

Type B2 Appendiceal Duplication with Appendicitis: A Case Report

E Bender, S Schmidt

Citation

E Bender, S Schmidt. *Type B2 Appendiceal Duplication with Appendicitis: A Case Report*. The Internet Journal of Surgery. 2001 Volume 3 Number 2.

Abstract

Although rare, anomalies of the appendix do occur and may have serious clinical and medicolegal implications. Fewer than 100 cases have been reported in the literature 1, 2. Collins found only 2 in 50,000 appendices, or 0.004 percent 3. This is a case of cecal appendiceal duplication with both appendices showing inflammation on pathologic exam.

CASE REPORT

A twelve-year-old male presented to the emergency room with a 36-hour history of periumbilical and right lower quadrant (RLQ) abdominal pain and anorexia. The patient's white blood count (WBC) and differential were within normal limits and his abdominal films were unremarkable. The physical exam was significant for guarding and rebound tenderness in the RLQ.

The patient was taken to the operating room for diagnostic laparoscopy and laparoscopic appendectomy. At that time, two cecal appendices were noted (Figure 1, Figure 2), both of which showed signs of inflammation without evidence of perforation or abscess. Laparoscopic appendectomies were performed without difficulty. The patient did well postoperatively and was discharged home the following morning. The final pathology report revealed acute appendicitis for both appendices.

Figure 1

Figure 1: Appendix arising from tenia of cecum laterally, inflamed.



Figure 2

Figure 2: Periapendicitis



DISCUSSION

Appendiceal anomalies include agenesis, duplication, triplication, anomalous location of a single appendix, and a more recently described horseshoe anomaly of the appendix⁴. These anomalies are rare, with only a single reported case of appendiceal triplication and a .004% incidence of appendiceal duplication^{5,6}. These anomalies are thought to result from the persistence of a normally transient embryologic second cecal appendix.

The classification system for appendiceal duplications was first developed by Cave in 1936⁷, then modified by Wallbridge in 1963⁸ and finally by Biermann in 1993⁹. This system classifies three types of appendiceal duplications; A, B, and C. Type A occurs when two appendices arise from a common base and is not associated with other congenital anomalies. Type B has four subtypes and involves one appendix in the normal location with a second appendix at another site. In type B1 the second appendix is located on the cecum just superior to the ileocecal valve, in type B2 the second appendix is located on one of the tenia of the cecum. The second appendix is located along the tenia of the hepatic flexure of the colon in type B3 and type B4 the location of the second appendix is located along the tenia of the splenic flexure. Type C involves duplication of the cecum, with each cecum bearing an appendix. Types B and C can be associated with other gastrointestinal and genitourinary

anomalies^{10,11}. The recently described horseshoe anomaly of the appendix might be considered a type D anomaly⁴.

This patient had a type B2 appendiceal duplication and represents only the third reported case of appendiceal duplication in which both appendices were found to be inflamed¹². The clinician must be aware of and look for the possibility of an appendiceal anomaly when evaluating a patient with abdominal pain; a missed second appendix may have medicolegal implications. Litigation has arisen from replication of an appendectomy¹¹ and should be consideration with each procedure performed.

CORRESPONDENCE TO

Steven Schmidt, PhD Summa Health System Division of Surgical Research 525 East Market Street Akron, Ohio 44304 (330) 375-3693-phone (330) 375-4648-fax schmidts@summa-health.org

References

1. Bluett M, Halter S, Salhany K. Duplication of the appendix mimicking adenocarcinoma of the colon. Archives of Surgery 1987;122:817-20.
2. Arda I, Senocak M, Hicsonmez A. Duplication of the vermiform appendix: case report and review. Pediatric Surgery International 1992;7:221-22.
3. Collins D. A study of 50,000 specimens of the human vermiform appendix. Surgical Gynecological Obstetrics 1955;101:437-46.
4. Mesko T. Horseshoe anomaly of the appendix: A previously undescribed entity. Surgery 1989;563-66.
5. Kjossev KT, Losanoff JE. Duplicated vermiform appendix. British Journal of Surgery 1996;83:1259.
6. Lin B, Chen R, Fang J, Lo T, Kuo T. Duplication of the vermiform appendix. European Journal of Surgery 1996;162:589-91.
7. Cave AJE. Appendix vermiformis duplex. Journal of Anatomy 1936;70:283-92.
8. Wallbridge PH. Double appendix. British Journal of Surgery 1963;50:346-47.
9. Biermann R. Appendix duplex. Eine Pathologische Raritat 1993;64:1059-61.
10. Gilchrist B, Scriven R, Nguyen M, Nguyen V, Klotz D, Ramenofsky M. Duplication of the vermiform appendix in gastroschisis. Journal of the American College of Surgeons 1999;189(4):426.
11. Mitchell IC, Nicholls JC. Duplication of the vermiform appendix. Report of a case: Review of the classification and medicolegal aspects. Medicine, Science, Law 1990;30(2):124-26.
12. Chew DK, Borromeo R, Gabriel YA, Holgerson LO. Duplication of the vermiform appendix. Journal of Pediatric Surgery 2000;35(4):617-18.

Author Information

Elizabeth Bender, MD

Department of Surgery, Summa Health System

Steven Schmidt, PhD

Department of Surgery, Summa Health System