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Abstract
The outcomes in 85 patients with 76-silastic flexible hinge (two-stem) metatarsophalangeal joint implant arthroplasty for hallux rigidus were studied retrospectively. The mean age of patients at the time of surgery was 56 years (26-86) and mean follow-up was 6 years (2-11). Overall success rate on subjective assessment was 80%. Fifty-five (85%) patients reported good pain relief after the operation. Average AOFAS score improved from 30 (range 20-40) before the operation to 70 (range 60-80) at one year after the operation. Although follow-up radiographs demonstrated variable amounts of lysis around 10% of the silastic implants (8 patients), this deterioration did not correlate with reduction in patient satisfaction. Therefore silastic metatarsophalangeal joint arthroplasty should still be considered as an option in selected patients with end-stage hallux rigidus where other total joint arthroplasty or arthrodesis is not feasible.

INTRODUCTION
Arthrodesis is still the preferred treatment for end-stage hallux rigidus in young and active patients. Silicone implant arthroplasty of the great toe was first described by Swanson et al in 1979(10). Over the years however, it has fallen into disrepute due to conflicting reports of silicone-related synovitis and bone lysis (2,10). This has led to other forms of total joint replacement becoming more popular.

The objective of this retrospective study was to analyse medium to long-term clinical outcomes and satisfaction of patients with silastic flexible hinge (two-stem) implant arthroplasty of the great toe metatarsophalangeal joint.

MATERIAL AND METHODS
Sixty-five patients who underwent a silastic flexible hinge implant arthroplasty (Wright Medical Technology, Inc.) of the first metatarsophalangeal joint for hallux rigidus between May 1996 and December 2004 were evaluated retrospectively. A total of 76 silastic prostheses were implanted (54 unilateral and 11 bilateral). The group comprised 25 males and 40 females with an average age 56 years (26-86). Co-morbidities included non-insulin dependent diabetes (three patients), hypertension (three patients) and moderately severe rheumatoid arthritis (three patients). Most of the patients in our study group were within average BMI range (20-25). All the patients in the working age group were in predominantly sedentary jobs, as those doing manual work were advised against this procedure. Twelve patients (18%) had had previous chevron osteotomy with bunionectomy for hallux valgus. All patients completed satisfaction questionnaire, VAS and (American Orthopaedic & Ankle Society Score) AOFAS pre-operatively.

Surgeons of similar seniority and experience performed the operations, and most of the cases were operated as day-case procedures. The procedures were all performed through a dorso-medial incision under tourniquet control. Bunionectomy, cheilectomy and medial capsular reefing were carried out in all the cases. Titanium grommets (collars) were used in 40 (52%) cases. Postoperatively, all patients were advised to remain non-weight bearing for the first 2 weeks until the sutures were removed. They were then put in a plaster shoe for a further 4 weeks and were allowed “heel-walking” only. After 6 weeks, they were allowed unprotected weight bearing and normal shoes.

All patients were then routinely followed up at 6 months, 12 months and yearly thereafter, with radiographs at each visit.

The average time of follow-up was 6 years (2-11). At final follow-up we repeated assessment of overall subjective satisfaction, Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) for pain, and AOFAS. We also graded the radiological appearance at final follow-up, in terms of great toe alignment; implant position...
RESULTS

Overall success rate on subjective assessment was 80%. Fifty-five (85%) patients reported good pain relief after the operation with an average Visual Analogue Scale of 2.5 (range 0-5) as compared to pre-operative average score of 5.5 (range 4-7), which was found to be statistically significant (p < 0.001).

All patients experienced a subjective improvement in range of movement in the joint. Post-operatively, the average flexion was 11° (range 5-20°) and extension 20° (range 10-30°). Although none of the patients reported difficulty in walking or slow running, most patients reported limitation of recreational activities. The average AOFAS score improved from 30 (range 20-40) before the operation to 70 (range 60-80) at 1 year after the operation (p=0.0001). The scores remained unchanged thereafter.

The radiographs were deemed satisfactory if they showed full correction of the deformity; good implant position and no intra-operative fractures. The postoperative radiograph was satisfactory in 46 (70%) patients. Although follow-up radiographs demonstrated variable amounts of lysis (fig 1) around 10% of the silastic implants (8 patients), this deterioration did not correlate with reduction in patient satisfaction.

DISCUSSION

Arthrodesis is still the preferred treatment for end-stage hallux rigidus in young and active patients. Silicone implant arthroplasty of the great toe was first described in 1979 by Swanson et al (10). Silastic first metatarsophalangeal joint replacement is an accepted treatment for hallux rigidus, which not only provides long-term pain relief but also satisfactory range of movement.

In our series, most patients reported good pain relief at 6 months after the operation, and improved function in activities of daily living and work. All patients reported no difficulty in walking or slow running although there was some limitation of recreational activity.

Granberry et al (2) in 1991 reviewed 90 patients with flexible hinged silicone big-toe prosthesis. They reported good pain relief after the procedure with satisfactory range of big-toe movements. Nevertheless, they noted mechanical failure of
some implants, and their pedobarographic analysis of the
distribution of plantar pressures revealed that none of the
patients exerted weight-bearing pressures on the affected
great toe. However in our series none of the patients reported
any change in gait or uneven shoe wear or transfer
metatarsalgia.

Pfeiffer et al (3) in 1992 reported their results in a 44-patient
series. The pain, walking, and function scores all improved,
with greatest improvement being pain relief. These results
correlate with our series.

Hetherington et al (4) in 1993 described similar good
functional results to Granberry et al (7). Moreover, they also
reported some cases of implant migration and fracture,
which we did not observe in our series.

Sebold et al (8) in 1996 stressed the importance of the use of
titanium grommets (collar) as they protect the silicone
implant and may help provide a longer life for the silicone
implant. Lemon et al (3) in 1997 described a study of 50
cases of silastic implants, and they stressed the importance
of realigning the joint via appropriate osteotomies and
proper soft tissue balancing for better outcomes. A review by
Bonet et al (1) in 1998 suggests that implants can be effective
when used with carefully selected patients.

Smetana et al (9) in 2003 looked at 15-year outcomes after
the use of a silicone metatarsophalangeal joint implant in
hallux rigidus. They found favourable subjective
assessments by the patients, and a low number of failed
implants. They therefore concluded that this technique is
appropriate for the middle-aged patients with hallux rigidus,
even though it may not permit a large range of motion in the
operated joint. Although the postoperative range movement
of the MTP joint was not very high in our series (average
flexion 11° and extension 20°), none of the patients reported
difficulty in walking or slow running.

Single-stemmed silastic MTP arthroplasties have been
abandoned in many centres because of short-term
complications, and have been superseded by hinged
implants. Shankar et al (10) described poor results of single-
stemmed silastic implants in 1995. He studied forty patients
over 110 months and found 36% failure rate. Most of the
failure was attributed to the fragmentation of the implant
discovered at the time of removal. Harrison et al (11) in 2003
reviewed 21 single-stemmed silastic implant arthroplasties
in 18 patients. The mean follow-up was 18years, nine
months. Two patients had their implants removed at between
two to three years, one due to swelling from silicone
synovitis or infection. The authors stated that there was no
correlation between radiographic grading and preoperative
diagnosis, clinical score of duration of implantation, and
that the erosive bone changes and subsequent loss of bone stock
did not appear to cause clinical detriment. In their long-term
review outcomes were surprisingly good, particularly in the
surgical treatment of hallux rigidus in the over fifty age
group.

CONCLUSION

We conclude that silastic flexible hinge (two-stem) first
metatarsophalangeal joint replacement is an effective
treatment for end stage hallux rigidus, which not only
provides long-term pain relief but also a satisfactory range
of movement. Therefore it should still be considered as an
option in selected older patients where other total joint
replacement or arthrodesis is not feasible. We recommend a
long-term large multicentre study to evaluate this further.
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