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Abstract
Between January 2003 and January 2005 we treated 26 patients suffering from fractures of the tibial head with an angular stable (or locked) implant. In 15 cases we treated high energy fractures of the Schatzker type V and VI (AO C-type). 11 Patients showed lateral tibial head fractures (AO B-type) with a high degree of osteoporosis. All fractures were treated with a unilateral approach with a lateral locked-plate osteosynthesis. No postoperative wound infection occurred. The average follow-up period was 19.4 months (15-26 months). In 9 cases there was full range of movement (ROM) compared to the not injured side. In 13 cases there was a mild restriction of ROM and in 4 cases restriction of ROM was severe. The Rasmussen Score 1 was good or excellent in 17 cases; the result was fair in 6 and poor in 3 cases. There was no significant loss of reduction caused by implant-failure on follow-up X-rays. For high energy fractures less invasive approach with a high degree of stability of the internal fixation showed a clear advantage concerning postoperative infection rate. In the treatment of osteoporotic bone the use of angular stable implants can prevent implant failure and secondary loss of reduction.

INTRODUCTION
Like the treatment of other joint fractures, operative treatment of the tibial head fracture is technically demanding. Tibial head fractures used to be mainly a result of a high-energy trauma, usually sports related in active, young patients or as a result of road traffic accidents. During the last decades tibial head fractures are increasingly observed in geriatric patients. Reason is the increasing rate of mobility of the elderly and the change of the age structure of our population. Accident research figured out, that in contrast to younger patients, reason for tibial head fractures of older patients is usually a minor trauma. Goal of the surgical treatment should be the tissue preserving achievement of a stable, functioning and pain free knee – as soon as possible. Frequent complications are wound necrosis, osteitis and knee empyema. The infection rate is highly elevated in the treatment of bicondylar tibial head fractures, where double-plate osteosynthesis is required. Beside the necessary vast exposition of the fracture, reason is the missing stability of the osteosynthesis in particular at the treatment of osteoporotic bone. It should be the goal of every surgical treatment to avoid double-plate osteosynthesis with a medial and lateral skin incision. Additionally high level of primary stability should avoid secondary dislocation. We report results about a unilateral surgical approach for the treatment of tibial head fractures with the use of locked screw-implants.

PATIENTS AND METHODS
Between January 2003 and January 2005 we treated 97 patients suffering from a tibial head fracture. In 26 cases osteosynthesis was performed with the use of an angular stable implant, this group forms the study population. Indication for locked screw plates were bicondylar fractures treated unilateral to avoid bilateral approach with double-plate osteosynthesis and gap-fractures with severe osteoporosis. See table 1 concerning the classification of the treated tibial head fractures. All 26 patients that we operated using an angular stable implant could be followed-up. Follow-up was performed after an average of 7.5 months after surgery. We treated 16 male and 10 female patients with an average of 52.5 years of age (17 to 73 years). There were no patients with open fractures or primary nerve injury included in this study. Operative treatment was performed after an average of 1.7 days after trauma. We used an angular stable plate fixator made from pure titanium (TiFix®, Litos, Hamburg/ Germany, Fig.1). The plate is consisting of the softer titanium Grade 1; the screws are made from harder titanium Grade 2. For the preparation we formed soft tissue flaps without separating different layers. If possible we left periosteam and muscle attached to the...
bone fragments. Marginal fragments, released from the condylar fragments, were partially released from the soft tissues for reposition. Meniscal anterior horns were released in transverse direction in the area of the lig. coronarium and refixed at the end of the procedure. We tried to maintain the meniscus and performed meniscal sutures when it was ruptured and necessary. In doubt meniscus was not resected. In case of joint depression over 10mm of depth we performed an autologous bone graft harvested from the ipsilateral anterior pelvic spine. We reduced the impression over a bone window with the use of a muller controlled with the image intensifier, sometimes with an Iso C3D (Siemens, Germany) (see Figure 1).

Figure 1
Table 1: Restriction of ROM at Follow up

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of Patients</th>
<th>ROM at Follow up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>no Restriction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>mild Restriction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>severe Restriction</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2
Table 2: Rasmussen Score at Follow up

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of Patients</th>
<th>Rasmussen Score at Follow up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>good or excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>poor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The aim was an overcorrection of about 1mm. We took care for an accurate reduction of the tibial joint surface. Autologous bone graft was necessary in 9 cases. Depending on the type of fracture we placed the angular stable plate fixator (Figure 2) medial or lateral (Figure 3). As a first step of osteosynthesis a conventional, not angular stable screw was placed in the tibial shank. Additional reduction was achieved with the compression of the plate to the tibial head. Finally we placed an average of three locked screws at the tibial metaphysis and in the tibial head. Additional screws from the opposite side were not applied.

Figure 3
Figure 1: Control of reposition with intraoperative 3D radiography, here the scan showed a remaining gap and the reduction had to be performed again.

Figure 4
Figure 2 a+b: On the left the multidirectional TiFix internal plate fixator, on the right the locking mechanism in detail.
In the early phase of rehabilitation we focused on a reduction of swelling by use of cryotherapy, antiphlogistic medication and immobilisation in cast. First change of dressing was performed after 48 h with removal of the wound drainage. Afterwards we started with continuous passive motion and physiotherapy with limited knee flexion of 60° for one week. Extension of the knee was not restricted. Starting at the 3rd postoperative day the patient was mobilized with crutches. Partial weight bearing with 10 kg was maintained for 12 weeks after surgery, followed by successive increase with intensive outpatient physiotherapy. Removal of metalwork was recommended after 12 months. The average follow-up period was 19.4 months (15-26 months) and included clinical examination, the Rassmussen-Scoring System and Radiographs.

**COMPLICATIONS**
Deep vein thrombosis with participation of the popliteal vein occurred in 4 cases. Anticoagulation with Marcumar® was necessary for six months in these cases. There was one case of a postoperative peroneal nerve lesion with spontaneous regression after two weeks. No postoperative wound necrosis or infection occurred.

**RESULTS**
All patients showed bony consolidation after a mean of 8.4 weeks as judged by radiographs. Additional autologous bone transplantation was not necessary. We did not observe any secondary loss of reduction or loosening of the internal plate fixator when comparing direct postoperative radiographs to those at follow up (Figure 4 and 5). ROM of the knee did not show any restriction compared to the opposite side in 9 patients. 13 cases showed mild and 4 cases a remarkable restriction of ROM compared to the not injured side (Table 1). As expected, postoperative restriction of ROM was higher in the patients with bicondylar fractures (AO C-Fractures) than in the patients suffering from gap-fractures (AO B-Fractures).

**DISCUSSION**
There are two major problems for the operative treatment of tibial head fractures:

On the one hand there is a highly elevated infection rate for the treatment of bicondylar tibial head fractures, caused by the frequently necessary vast exposition of the fracture and its fragments for the placement of double-plate osteosynthesis. These double-plate osteosynthesis are affiliated with an overall infection rate of up to 50%. Therefore many authors point out that, if possible, only one plate should be used. Separate screws from the opposite side can help to provide sufficient stability. If double-plate osteosynthesis can not be avoided it is strictly recommended to use two separate skin incisions. The Y-shaped approach is
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not used and recommended anymore, due to the high rate of skin necrosis. On the other hand, during the last decades, older patients suffer from tibial head fractures due to a change of the age structure and activity level in our population. In contrast to younger patients the reason for tibial head fractures of older patients is usually a minor trauma, which leads to plateau-fractures of the tibial head. Reason is the usually pre-existing osteoporosis.

Although not or only minimal displaced tibial head fractures can be treated conservatively, usually operative treatment is necessary, even for geriatric patients, if no general or local contraindications exist. Main problem for the treatment of geriatric patients is the severe general demineralization of the bone-structure. This can make osteosynthesis with standard plate fixators difficult or impossible. Hence, Kilian recommends the primary implantation of a cemented total knee replacement for tibial head fractures with advanced osteoporosis in special cases. In case of an implant failure or secondary loss of reduction in older or geriatric patients, a highly increased morbidity has to be expected due to the additional operations and the longer time of immobilisation.

Our own collective consisted of 15 patients with a bicondylar tibial head fracture and 11 patients with a gap-fracture with advanced osteoporosis. For the 15 patients suffering from a bicondylar fracture we used a unilateral osteosynthesis with a locked screw plate. All these cases would have required a bilateral conventional double-plate osteosynthesis or separate screw fixation from the opposite side, if treated without locked screws. No superficial or deep wound infection and no secondary loss of reduction, especially of the contralateral tibial head, occurred. Wolter and Seide reported in detail about the advantages of angular stability. In not angular stable connections the stabilisation depends on the pressure of the plate against the bone by screws. In case of a locked plate-to-screw connection, the connection is built in the sense of a side clamped bar, which transfers the load from the bone to the plate. Applying pressure on the plate to the bone is not necessary and the blood circulation of the bone surface is less impaired. Our results show, that a unilateral plate fixation of the bicondylar tibial head fracture is sufficient. With the use of locked-screw plates also the contralateral tibial head fragment can be held in position. We did not observe severe complications like deep wound necrosis or osteitis, which are well known after bilateral incisions. Rasmussen-score of our group showed a result comparable to the results of other authors treating bicondylar tibial head fractures. Angular stability provides advantages especially for the treatment of patients with osteoporotic bone. Presuming a population equal in size, a change of the age structure can be expected during the next decades. The group of patients over 60 years of age will grow over proportional – that will lead to an increase of geriatric trauma surgery.

Main problem for the treatment of tibial head impression-fractures or gap-fractures, where the reason is usually a minor trauma, is not infection but secondary loss of reduction due to the missing stability of conventional implants in osteoporotic bone. The 11 patients with osteoporotic bone suffering from tibial head gap-fractures, which we treated with angular stable implants, showed no loosening or failure of the osteosynthesis. Although the number of patients in our collective is small, the advantages of angular stability in the treatment of fractures in osteoporotic bone are well known from other locations of the body. The observed complications – 4 deep vein thrombosis, and one temporary lesion of the peroneal nerve – did not depend on the used implant. These complications are caused by the injury or surgeon and can be compared to the results of other authors. Unilateral plate fixation for the treatment of bicondylar tibial head fractures, as well as the treatment of osteoporotic gap-fractures with angular stable implants, seem to offer advantages in particular concerning infection rate and implant failure in the treatment of tibial head fractures.
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