Early mycosis fungoides: Management of stage IB with phototherapy
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INTRODUCTION

Early stage mycosis fungoides (MF) includes those patients with patches and plaques who may have palpable lymph nodes, but subsequent lymph node biopsy reveals benign or dermatopathic findings. Patients with patches and plaques covering less than 10% body surface area (BSA) (T1) are classified as stage IA, those with patches and plaques covering greater than 10% BSA (T2) are classified as stage IB, and those with dermatopathic lymphadenopathy (N1) are classified as stage IIA. The prognosis for patients with early stage mycosis fungoides is good. In fact, the survival of patients with stage IA MF is not affected by the disease, an important detail to share with patients.

CASE PRESENTATION

A 53-year-old African-American female patient presents with a five-year history of an asymptomatic slowly progressive rash. She does not recall any triggering events at the onset of the rash. She believes she may have had hives which left her with the spots. Her past medical history is significant for diabetes and hypertension, both well-controlled. Medications include metformin, enalapril and furosemide.

While at Vanderbilt University, Dr Zic has earned a Master Clinical Teacher appointment, a CANDLE Award for medical student mentoring/teaching, a Grant Liddle Award for research mentoring of young physicians and in 2007 he was elected a Founding Member of the Vanderbilt University School of Medicine Academy of Excellence in Teaching.
generalized eruption of 2cm to 6cm ill-defined dark brown, hyperpigmented patches covering 50% BSA on the trunk more than the extremities. Many of the patches show central grayish white hypopigmentation. (Figure 1)

**CONSIDERATIONS FOR DERMATOLOGIST AND ONCOLOGIST**

Dermatologists should recognize the clinical features of this case that suggest a diagnosis of mycosis fungoides. The history of a chronic rash without significant pruritus primarily involving sun-protected areas is consistent with MF. In dark-skinned patients, the presence of hypopigmented patches should add mycosis fungoides to the diagnostic differential. Hypopigmented patches on the trunk suggest the following possibilities beyond MF: eczematous dermatitis, post-inflammatory hypopigmentation, tinea versicolor, drug eruption, secondary syphilis, and sarcoidosis. Therefore, skin biopsies are indicated to clarify the diagnosis.

**PATHOLOGY**

Three 6mm punch skin biopsies are performed from the back, right thigh and buttock. Histopathology shows a dense band-like infiltrate of mononuclear cells in the upper dermis. Within the epidermis are numerous scattered mononuclear cells with cerebriform hyperconvoluted nuclei. One section demonstrates a collection of these atypical cells in association with a Langerhan’s cell (SEE FIG 2). There is no spongiosis. Immunostains identify the mononuclear cells within the epidermis as CD4 positive T cells with loss of CD7 staining.
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**DIAGNOSIS**

The clinical and pathologic findings are diagnostic of mycosis fungoides. The presence of patches covering 50% BSA with no enlarged lymph nodes supports the clinical stage IB. The prognosis is good with treatment to get control of the disease and slow progression. The ISCL recommended staging studies includes primarily blood work without the need for CT scans unless clinically indicated. Because this patient had no palpable lymph nodes and patches, not thick plaques, the decision was made to not perform CT scans.

The following laboratory studies were negative or normal: comprehensive metabolic panel except for blood glucose 200mg/dl (<120mg/dl), complete blood count with differential and platelets, lactase dehydrogenase, and flow cytometry.

Treatment for stage IB MF should include skin-directed therapies based on their efficacy and favorable side effect profile. Both mechlorethamine (nitrogen mustard, NM) ointment and phototherapy offer reasonable skin-directed treatment options. There are no well-controlled clinical trials comparing these therapies head-to-head. NM ointment 0.01% is normally applied to the entire skin surface sparing hair bearing sections of the scalp and genitalia. For patients with minimal skin involvement, application of NM ointment to affected region may be a reasonable option, but is unlikely to suppress spread of the disease beyond the treated region.

There are two primary forms of phototherapy: narrow band UVB (NBUVB) and psoralen and UVA (PUVA). In the latter, patients ingest a photoactivated drug, 8-methoxypsoralen, one hour before exposing the skin to UVA light. Patients who fail these skin-directed therapies may
respond to the addition of oral bexarotene capsules or subcutaneous interferon alfa to their skin-directed therapy. Often, bexarotene is prescribed as monotherapy whereas interferon alfa is usually prescribed as part of combined modality therapy with PUVA phototherapy, photopheresis or bexarotene capsules.

**MANAGEMENT**

After discussing the risks and benefits of all treatment options, the patient chose to pursue phototherapy over the NM ointment because she did not like the idea of having a greasy ointment on her skin for most of the day. Because she had patches rather than thick plaques, the NM ointment would have been a reasonable treatment option also. Both NBUVB and PUVA are effective treatment options for early stage IA and IB MF. Several studies support the claim that NBUVB is equal in efficacy to PUVA phototherapy in the treatment of early stage MF. Relapse is expected at an overall rate of 50% with both modalities. The psoralen ingested with PUVA may cause nausea. The longest interval between NBUVB treatments is usually 7 to 10 days to prevent phototoxicity whereas intervals of 1 to 3 months can be safely maintained between PUVA phototherapy treatments.

Because NBUVB phototherapy was not readily available from her local dermatologist the patient began PUVA phototherapy three times weekly. By her 15th treatment the patient showed more homogeneous pigmentation of her dyspigmented patches. After 3 months of PUVA her interval was decreased to twice weekly for two months then once weekly for two months then once every two weeks. The patient showed significant clearing of her skin with subtle patches primarily on the inner thighs (difficult areas for PUVA exposure). The residual disease on the inner thighs responded well to clobetasol 0.05% ointment applied twice daily for 6-8 weeks. The decision was made to stop PUVA and engage in watchful waiting. The relapse rate is approximately 50% with PUVA regardless of a long maintenance regimen (one treatment every two months) or stopping the PUVA. Because there is a significantly increased risk of melanoma in patients that receive more than 250 PUVA treatments it is reasonable to limit exposure to PUVA.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Clinicians need to be alert to the possibility of the diagnosis of mycosis fungoides in any patient with a chronic rash in sun-protected areas. Multiple biopsies are often needed to nail the diagnosis. Patients with stage IB MF may respond to NM ointment or phototherapy. It will take months of either modality to demonstrate a response. Fortunately, phototherapy is a highly effective approach to stage IB MF with a majority of patients responding well and only a small minority of patients progressing to more aggressive disease.
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