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Abstract

Water is essential to sustain life, and a satisfactory safe supply must be made available to consumers. Many different chemicals may occur in drinking water. The problems associated with chemical constituents of drinking water arise primarily from their ability to cause significant human health risks after prolonged periods of exposure; of particular concern are contaminants that have cumulative toxic properties, such as heavy metals. This study was done to clarify concerns about chemical quality and safety of drinking tap water in Dakhlia governorate. For comparison, selected samples from three common commercial bottled water were analyzed. Atomic absorption Spectrometry technique was used to measure lead, cadmium, arsenic, mercury, zinc, selenium, calcium, magnesium and sodium in 65 samples of tap water and nine samples of bottled water. The mean concentrations of the metals in drinking water obtained in this study were within the acceptable levels of the World Health Organization (WHO,2004) guidelines and lower than maximum contaminated levels (MCL) established by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA,2004). Tap water showed slightly higher levels of lead, arsenic, cadmium, zinc, and selenium.

INTRODUCTION

Safe drinking water is a basic need for human development, health and well-being, it is an internationally accepted human right (WHO, 2001 ). Chemical contaminants of drinking water are often considered a lower priority than microbial contaminants, as adverse health effects from chemical contaminants are generally associated with long-term exposures, whereas the effects from microbial contaminants are usually immediate. Nonetheless, chemicals in water supplies can cause very serious problems (WHO, 2007).

The abundance of toxic chemicals in drinking water may cause adverse effect on the human health such as cancer and chronic illness (IKem et al., 2002). Epidemiological studies have indicated a strong association between the occurrence of several diseases in humans, particularly cardiovascular diseases, kidney-related disorders, neurocognitive effects and various forms of cancer and the presence of many metals such as cadmium, mercury and lead (Al –Saleh and Al-Doush, 1998).

Water may contain toxic metals like mercury, lead, cadmium, arsenic and selenium. These metals can cause acute or chronic poisoning and should be eliminated from drinking water, if possible. Several metal ions such as sodium, calcium and magnesium are essential to sustain biological life. Other additional metals are also essential for optimal growth, development and reproduction like zinc which is in small enough quantities to be considered trace element. Trace metals function mostly as catalysts for enzymatic activity in human bodies; however, their accumulation in the human body cause harmful effects (Tayyeb et al., 2004).

So, this study aims to assess drinking water chemically to determine whether it is capable of meeting the health-based targets and as it is neither physically nor economically feasible to test for all chemical constituents in drinking water, monitoring efforts were directed at significant parameters.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

SAMPLING

Water samples were randomly collected from private
residencies at different 13 locations “districts” of Dakhlia Governorate (L1: El-Mansoura, L2: Talkha, L3: Bilqas, L4: Dekernis, L5: Sherbin, L6: El-Sinbillawin, L7: Minyat El-Nasr, L8: El-Manzala, L9: El-Gamalia, L10: Mit-Salsil, L11: TemiEl-Amdid , L12 : Aga , L13: Mit Ghamr). Of each location, five water sampling were taken from different houses. Chemical studies were performed for 65 household tap water samples collected from November to December 2006. These samples represent indoor tap water after passing in the plumbing of each building.

Tap water was kept in sealed glass bottles, refrigerated and transferred to the laboratory for analysis. All samples were analyzed within 7 days from the time of collection. No preservatives were added to any of the collected samples.

Three different brands of the most popular commercial bottled water; Aquafina, Baraka and Shewepes were purchased from local markets in Dakhlia. Samples from three bottles of the 1.5 L size were analyzed for each brand. All brands of bottled water are sold in sealed plastic bottles and were kept in refrigerator at 4°C until the time of analysis.

**ELEMENTAL ANALYSIS**

Analysis of the studied heavy metals and trace elements [lead (Pb); cadmium (Cd); arsenic (As); mercury (Hg); sodium (Na); calcium (Ca); magnesium (Mg); zinc (Zn) and selenium (Se)] was done by Perkin Elmer 2380 Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer. Samples were prepared according to APHA method, (1992). Instrument start-up and optimization were carried out as detailed in the operating manual. The source of the flame was an air- acetylene mixture. Hydride generation method was used for Hg, As and Se. Selected wave length for each element detection was showed in table (A). Working standard solutions were prepared by appropriate dilution of stock solutions. Each measurement was made in triplicate and the mean of the three values was taken.

Preparation of standards and samples was carried out under clean conditions using deionized water. All chemicals and reagents used were of ultra pure reagent grade (BDH laboratory reagents, Ltd Poole England). All glassware and plasticware were washed three times with deionized water, and then soaked in 20 % nitric acid overnight. After soaking the glasswares were rinsed three times with deionized water and dried. Quality assurance was achieved by measuring blank test solutions.

Quality control was performed according to the specified conditions of the specific USEPA method, (2004) by analysis of laboratory reagent blanks, fortified blanks and samples as a continuing check of performance. Rinse blanks and five calibrated standard solutions of all monitored analytes were used at part per million (ppm) or part per billion (ppb) concentration ranges for the different analytes.

**RESULTS**

Elemental analysis of water samples was carried out using Perkin Elmer 2380 Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer. The results are shown in tables (1, 2) and figures (1- 9). Elements including sodium, calcium and magnesium were found as ppm and reported as mg/L, other elements were found as ppb and reported as µg/L.

Mean concentrations of heavy metals and trace elements in drinking tap water from the studied locations were shown in table (1). All concentrations were below the internationally recognized water quality standards.

Mean concentrations of elements analysis in three common commercial bottled water brands were shown in table (2). They were also within the accepted international recommended levels. Lead concentration in tap water was higher (0.58±0.23 µg/L) than in bottled water (0.2 ±0.01µg/L, 0.17±0.005 µg/L, 0.14±0.002 µg/L for Shewepes, Baraka and Aquafina respectively) (Figure, 1).

Cadmium concentration in tap water was higher (0.45±0.16 µg/L) than bottled water. Aquafina brand contains higher cadmium (0.4±0.002 µg/L) than the other two brands (0.2 ±0.09 µg/L for Shewepes, 0.2/0.005 µg/L for Baraka) (Figure, 1).

Arsenic level was higher in tap water (0.29±0.16 µg/L) than bottled water. Acquafina brand contains higher cadmium (0.4/0.002 µg/L) than the other two brands (0.2/0.09 µg/L for Shewepes, 0.2/0.005 µg/L for Baraka) (Figure, 1).

Mercury concentration was higher in bottled water than tap water (0.05 ±0.01 µg/L); Shewepes contained the highest
level (0.18 ± 0.004 µg/L) followed by Baraka (0.16 ± 0.005 µg/L) then Aquafina (0.13 ± 0.002 µg/L) (Figure, 1).

Zinc concentration was higher in tap water (5.9 ± 2.3 µg/L) than bottled water (4.0 ± 0.5 µg/L, 3.2 ± 0.07 µg/L, 2.0 ± 0.03 µg/L for Shewepes, Baraka and Aquafina respectively) (Figure, 2). Selenium was slightly higher in tap water (1.6 ± 0.1 µg/L) than bottled water (1.5 ± 0.08 µg/L, 1.4 ± 0.03 µg/L, 1.2 ± 0.03 µg/L for Shewepes, Baraka and Aquafina respectively) (Figure, 2).

Sodium concentration in Shewepes (13.9 ± 2.5 mg/L) and Baraka (13.7 ± 2.1 mg/L) were higher than Aquafina brand (5.9 ± 0.6 mg/L) and tap water (8.4 ± 0.39 mg/L) (Figure, 3). Calcium concentration was the highest in Baraka bottled water (17.7 ± 3.2 mg/L, followed by tap water (11.8 ± 1.29 mg/L), Shewepes (8.3 ± 1.5 mg/L) and the least level was in Aquafina brand (2.3 ± 0.2 mg/L) (Figure, 3). Magnesium concentration in bottled water was higher (15.2 ± 3.6 mg/L, 13.7 ± 2.1 mg/L, 12.6 ± 2.4 mg/L for Baraka, Shewepes and Aquafina respectively) than tap water (10.4 ± 0.93 mg/L) (Figure, 3).

**DISCUSSION**

Water quality is an important aspect in human health, as the majority of diseases that cause morbidity and mortality in population are water related (Shayo et al., 2007). Many different chemicals may occur in drinking water; however, only a few are important in any given circumstance. Of particular importance are adverse health outcomes relating to chemical constituents of drinking-water arising primarily from prolonged exposure. It is extremely unlikely that all the chemicals included in the WHO guidelines for drinking-water quality (WHO, 2004a) will be present in a drinking-water supply system. Consequently, it is important that countries identify those chemicals of concern according to local circumstances.

So, this study aim to evaluate some chemicals of health concern in drinking tap water in our locality and compare between these random samples and commonly used bottled water in concern of their chemical quality.

Lead is a cumulative general poison; the fetus and pregnant women, infants and children up to 6 years of age are the most susceptible to its adverse health effects. Its effects on the central nervous system can be particularly serious (WHO, 2003a). The almost universal use of lead is plumbing fittings and as solder in water-distribution systems. Lead pipes may be used in old distribution systems and plumbing (Quinn and Sherlock, 1990). Corrosion of plumbing systems is an important source of excessive lead in drinking-water, so lead levels in water should be measured at the tap, rather than at the drinking-water source, when estimating human exposure (WHO, 2003a).
The results of the present study showed that mean lead level in tap water is 0.58 µg/L ± 0.23. It is below the recommended levels of WHO guideline value (10 µg/L) and USEPA (5 µg/L). It was higher than total bottled water mean: 0.17 µg/L ± 0.03. In agreement with the present results, Saleh et al. (2001) found that the lead levels in tap water from Cairo and Giza were within recommended WHO level. Siwa bottled water contained relatively higher level of lead than other bottled water but still below the recommended level. Mohamed and Osman (1998) also concluded that the drinking-water mean lead concentration in Greater Cairo was below the international standards. In the state of Kuwait, Al-Fraiij et al. (1999) revealed the same results; lead in drinking tap water and tested types of bottled water complied with WHO guidelines. In Saudi Arabia, Tayyeb et al. (2004) analyzed lead in drinking galloned water and bottled water which also was within the maximum permissible WHO level.

Contamination of drinking-water by cadmium may occur as a result of its presence as an impurity in the zinc of galvanized pipes or cadmium-containing solders in fittings, water heaters and taps. With chronic oral exposure, the kidney appears to be the most sensitive organ. Cadmium affects the resorption function of the proximal tubules; the first symptom is tubular proteinuria. Disturbances in renal handling of phosphorus and calcium may cause resorption of minerals from bone, which can result in the development of kidney stones and osteomalacia (WHO, 2004b). It is associated also with hypertension and can cause mutations (Saleh et al., 2001).

Mean cadmium level in tap water in this study (0.45 µg/L ± 0.16) was slightly higher than in bottled water (0.27 µg/L ± 0.11). They are within the accepted recommended levels by WHO (3 µg/L) and USEPA (5 µg/L). This result coincides with those of Mohamed and Osman (1998) in Greater Cairo, Al-Fraiij et al. (1999) in Kuwait, Saleh et al. (2001) in Cairo and Giza and Tayyeb et al. (2004) in Saudi Arabia.

Arsenic is introduced into drinking-water sources primarily through the dissolution of naturally occurring minerals and ores. There are number of regions where arsenic may be present in drinking-water sources, particularly groundwater at elevated concentrations. It is one of the few substances shown to cause cancer in humans through consumption of drinking-water. There is overwhelming evidence from epidemiological studies that consumption of elevated levels of arsenic through drinking-water is causally related to the development of cancer at several sites, particularly skin, bladder and lung (WHO, 2003b).

Mean arsenic level in tap drinking water in this study (0.29 µg/L ± 0.16) was higher than bottled water (0.04 µg/L ± 0.008). They are below the recommended WHO (10 µg/L) and USEPA (50 µg/L) levels. In consistent with these results, Al-Fraiij et al., (1999) in Kuwait revealed that arsenic level in drinking and bottled water was below WHO and USEPA recommended levels. In contrast, Saleh et al. (2001) could not estimate arsenic in tap drinking water in Cairo and Giza by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP/MS) because it was below the instrument detection limit which is (0.06 µg/L).

As regards, naturally occurring mercury level in ground and surface water, it was less than 0.5 µg/L, although local mineral deposits may produce higher level in groundwater (Saleh et al., 2001). The toxic effects of inorganic mercury compounds are seen mainly in the kidney. Methyl mercury affects mainly the central nervous system (WHO, 2003c).

In the present study, mean mercury level in tap water (0.05 µg/L ± 0.01) was slightly lower than its mean in bottled water (0.16 µg/L ± 0.02). The highest level was in Shewepes bottled water (0.18 µg/L ± 0.004). The previous levels were within recommended WHO and USEPA levels (1µg/L and 2µg/L respectively).

Zinc is considered an essential trace metal which functions as catalyst for enzymatic activity in human bodies. Drinking water contains this trace metal in very small quantities which may reduce the possibility of its deficiency in the diet. However, its accumulation in the human body cause harmful effects such as acceleration of anemic conditions (Tayyeb et al., 2004). In this study, tap water mean Zn concentration (5.9 µg/L ± 2.3) was higher than its mean in bottled water (2.98 µg/L ± 1.05), both are within the recommended USEPA level (5000 µg/L) for good health. Virkutyte and Sillanpaa, (2006) explained the differences in Zn concentrations by the specific hydrogeology and hydrochemistry of the sampling points. Zn concentration decreases in low salinity regions.

Selenium is an essential element for humans, the toxic effects of its long term exposure are manifested in nails, hair and liver (WHO, 2003d). Mean selenium concentration in tap water (1.6 ug/L ± 0.21) was slightly higher than its mean in bottled water (1.37 ug/L ± 0.14). They are below WHO and USEPA recommended levels (10ug/L and 50ug/L).
As regards, mean cations concentration: Sodium (Na), Calcium (Ca) and Magnesium (Mg) in the tap water samples were (8.4 mg/L ±0.39), (11.8 mg/L ±1.29) and (10.4 mg/L ±0.9) respectively. In the bottled water samples, their means were (11.17 mg/L±4.29), (9.4 mg/L ±6.95) and (13.6 mg/L±2.63) respectively. Baraka bottled water contains the highest level of these cations 13.7±2.1 for Na, 17.7±3.2 for Ca and 15.2±3.6 for Mg. The lowest range was in Aquafina brand 5.9±0.6 for Na, 2.3±0.2 for Ca and 12.6±2.4 for Mg.

Sodium concentration of bottled water was higher in Shewepes and Baraka than tap water. Sodium may affect the taste of drinking water at levels above 200 mg /L (Saleh et al., 2001). Also, Mg concentration in bottled water was higher than tap water; Baraka contained the highest level followed by Shewepes then Aquafina. No upper limit has been set for this metal in drinking water. Hardness in water is caused by dissolved calcium, and to a lesser extent magnesium (WHO, 2003e). Water containing less than 60 ppm (60 mg/L) of Ca is considered as soft water (Saleh et al., 2001). Soft water has a corrosive effect to water pipes. A number of ecological and analytical epidemiological studies have shown a statistically significant inverse relationship between hardness of drinking water and cardiovascular disease (WHO, 2003e). On the other side, water hardness may protect against disease (Derry et al., 1990). None of water samples in this study is considered as hard water. Tap water mean Ca concentration (11.8 mg/ L ±1.29) was higher than its level in Shewepes and Aquafina. Baraka brand contains higher Ca level than other brands and tap water. However, all are categorized as soft water. No health- based guideline value is proposed for hardness (WHO, 2003e).

In conclusion, this study showed that the concentration of all analyzed elements in both tap and bottled water were within the WHO,(2004a) concentration guidelines and below the maximum contaminant levels (MCL) established by the USEPA,(2004). Tap water contained higher levels of lead, cadmium, arsenic, zinc and selenium. It also contained higher calcium level than Shewepes and Aquafina bottled water brands. All brands of bottled water contained higher levels of mercury and magnesium. Sodium concentration was higher in Shewepes and Baraka bottled water brands than tap water. Generally, Shewepes and Baraka bottled water contained higher levels of studied elements than Aquafina except cadmium. Some of detected metals are present in tap water in higher levels than bottled water as a result of their dissolution from either natural sources or from household plumbing systems, such as pipes, solder, fittings or the service connections to homes. The amount of dissolved metal from the plumbing system depends on several factors, including pH, temperature, water hardness and standing time of the water. From a general point of view, some of these metals show accumulative toxic effects and may be carcinogenic. However, bottled water was found not better than tap water from the health point of view.

This study provides guidance to assist water supply utilities in collaboration with public health authorities and toxicologists, to identify those chemicals that are likely to be present in an individual water supply, and may represent a potential public health risk from long-term exposure. Appropriate monitoring programs should be established to ensure that the chemical quality of drinking water remains within acceptable national standards and to maintain water safety for public use. Reduction of metals which have cumulative toxic hazards in drinking water is a necessity.
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