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Abstract
Because most patients with type 2 diabetes will ultimately require insulin, understanding when and how to use insulin therapy is a vital part of diabetes care. Unfortunately, insulin therapy is often delayed, due in part to the reluctance of both patients and health care providers to use insulin. Some health care providers may not be aware of when and how to initiate insulin therapy and what insulin regimens may be most appropriate for an individual patient. Modern insulin therapies such as rapid-acting insulin analogs, long-acting insulin analogs, and biphasic (premixed) insulin analogs are likely to improve patient care by providing more physiologic insulin time-action profiles, greater glycemic control, and greater convenience than human insulin preparations. This article reviews data on newer insulin therapies and discusses how to use these therapies to best treat typical patients with type 2 diabetes.

INTRODUCTION
Due to the progressive nature of type 2 diabetes, most patients with this disease ultimately require insulin therapy. The increasing prevalence of type 2 diabetes suggests that more and more patients will be candidates for insulin, which is the most effective therapy for diabetes currently available. The goal of insulin therapy is to mimic the body's natural insulin response while avoiding both hyperglycemia and hypoglycemia. In individuals without diabetes, serum insulin levels peak within 15 to 45 minutes of a meal, and return to basal levels within 1 to 3 hours, limiting postprandial glucose excursions. Basal secretion of insulin occurs at a low rate between meals. Insulin therapy that can reproduce this normal physiology as closely as possible would control both fasting glucose and postprandial glucose, bringing patients closer to normal glucose homeostasis.

Patients can be reluctant to use insulin due to concerns about pain with injections, complex treatment regimens, hypoglycemia, and weight gain. In addition, clinicians may be unsure when to begin insulin treatment, how to choose an insulin regimen, whether to continue therapy with oral antidiabetic drugs (OADs) in patients beginning insulin, how to minimize hypoglycemia, how to set glycemic targets, how to encourage self-monitoring of blood glucose (SMBG), and how to overcome patient concerns regarding insulin therapy.

This article will address each of these issues by reviewing recent data on insulin therapy and discussing insulin management using a practical, case-based approach.

CONVENTIONAL HUMAN INSULIN THERAPIES
Older, conventional human insulin therapies include Neutral Protamine Hagedorn (NPH), an intermediate-acting insulin used as basal replacement, and short-acting regular human insulin traditionally used as bolus therapy. These treatments have significant drawbacks that may complicate insulin use in patients with type 2 diabetes. One of their principal limitations is related to their inability to closely mimic physiologic insulin secretion patterns. The ideal basal therapy should provide a constant and predictable level of plasma insulin and should be long-acting. In contrast, NPH produces a distinct insulin peak 4 to 10 hours after subcutaneous injection, has a slow onset of action (2 to 4 hours) and a short duration of action (10 to 16 hours), and exhibits considerable variability in absorption, as shown in Table 1.
The ideal bolus insulin should provide a rapid rise in serum insulin levels with a peak insulin concentration 30 to 45 minutes after the meal and then fall rapidly to basal levels over the next 2 to 3 hours. However, regular human insulin is relatively slowly absorbed, providing peak insulin levels 2 to 3 hours after injection (Table 1). As a result, injecting regular human insulin at mealtimes can result in high blood glucose concentrations within 1 to 2 hours of a meal and an increased risk of late hypoglycemia. Therefore, patients need to administer regular insulin 30 to 45 minutes before meals, which can be inconvenient and at times difficult to predict. Like NPH, regular human insulin also exhibits significant day-to-day variability in absorption.

The most physiologic insulin regimen is basal-bolus therapy with multiple daily injections, with 1 to 2 injections of a basal insulin and an injection of a prandial insulin with each meal. Alternatively, an insulin pump can be used to meet both basal and prandial insulin needs. For patients who desire a simpler, more convenient therapy to cover both FPG and PPG, premixed insulin preparations that include NPH and regular human insulin in various proportions have been used. These preparations facilitate administration by eliminating the need to manually mix insulins. However, premixed human insulins have the same limitations as regular human insulin: they must be administered 30 to 45 minutes before the meal due to the slow onset of action. In addition, the slow onset of action of conventional premixed insulin may result in an increased risk of hypoglycemia.

INSULIN ANALOG THERAPIES

Long-, rapid-acting and premixed insulin analogs have overcome many of the limitations of older insulin therapies. Both rapid-acting and long-acting insulin analogs were developed using recombinant DNA technology to produce slight modifications to the structure of native human insulin. Human insulin is a polypeptide consisting of two chains: the A chain, which includes 21 amino acids, and the B chain, which includes 30 amino acids. All rapid-acting analogs, such as insulin lispro, insulin aspart, and insulin glulisine, have been created by substituting a different amino acid for the amino acid at either position 28 and/or 29 on the B-chain of insulin, respectively while asparagine also replaces lysine as position B3 in glulisine. In the basal insulin analog, insulin detemir, the final amino acid on the A-chain has been changed from asparagine to glycine, and a fatty acid chain has been attached to the amino acid at position 29 in the B-chain. The fatty acid chain acts as a depot that slowly releases the insulin into the blood stream. In contrast, insulin glargine includes substitutions at the terminal amino acid on the A-chain and two new amino acids at position 30 on the B-chain. By creating slight modifications to the structure of native human insulin, these newer insulin therapies exhibit more physiologic pharmacokinetic profiles, offer improved opportunities for intensive insulin therapy, and may facilitate hemoglobin A1C goal achievement.

RAPID-ACTING INSULIN ANALOGS

In 1996, the first insulin analog, insulin lispro, was introduced in the United States. Today, insulin lispro and two other rapid-acting insulin analogs (insulin aspart and insulin glulisine) offer significant advantages compared to regular human insulin. These agents are more rapidly absorbed after subcutaneous injection, producing earlier and higher peak insulin levels compared to regular human insulin (Table 1). In general, injection of these rapid-acting agents results in higher peak insulin concentrations in half the time of equivalent doses of regular human insulin. They also have a shorter duration of action than regular human insulin. In general, rapid-acting insulin analogs can be administered within 15 minutes of starting a meal, making them considerably more convenient than regular human insulin (which, as noted, must be administered 30 to 45 minutes before a meal). All three rapid-acting analogs have...
been shown to be superior to regular human insulin in reducing postprandial hyperglycemia.\textsuperscript{20,21,22} Insulin lispro and insulin aspart are also associated with a reduced incidence of severe hypoglycemia and nocturnal hypoglycemia in patients with type 2 diabetes compared with regular human insulin.\textsuperscript{23,24,25} A large meta-analysis reported a 25% reduction in the frequency of severe hypoglycemia with insulin lispro compared with regular human insulin.\textsuperscript{26} Another trial reported a 72% reduction in the incidence of nocturnal hypoglycemia with insulin aspart compared to regular human insulin in patients with type 1 diabetes.\textsuperscript{27} In addition, patients using rapid-acting insulin therapies also report higher levels of treatment satisfaction than do patients using regular human insulin.\textsuperscript{28}

**INHALED INSULIN**

Inhaled insulin (which is regular human insulin and not an analog) is another recently approved rapid-acting option for prandial glycemic control. Clinical trials have confirmed similar efficacy and hypoglycemic risk to regular human insulin injected subcutaneously in patients with either type 1 or type 2 diabetes.\textsuperscript{29,30} Concerns regarding its long-term safety profile (particularly in patients with pulmonary disease) and its cost-effectiveness may limit its use, however; furthermore, patients requiring basal insulin would still need to administer injections.\textsuperscript{31}

**LONG-ACTING INSULIN ANALOGS**

Although rapid-acting insulin analogs address the problem posed by the slow absorption of regular human insulin, their short duration of action precludes their use as basal therapies to control fasting plasma glucose (FPG) unless they are used in an insulin pump. However, two long-acting insulin analogs are now available, insulin glargine and insulin detemir. They offer significant advantages compared to NPH. Both long-acting analogs provide closer approximations of the basal insulin secretion pattern seen in healthy individuals. Both insulin glargine and insulin detemir provide constant, relatively flat plasma insulin levels and have longer durations of action than NPH (Table 1).\textsuperscript{32,33,34} The time-action profiles of these analogs also exhibit significantly less within-subject variability in insulin absorption than NPH and both glargine and detemir can be administered once daily.\textsuperscript{35} Clinical trials have demonstrated that insulin glargine and insulin detemir are associated with lower FPG levels, a more predictable time-action profile, and a reduced risk of nocturnal hypoglycemia compared with NPH.\textsuperscript{36,37,38,39} In addition, insulin detemir is associated with less weight gain and has a more consistent blood glucose lowering effect than NPH insulin and insulin glargine.\textsuperscript{40,41,42}

Several studies have compared the impact of adding long-acting insulin analogs or NPH to existing therapy in patients with type 2 diabetes inadequately controlled with oral antidiabetic drugs (OADs).\textsuperscript{43,44,45} Both insulin glargine and insulin detemir have been evaluated in treat-to-target trials, in which patients experiencing poor control on oral agents received the basal insulin analog or NPH.\textsuperscript{46,47,48} In these studies, insulin doses were actively titrated using a prespecified algorithm and plasma glucose monitoring to achieve a target FPG level of <100 mg/dL. These treat-to-target studies have shown that adding insulin glargine or insulin detemir effectively controls glycemia with less hypoglycemia, and, in the case of detemir, less weight gain, compared to NPH.\textsuperscript{49}

In another clinical study, subjects who were not at target HbA\textsubscript{1C} while taking 1 or 2 OADs were randomized to either 10 units of NPH insulin or insulin glargine at bedtime.\textsuperscript{50} In this 24-week study, investigators used a physician-driven, weekly titration algorithm to adjust the insulin dose to achieve an FPG level <100 mg/dL. More than 55% of subjects treated with either insulin in this study achieved the target HbA\textsubscript{1C} of ≤ 7.0%. However, a statistically significant reduction in the incidence of nocturnal hypoglycemia was observed in subjects using insulin glargine, and a greater percentage of patients using insulin glargine achieved target HbA\textsubscript{1C} without documented nocturnal hypoglycemia (33% vs 27%).

In a similar treat-to-target study evaluating the efficacy of insulin detemir in patients inadequately controlled on oral agents, more than 70% of subjects who received insulin detemir or NPH achieved the target HbA\textsubscript{1C} of ≤ 7.0%.\textsuperscript{51} However, a significantly higher proportion of subjects treated with insulin detemir achieved target HbA\textsubscript{1C} without hypoglycemia compared to NPH (26% vs 16%). Most notably, treatment with insulin detemir was associated with a significantly lower risk of overall and nocturnal hypoglycemia, and significantly less weight gain compared to NPH (1.2 kg vs 2.8 kg).

A recent treat-to-target study comparing insulin glargine and insulin detemir in patients who were not at goal on OADs reported that a similar percentage of patients using insulin detemir and insulin glargine achieved target HbA\textsubscript{1C}. The risk of overall and nocturnal hypoglycemia was also similar.
between groups. However, patients using insulin detemir experienced significantly less weight gain compared to patients using insulin glargine (2.7 kg vs 3.5 kg).

**BIPHASIC (PREMIXED) INSULIN ANALOG THERAPIES**

Biphasic insulin analogs (also known as premixed insulin analogs) provide a convenient approach to covering both basal and prandial insulin requirements in one injection. Three biphasic insulin analogs are currently available in the US: biphasic insulin aspart 70/30 (BiAsp 30; 70% insulin aspart protamine suspension and 30% insulin aspart), biphasic insulin lispro 75/25 (75% insulin lispro protamine suspension and 25% insulin lispro), and biphasic insulin lispro 50/50 (50% insulin lispro protamine suspension and 50% insulin lispro). The protaminated component provides basal coverage, while the rapid-acting component provides prandial coverage. Unlike conventional human premixed insulin formulations, BiAsp 30 can be administered 15 minutes before or after meal initiation. However, the labeling for biphasic insulin lispro 75/25 and 50/50 state that they should be administered in the 15 minutes before a meal.

Both BiAsp 30 and insulin lispro 75/25 provide effective control of FPG and postprandial glucose (PPG) while offering the convenience of fewer total daily injections compared to traditional basal-bolus therapy. These formulations provide better postprandial glycemic control with a reduced risk of hypoglycemia compared to premixed human insulin. In addition, patients with type 2 diabetes inadequately controlled on OADs alone who add biphasic insulin analogs twice daily are more likely to achieve HbA1c goals than patients using insulin glargine once daily, but with a slightly increased risk of minor hypoglycemia. In 233 patients with type 2 diabetes initiating insulin therapy but continuing on metformin (± pioglitazone), 66% treated with BiAsp 30 BID and 40% treated with insulin glargine QD reached an HbA1c <7% after 28 weeks. In another study comparing twice-daily biphasic insulin lispro 75/25 to once-daily insulin glargine in patients continuing on metformin, 42% of insulin-naïve patients using insulin lispro 75/25 achieved an HbA1c ≤ 7.0%, compared to 18% using insulin glargine. However, the incidence of minor hypoglycemia was higher with the biphasic insulin analogs compared to insulin glargine, which is consistent with the improvement in glycemic control.

In addition, in the 1-2-3 Study of 100 patients with type 2 diabetes inadequately controlled on oral agents with or without basal insulin, the use of BiAsp 30 once, twice, or three times daily enabled 41%, 70%, and 77% of patients to achieve an HbA1c goal of <7.0% and 21%, 52%, and 60% of patients to achieve an HbA1c goal of ≤ 6.5%.

**INITIATING INSULIN THERAPY**

The initiation of insulin therapy is often inappropriately delayed in patients with type 2 diabetes. Although patients' concern about starting insulin therapy likely contributes to this delay, clinicians also may be reluctant to begin insulin therapy due to concerns about the time required to educate patients about insulin therapy and an uncertainty about when and how insulin therapy should be initiated.

The decision to initiate insulin often depends on whether the patient is able to achieve the glycemic targets recommended by the American Diabetes Association (ADA) or the American College of Endocrinology/American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists (ACE/AACE) with OADs or other diabetes medications. These organizations have established targets for HbA1c, FPG, and PPG. For example, the ADA recommends an FPG target of 90 to 130 mg/dL, a PPG target of <180 mg/dL, and an HbA1c target of <7.0% (Table 2). The ACE/AACE guidelines are slightly more aggressive, recommending a FPG target of <110 mg/dL, a 2-hour PPG target of <140 mg/dL, and an HbA1c target of ≤ 6.5%.

**Figure 2**

Table 2: Glycemic targets recommended by the ADA and ACE/AACE.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target</th>
<th>ADA</th>
<th>ACE/AACE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FPG (mg/dL)</td>
<td>90-130 mg/dL</td>
<td>&lt;110 mg/dL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPG (mg/dL)</td>
<td>&lt;180 mg/dL</td>
<td>&lt;140 mg/dL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c (%)</td>
<td>&lt;7.0%</td>
<td>≤ 6.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The ACE has published guidelines for managing patients with type 2 diabetes that include advice about when insulin therapy should be considered. Central to these guidelines is an understanding of the importance of providing comprehensive coverage of both FPG and PPG. Although PPG excursions are a significant contributor to daytime hyperglycemia, past therapies for diabetes have focused on improving control of FPG, not PPG. Evidence suggests that elevated PPG is a major risk factor for cardiovascular disease and affects the risk of morbidity and mortality associated with hyperglycemia. Moreover, the contribution of PPG excursions to HbA1c increases as
patients approach an HbA<sub>1c</sub> < 7%. Therefore, as glycemic control improves with basal treatment, PPG coverage will be needed to reach an HbA<sub>1c</sub> < 7%.

Self-monitoring of blood glucose (SMBG) can be helpful in determining appropriate targets for therapy, when to initiate insulin therapy, and the most useful insulin regimen for a particular patient. It is particularly useful to monitor responses to therapy and to identify and treat glycemic excursions above or below target levels. Clinical experience suggests that SMBG is an important component of effective therapy.

**WHEN TO INITIATE INSULIN THERAPY**

Most patients with type 2 diabetes who are candidates for insulin therapy typically have experienced inadequate glycemic control on one or more OADs. Clinicians must decide whether to add another OAD or to initiate insulin in these instances. Because adding an OAD typically reduces HbA<sub>1c</sub> by approximately 1% to 1.5%, patients with HbA<sub>1c</sub> levels ≥ 8.5% are candidates for insulin therapy since other agents will not reduce HbA<sub>1c</sub> to goal levels. According to ACE guidelines, insulin therapy should be initiated in all patients on one or more OADs with HbA<sub>1c</sub> > 8.5%. The incretin mimetic exenatide is a new treatment option that can also be considered for patients inadequately controlled on metformin or a sulfonylurea. However, because treatment with exenatide only results in HbA<sub>1c</sub> decreases of 0.8% to 1.0%, it may not be an appropriate choice for patients with an HbA<sub>1c</sub> level ≥ 10.0%.

For patients who have not yet received pharmacologic treatment for type 2 diabetes, insulin is a recommended option for patients with an HbA<sub>1c</sub> ≥ 8.0% and should be initiated in individuals with an HbA<sub>1c</sub> of > 10%.

**WHAT TYPE OF INSULIN TO INITIATE**

Many factors should be considered when selecting an insulin preparation to add to a modified OAD regimen (see next section). These include HbA<sub>1c</sub>, FPG, and PPG levels; lifestyle and desire for flexibility; and time to teach and learn. Unless contraindicated, sensitizers are usually retained while secretagogues are only continued when basal insulin alone is added. Among the most important considerations are the results from the patient's SMBG log, if available. For example, patients who predominantly have elevated FPG levels are good candidates for basal insulin therapy, while patients who have both elevated FPG and PPG are likely candidates for premixed insulin or basal-bolus therapy. Most recently the FDA has approved the use of the oral dipeptidyl peptidase-4 (DPP-4) inhibitor sitagliptin. By inhibiting DPP-4, an enzyme that inactivates glucagon-like peptide 1 (GLP-1), sitagliptin enhances the activity of native GLP-1, a hormone that increases glucose-stimulated insulin release, suppresses the increased glucagon secretion, slows gastric emptying and increases satiety. Sitagliptin is approved as monotherapy when diet and exercise inadequately control blood glucose and can be used in combination with metformin or a thiazolidinedione. It is contraindicated in combination with a sulfonylurea or in patients with type 1 diabetes.

The patient's willingness to use insulin, ability to inject insulin, and calculate and titrate dosages, and lifestyle also should be considered (Table 3). Patients who are overwhelmed by the prospect of using insulin therapy or who are opposed to multiple daily injections may be better candidates for basal insulin therapy or premixed insulin therapy than for basal-bolus therapy. Before initiating any insulin regimen, individualized blood glucose targets and titration schedules should be established to prevent hypoglycemia and excessive weight gain.

**Figure 3**

Table 3: A General Assessment Guide for Selecting and Starting an Insulin Regimen in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regimen</th>
<th>Glycemic Pattern</th>
<th>Patient Issues</th>
<th>Lifestyle (Nutrition, Activity, Schedule)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Basal Insulin + OADs</td>
<td>Predominantly elevated FPG</td>
<td>Feeling overwhelmed</td>
<td>Diet and exercise tailored for insulin use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Premixed Insulin</td>
<td>Elevated FPG and PPG</td>
<td>Opposed to using multiple injections</td>
<td>Exercise and activity level adapted for insulin use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basal Bolus Insulin</td>
<td>Elevated FPG and PPG</td>
<td>Exceeds weight targets</td>
<td>For patients &gt; 65 years of age or with multiple comorbidities</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


**HOW TO INITIATE AND TITRATE INSULIN THERAPY**

A variety of strategies for initiating and titrating insulin therapy in patients inadequately controlled on oral agents are currently used. Many patients will remain on OAD therapy once they begin insulin therapy. Continuing OADs has
several advantages because some, such as metformin and thiazolidinediones, are insulin sensitizers while others increase endogenous insulin secretion (sulfonylureas, meglitinides). For example, combining insulin with oral agents such as metformin can reduce the dose of insulin and decrease the amount of weight gain. The decision to continue OADs after insulin is initiated often depends on the type of insulin administered and the type of OADs used. Additionally, in some patients, a GLP-1 analog such as exenatide may be appropriate. However, clinical experience with GLP-1 analogs is limited and combination therapy with insulin and exenatide is not approved by the FDA at this stage.

Results from SMBG logs can be particularly useful in monitoring and titrating insulin therapy. The ADA recommends that SMBG be performed 3 or more times daily in patients using multiple insulin injections. Diabetes educators can help educate patients about SMBG techniques, how to adjust food intake based on the data, and how to use SMBG data to adjust therapy.

**BASAL INSULIN THERAPY**

For patients initiating basal therapy who have been inadequately controlled on OADs, the initial dose is typically 10 units/day or 0.1 to 0.2 units/kg/day (Figure 1). Initially, basal therapies are usually administered as a single dose in the evening. Where necessary, insulin detemir and NPH insulin also may be given in 2 doses: 1 dose in the morning and 1 dose in the evening. The dose can be titrated in 1-, 2-, or 3-unit increments until target FPG levels are achieved. When initiating insulin, it is best to start low and increase the dose gradually until the target is reached. This minimizes the risk of hypoglycemia. In general, overweight patients require higher doses.

In the treat-to-target study of insulin glargine, the dose was adjusted weekly from the starting dose of 10 units to achieve FPG <100 mg/dL. In insulin doses in the treat-to-target study of insulin detemir were adjusted to enable participants to achieve pre-breakfast and pre-supper FPG targets of <108 mg/dL (≤ 6.0 mmol/L).

Insulin secretagogues are often used with basal insulin therapy to cover postprandial glucose excursions. Postprandial coverage is provided by the rapid-acting component of premixed insulin therapies; therefore, insulin secretagogues and α-glucosidase inhibitors are usually discontinued when premixed insulin therapy is initiated. However, treatment with metformin and/or thiazolidinediones is often continued to address patients’ underlying insulin resistance.

**BASAL-BOLUS THERAPY**

Because of the progressive nature of type 2 diabetes, insulin therapy will likely require intensification. Many patients who begin insulin therapy with a basal insulin who eventually require postprandial control will either need to add a bolus insulin at mealtimes (and potentially beginning basal-bolus therapy) or switch to premixed insulin therapy. In contrast, patients who begin premixed insulin therapy once daily at dinner can add a second dose in the morning to achieve greater glycemic control. Due to the favorable pharmacokinetic advantages, a third injection of a premixed insulin analog (but not premixed human insulin 70/30) can also be added at lunch if necessary.

Although basal-bolus therapy may be more challenging and time consuming to initiate and teach, it provides the most physiologic insulin profile and flexibility for the patient. Basal-bolus therapy involves multiple daily injections or an insulin pump can be used. Approximately 50% of the total
daily insulin dose should be a basal insulin. The remaining 50% of the total daily dose should be administered as a bolus, or prandial, insulin therapy, divided between meals. Patients who are using OADs and beginning basal-bolus therapy often continue therapy with metformin or insulin sensitizers. However, as previously mentioned, a premixed insulin analog BID can be just as affective as basal-bolus therapy for some patients.

PATIENT PROFILES
The following cases illustrate how to apply the principles of insulin therapy to patients similar to those seen in typical practices.

CASE 1
A 48-year-old man with a 5-year history of type 2 diabetes has an HbA1c of 7.8% while using metformin 1000 mg BID, glyburide 10 mg/d, and rosiglitazone 4 mg BID. His meals are irregular and he appears to be overwhelmed by the possibility that he may need insulin therapy. He fears injections and the complexity of insulin therapies. According to his SMBG results, his postprandial readings are <180 mg/dL with his current agents but his FPG levels range from 140 to 160 mg/dL.

Possible treatment options for this patient include a basal insulin analog, NPH, or a premixed insulin analog. However, the patient resists insulin therapy, explaining that he feels like he has failed in managing his disease and that he is concerned about hypoglycemia, injections, and weight gain.

OVERCOMING PATIENT CONCERNS
Providing comprehensive education may be the best way to address patient concerns about insulin therapy. Because educating patients about insulin can be time-consuming, including a registered dietician or a diabetes educator may be very useful.

Perhaps one of the most important topics in patient education is to explain that type 2 diabetes is a progressive disease. It is important that the patient understands the natural history of type 2 diabetes and that most patients will ultimately require insulin therapy to achieve and maintain glycemic goals. This should be communicated to patients early in the management of their disease. The benefits of intensive glycemic control with insulin therapy should also be clearly communicated, including the potential for a reduced risk of diabetes complications. Increased patient involvement in management of their diabetes may also be beneficial, not only in overcoming barriers but also in achieving tight glycemic control. A larger, multicenter study showed that patient titration of basal insulin according to a set algorithm resulted in greater reductions in HbA1c (-1.22% vs. -1.08%) and fasting blood glucose levels (-62 mg/dL vs. -57 mg/dL) compared to physician titration. Patients who fear hypoglycemia should be assured that the risk of hypoglycemia in patients with type 2 diabetes is less than the risk observed in patients with type 1 diabetes, and that the risk can be minimized by making small adjustments in therapy as needed and avoiding missing meals or undertaking exercise if prandial insulin has been injected. However, all patients should be educated about the signs and symptoms of hypoglycemia and steps they can take to prevent and treat hypoglycemic episodes.

One of the most common patient concerns is needle phobia. Patients who fear injections may be confusing the larger needles designed for intravenous access with the small (31G) coated needles used for insulin administration. The differences between these two types of needles should be stressed. Concerned patients should be educated about modern insulin delivery systems that are more convenient than vials and syringes, such as pens, dosers, and pumps.

For example, compared to syringes, insulin pens have been found to be faster and easier to use, easier to teach patients how to use, were associated with improved patient acceptance and adherence, and provided more accurate dosing. A recent study also demonstrated that insulin pens were associated with improved accuracy, precision, and reduced training staff utilization and were preferred by patients compared to vials and syringes. Another recent study that compared the FlexPen® (Novo Nordisk) and the OptiClik® (Sanofi-Aventis) devices reported that the FlexPen was easier to use, easier to learn how to use, less prone to errors, and preferred by more patients. The FlexPen also may be easier to use in patients with mild visual deficits and impaired dexterity.

Most insulin therapies are associated with mild-to-moderate weight gain. However, changes in weight can be addressed by moderate reductions in caloric intake and increased physical activity. In addition, new treatment options such as insulin detemir have been shown to be associated with less weight gain compared to insulin glargine and NPH, which may be appealing to some patients.

TREATMENT
The patient agrees to initiate insulin after a discussion of the benefits and risks of insulin regimens. Because his FPG
levels are elevated while his PPG levels are adequately controlled, and to avoid multiple daily injections, he initiates insulin therapy with a long-acting basal analog at a dose of 10 units at bedtime and continues OAD therapy. He is instructed to titrate therapy to achieve FPG levels of 90 mg/dL to 130 mg/dL. Several months later, his SMBG results indicate that his FPG and PPG are well controlled. His HbA\textsubscript{1c} is now 6.9%. Further decreases in HbA\textsubscript{1c} may require addition of a prandial insulin.

**CASE 2**

A 60-year-old woman takes metformin 1000 mg BID, repaglinide 4 mg with meals, and pioglitazone 45 mg/d. She works full time and her meals are consistent in both timing and portion sizes. She complains of nocturia, polyuria, and polyphagia and her body weight has increased by 15 lbs in the past 6 months. Her HbA\textsubscript{1c} is 8.2%; her FPG levels range from 160 to 180 mg/dL; and several of her post-supper glucose readings in her SMBG log have been >300 mg/dL.

**ASSESSMENT**

This patient's glycemic control is inadequate with combination therapy with metformin, repaglinide, and pioglitazone. Her FPG levels are high and her postprandial levels are markedly abnormal. In addition, her HbA\textsubscript{1c} level suggests that her hyperglycemia may be related to high postprandial glucose excursions. It is time to initiate insulin therapy in this patient. Before initiating insulin in patients poorly controlled on OADs, however, practitioners must define blood glucose targets, identify the insulin regimen that might be the most appropriate for this patient, and decide whether the patient should remain on their OADs.

**TREATMENT**

To determine which insulin regimen will most likely help this patient achieve her glucose targets and which insulin regimen will be most acceptable to the patient, it is important to consider the patient's symptoms, duration of diabetes, blood glucose patterns, current HbA\textsubscript{1c} level, comorbid conditions, treatment preferences, and lifestyle. Because the patient has a remarkably high postprandial level, particularly following the evening meal (and wishes to minimize injections), initiating a premixed insulin analog might be most appropriate.

Since this patient's FPG levels exceed 180 mg/d, she begins therapy with 12 units of BiAsp30 before supper. She discontinues therapy with repaglinide because the biphasic therapy can cover her postprandial glucose needs, but continues therapy with metformin and pioglitazone. In a recent study, more than 75% of patients who were inadequately controlled on optimal doses of metformin and pioglitazone achieved their target HbA\textsubscript{1c} after receiving titrated doses of BiAsp 30 twice daily. She also receives dietary and exercise counseling to help her with food choices and weight control.

**FOLLOW-UP**

Several months later, the patient returns to the office and shows her SMBG results. Her pre-breakfast FPG, post-supper PPG, and bedtime readings are at goal, but all other PPG levels are elevated. Her post-breakfast levels range from 200 to 280 mg/dL. A pre-breakfast dose of BiAsp 30 was added to address her elevated post-breakfast glucose and help control her daytime glycemic levels. At the patient's next visit, her SMBG log indicates that she has achieved good glycemic control. Her HbA\textsubscript{1c} is 6.8%. Regular follow-up will continue to be important. The patient has also lost 7 pounds due to better food choices and a walking program.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Insulin analogs have more physiologic time-action profiles, are more convenient, and may provide improved glycemic control with fewer hypoglycemic episodes compared to conventional human insulin therapies. Rapid-acting and premixed insulin analogs can be administered at mealtimes, instead of 30 to 45 minutes before the initiation of a meal, and limit PPG excursions compared to regular human insulin, while basal insulin therapies can provide more constant and predictable glycemic control with a lower potential for hypoglycemia compared to NPH. In addition, insulin detemir, causes less weight gain compared to NPH.

The successful use of insulin therapies requires an understanding of when and how to initiate insulin treatment in patients with type 2 diabetes. An understanding of how to individualize insulin therapy and how to educate patients about the role of insulin therapy in the management of their disease is also a critical component of the optimal management of patients with diabetes. Initiating insulin therapies may allow patients to attain and maintain glycemic targets and reduce their risk of complications.
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