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Abstract
This prospective double blind and randomized study was undertaken to evaluate the efficacy of rectally administered midazolam for premedication of paediatric patients. 100 ASA grade I and II children in the age group of 5-10 years were randomly allocated to two groups of 50 patients each. Group I (midazolam group) received 0.3mg/kg midazolam rectally and group II (saline group) received 5 ml of normal saline rectally. The results of the study showed that midazolam in the dosage of 0.3mg/kg given rectally was acceptable to children, produced satisfactory sedation, provided easy separation of children from parents, maintained stable cardio respiratory status preoperatively as well as postoperatively and produced smooth emergence from anaesthesia but with delayed recovery.

INTRODUCTION
Surgery and anaesthesia can cause considerable distress and psychological consequences for both parents and children. Psycho social factors such as anxiety, fear of separation from parents especially in children justify the use of premedication. Premedication with sedatives may alleviate this anxiety. However with children the intramuscular route for agent administration may in itself produce anxiety. Thus premedication administered via alternate routes is beneficial in this setting. Different non invasive routes of administration have been described producing acceptable or good sedation, reducing the anxiety of separation from parents and facilitating induction of anaesthesia.

Midazolam is a safe and effective drug for premedication of children scheduled for ambulatory surgery. It is a short acting water soluble benzodiazepine with a short half life and it can be given through all routes, and has ability to be absorbed transmucosally. There have been several previous investigations involving rectal administration of midazolam for premedication. Rectal administration of midazolam may offer similar sedation and relief of anxiety without the discomfort and fear associated with intramuscular administration in children.

Keeping in view the above considerations, our study was designed to determine the effectiveness and safety of rectally administered midazolam for premedication of children, and its effect on post anaesthetic recovery.

MATERIAL AND METHODS
This prospective, randomized double blind study included 100 patients belonging to grade ASA I or II, aged 5-10 years undergoing different elective surgical procedures. The study was approved by hospital ethical committee, and written informed consent was taken from parents of all patients. Exclusion criteria included patients with respiratory, cardiac, renal or haematological diseases and allergy to benzodiazepines. Children were allocated into two groups of 50 patients each. Group I (midazolam, GM) included 50 children who were given midazolam as premedicant 0.3mg/kg diluted in 5 ml saline solution and administered per rectally with a lubricated feeding tube and inserted 7-10 cms beyond anal opening, 15 minutes prior to taking to operating room. Group II (saline, GS),included 50 children who were given only 5 ml of normal saline per rectum and acted as control.

Before administration of drug, children were brought to reception area of operation theatre along with their parents.
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Heart rate, oxygen saturation and respiratory rate were monitored before and at 5, 10, and 15 minutes after administration of drug. Patient’s response was noted and degree of sedation was assessed before premedication and at 5, 10 and 15 minutes after administration of drug using 5 point sedation scale. (Wilton, 1993)(Table 1) Anaesthetists who administered the drug and who assessed sedation scores were blinded to the study. At 15 minutes child was separated from the parents and taken to operating room and response to separation from parents was recorded for each patient using 4 point separation and induction score. (Peter Davis, 1995)(Table 2)

In the operating room, IV line was secured and IV infusion of N/2 DNS started. Heart rate, blood pressure and $\text{Spo}_2$ were monitored throughout the procedure. After preoxygenation for 3 minutes children were induced with sodium thiopentone 5mg/kg body wt. Endotracheal intubation was facilitated with atracurium 0.5mg/kg and anaesthesia was maintained with 60% nitrous oxide in 40% oxygen with 1% halothane. Morphine 0.1mg/kg was given as analgesic and diclofenac sodium suppository 1mg/kg was given per rectal for post operative pain relief. At the end of surgery residual neuromuscular block was reversed with neostigmine 50 micrograms/kg and glycopyrrolate 4 microgram/kg and patients were extubated. Patients were shifted to recovery room and assessed by post anaesthesia recovery score at 5,10,15 and 30 minutes after extubation.(Table 3).

All data was collected and comparison between the two groups for continuous variables was expressed as mean ± standard deviation of mean and analyzed using standard statistical tests and inference drawn accordingly. P value <0.05 was taken as statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

There was statistically no significant difference in age, weight and sex between the two groups. (Table 4, Fig 1) All children accepted rectally administered drug.

The mean heart rate in the midazolam group at 5, 10, and 15 minutes after premedication was 95±5.1, 90.6±4.1 and 88.2±2.8 respectively. In the control group the mean heart rate was 108.7±7.2, 108.6±7.7 and 108.7±7.5 respectively. The difference between the two groups was statistically significant at all time intervals. (p<0.001) table 5, fig 2.

The sedation was judged to be adequate if the preoperative levels were 3, 4 or 5. By this standard at 5 mts 1 child(2%) was calm, 24 children(48%) were awake and 25 children (50%) were agitated, while as in control group only 1 child(2%) was awake and 49 children(98%) were agitated. The difference between the two groups was statistically significant.(p<0.001) At 10 mts 20 children(40%) were calm and relaxed while as 30 children(60%) were not anxious in midazolam group whereas 44 children (88%) were agitated and only 6 children(12%) were not anxious but awake in the control group. The difference was statistically significant.

At 15 minutes 84% children were calm and relaxed whereas 16% children were drowsy but responded to minor stimulation in the midazolam group while as 46% children were alert and awake but not crying and 46% were agitated and only 8% were calm and relaxed, none of the children was drowsy in the control group. The difference was statistically significant.(p<0.001) Table 6.

Child behaviour separation score: In midazolam group
excellent score was seen in 10% of children, good (satisfactory) score in 90% of children. None of the children had a fair or poor score, whereas fair and poor score was seen in 94% of children and good (satisfactory) score in 6% children in the control group. None of the children had excellent score. The difference between the two groups was statistically significant (p<0.001). Table 7, Fig 3.

In our study post anaesthesia arousal was delayed in midazolam group. At 5 mts 100% children in midazolam group had post anaesthesia arousal stage V as compared to 84% children in control group. The difference was statistically significant (p<0.001). At 10 mts 96% patients in midazolam group and 60% in control group had grade IV post anaesthesia arousal stage. The difference was significant statistically. At 15 mts 70% patients in midazolam group and only 4% patients in control group had grade IV post anaesthesia arousal stage. The difference was statistically significant (p<0.000). Similarly at 30 mts post anaesthesia, about 78% children in midazolam group were asleep but responded to painful and verbal stimulation while as, in control group only 4% children were asleep. The difference was statistically significant (p<0.001). (table 8)

**Figure 4**

Table 4: Comparison of Demographic data between two groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Midazolam Group</th>
<th>Control Group</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (Yrs)</td>
<td>7±1.6(5,10)</td>
<td>6.9±1.7(5,10)</td>
<td>0.717(NS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight(Kg)</td>
<td>20.8±5.0(15,45)</td>
<td>20.6±4.5(14,22)</td>
<td>0.818(NS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male (%)</td>
<td>47(94.0)</td>
<td>42(84.0)</td>
<td>0.113(NS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female (%)</td>
<td>3(6.0)</td>
<td>8(16.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 5**

Figure 1: Bar diagram showing demographic data between two groups

**Figure 6**

Table 5: Comparison of Heart rate (beats/min) at various time interval between two groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Heart Rate (Beats/min)</th>
<th>Midazolam Group</th>
<th>Control Group</th>
<th>Result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Before premedication</td>
<td>98.5±5.5(90,110)</td>
<td>108.9±7.4(98,130)</td>
<td>0.000 (Sig)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At 5 mts</td>
<td>95.0±5.1(85,105)</td>
<td>108.7±7.2(98,130)</td>
<td>0.000 (Sig)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At 10 mts</td>
<td>90.6±4.1(82,102)</td>
<td>108.6±7.7(92,130)</td>
<td>0.000 (Sig)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At 15 mts</td>
<td>88.2±2.8(80,92)</td>
<td>108.7±5.5(98,130)</td>
<td>0.000 (Sig)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Overall (Bland-Altman test) X²=141.025, p=0.000 (Sig)
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### DISCUSSION

The most common reason for administering premedication presently is to make the experience of anaesthesia and surgery more pleasant and less traumatic for our patients especially children. Midazolam is an effective drug for premedication both in adults and children. The rapid and reliable onset of action, avoidance of painful injections, ease of administration and predictable effects of transmucosal administration of midazolam, like rectal route have become popular with anaesthesiologists. The degree of sedation 20 to 30 minutes after rectal administration of midazolam is sufficient for smooth induction of anaesthesia via halothane and nitrous oxide. Like other benzodiazepines, midazolam is rapidly absorbed by rectal route.

In our study, we used midazolam 0.3mg/kg rectally as doses less than 0.2mg/kg appeared to be ineffective. Saint Maurice in 1987, in his study showed dose of 0.35-0.45mg/kg to be suitable for the preoperative medication of children between 2-10 years.

All children in our study accepted rectally administered drug in both groups which is consistent with the study done by Roelofs JA et al. The acceptance of premedication is somehow similar to that of the study of Piotrowski R etal in 1986 where out of 80 children, 68 accepted rectal...
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