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Abstract

Segmental resection of the mandible commonly results in deviation of the mandible to the defective side. This loss of continuity of the mandible destroys the balance of the lower face and leads to decreased mandibular function by deviation of the residual segment toward the surgical site. Prosthetic methods advocated to reduce or eliminate mandibular deviation include intermaxillary fixation, removable mandibular guide flange, palatal ramp, implant-supported prosthesis, and palatal guidance restorations which may be useful in reducing mandibular deviation and improving masticatory performance and efficiency. These methods and restorations would be combined with a well organized mandibular exercise regimen. This clinical report describes the rehabilitation following segmental mandibulectomy using palatal ramp prosthesis.
The patient's history indicated that 3 years back, he was surgically treated for the Pindborg tumor on right mandibular molar region (Figure 2). Right mandibular resection was followed by mini plating on right side.

In Jun 2011 he complained of swelling and pain at the same site. Infected bone mini plates were removed in June 2011. There was marked deviation towards the resected site due to resection of muscle attachments along with body of the mandible.

**CLINICAL EXAMINATION AND TREATMENT PLANNING**

The mandibular defect reported in this clinical report is Cantor and Curtis' Class 2 defect (Lateral resection of the mandible distal to the cuspid). TMJ examination revealed severe deviation of the mandible towards the resected site (Figure 3).

Intraoral examination revealed an intact natural dentition in maxillary arch. Mandibular teeth present were after resection. The tissue bed in the edentulous region was not displaceable, and the denture foundation in the edentulous area was ideal for the support. Based on the clinical situation, a palatal ramp type guidance appliance was planned (Figure 4).

It was noted that that the patient lacks motor control to bring the mandible into centric occlusion. Definitive impressions were made with addition of polysilicone (Coltene Whaledent, Switzerland). Definitive casts were poured with type IV dental stone (Kalrock, Kalabhai Karson Pvt. Ltd., Mumbai, India). Over the maxillary cast a simple retainer type of appliance was made. The retainer was checked for adaptation in oral cavity. A crib was adapted on the cast to
reinforce the acrylic extension of the appliance. After this auto polymerizing acrylic resin was added to this retention plate and as acrylic resin reached doughy stage, mandible was manipulated to the desired interocclusal relationship. This movement was repeated several times. The resin was manipulated to extend 7-10 mm superiorly. After this prosthesis was removed from the mouth and resin was allowed to polymerize. The appliance was finished, evaluated, and adjusted intraorally. It was noted that the patient was able to achieve a functional intercuspal position immediately after insertion of the prosthesis. The prosthesis was removed from the mouth. The prosthesis was repolished and inserted (Figure 5).

**Figure 5**
Figure 5: Final prosthesis in patient's mouth.

The patient was given routine post insertion instructions and was motivated to make efforts to learn to adapt to the new prosthesis. Simple exercises were suggested to the patient that helped the patient learn to manipulate the mandible into the proper position.

**DISCUSSION**

Successful rehabilitation of edentulous mandibulectomy patients is more difficult than that of a dentulous patient. Sharry described the difficulties encountered as:

- Limited coverage and retention
- Grossly impaired relation of the mandible to the maxilla
- Limited movement of the mandible
- Loss of facial structures and sensory and motor innervation complicates the control factors.

Mandibular treatment prosthesis is very helpful in reducing the unavoidable sequelae resulting from extensive mandibular resection like muscular contraction, mutilation of occlusal plane, scar contracture, etc. Its success varies and depends upon the nature, size and site of the surgical defect, initiation of the guidance therapy, patient's expectation, cooperation and other factors. Modification to these principles are determined on an evidence basis and greatly influenced by unique residual tissue characteristics and dynamics and science of mandibular movement. As described in this report, the mandibular guidance prosthesis consisted of a removable partial denture framework, with a flange extending laterally and superiorly on the buccal aspect of the bicuspids and molars on the non defect side. This flange engages the maxillary teeth during mandibular closure, thereby directing the mandible into an appropriate intercuspal position. Earlier the mandibular guidance therapy is initiated in the course of treatment, more successful the patient's definitive occlusal relationship. Mandibular guidance therapy begins when immediate postsurgical sequelae have subsided, usually two weeks after surgery. Various other methods advocated to reduce or eliminate mandibular deviation include mandibular guidance therapy, intermaxillary fixation, resection guidance restorations, splinting, and fabrication of prosthesis similar to ‘swing lock' removable partial dentures. For best results, these methods and restorations would be combined with a well-organized mandibular exercise regimen. An implant-supported fixed prosthesis can be an optional treatment modality for functional and esthetic rehabilitation. The use of resection guidance restoration is predicted on the basis of presence of maxillary and mandibular teeth, as teeth presence in both arches is important for effective guidance and reprogramming of mandibular movement. The patient in this clinical report retained all of his maxillary dentition and mandibular dentition from left third molar till right central incisor, and consequently better proprioceptive sensation. For the patient, this prosthesis provided comfort and sufficient function, and she was able to achieve functional intercuspal position immediately after the insertion of the prosthesis. A removable prosthesis is an equally effective alternative for most patients with mandibular defects, considering the poor prognosis, difficulty in decision making for use of implant, and economic feasibility.

**CONCLUSION**

This clinical report describes the prosthetic rehabilitation following segmental mandibulectomy with mandibular guide flange prosthesis. Certain basic principles of construction of
conventional dentures should be modified for mandibular resection patients because of many restrictive physical factors. The philosophical approach to the treatment and rehabilitation of such patients with resected mandibles is not in concentrating on what has been sacrificed in the eradication of the disease, but rather in taking full advantage of the remaining structures. This clinical report describes the prosthetic rehabilitation following segmental mandibulectomy with mandibular guide flange prosthesis and the patient expressed satisfaction with the mastication and esthetic outcome.
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