletal osteosarcoma seems to have a negative prognostic effect. Therefore, it may be useful to report the lines of tissue differentiation, their approximate amounts, and the grade of the most aggressive component in sarcomas displaying more than one line of differentiation and to note if any differentiated tissue occurs, persists or disappears in relation to chemotherapy.
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