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Abstract
Treatment options for Status Asthmaticus remain limited despite current advances in the understanding of the pathophysiology and medical management of this condition. Several anecdotal case reports and investigational studies demonstrate rapid recovery from Status Asthmaticus after infusion of ketamine hydrochloride. In this paper, the authors describe a case of successful administration of intravenous ketamine hydrochloride in a 47-year-old man with severe, refractory Status Asthmaticus and provide an extensive review of the available literature regarding the clinical experience and empiric data for ketamine administration in refractory Status Asthmaticus.

DISCLAIMER
Ketamine Hydrochloride is not approved by the Food and Drug Administration for the treatment of acute asthma exacerbations or Status Asthmaticus, epilepsy, or acute/chronic pain syndromes.
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ABBREVIATIONS
CAS – Clinical Asthma Score
DBP – Diastolic Blood Pressure (figure 1)
DBRPC Trial – Double-Blind, Randomized, Placebo Controlled Trial (table 1)
DEA – Drug Enforcement Agency
ED – Emergency Department
FDA – Food and Drug Administration
HR – Heart Rate (figure 1)
IV – Intravenous
Kg – Kilogram (table 1)
L-NAME - N-Omega-Nitro-L-Arginine Methyl Ester
mg – Milligram (table 1)
NMDA – N-Methyl-D-Aspartic Acid
NO – Nitric Oxide
PCP – Phencyclidine
PE – Physical Exam (table 1)
PEF – Peak Expiratory Flow
SBP – Systolic Blood Pressure (figure 1)

INTRODUCTION
Science has been defined as the habit or faculty of observation... Only a quantitative difference makes observation scientific – accuracy.


Treatment options for Status Asthmaticus remain limited
A 47-year-old man with a past medical history significant for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, asthma, and major depression presented to the emergency department (ED) complaining of dyspnea and cough. He had experienced cough productive of yellow/green sputum for two days prior to admission. Over the course of the previous 24 hours, he had become increasingly dyspneic. On initial presentation, he could speak in two to three word phrases. He had no previous history of intubation and began using his albuterol inhaler prior to arriving in the ED without significant improvement. He admitted that he had continued to smoke one pack of cigarettes per day but denied drug use. He denied coryza, fevers, or chills. In addition to his albuterol inhaler, the patient was also taking azmacort, combivent, sertraline, methocarbamol, and over-the-counter advil. He had no known food or drug allergies. He reported good compliance with his medication regimen.

On arrival to the ED, he was tachycardic and tachypneic with an oxygen saturation of 93% on room air. He was initially lying in a hospital bed in minimal respiratory distress. He had no evidence of heart failure on physical exam but his lung exam revealed diffuse inspiratory and expiratory wheezes throughout both lung fields. The remainder of his exam was normal. His peak flow was measured to be 150 (baseline ≈ 300). Chest X-ray demonstrated hyperinflated lungs bilaterally with a normal cardiac silhouette and no infiltrates. The electrocardiogram showed a sinus tachycardia and right axis deviation but was otherwise unremarkable. Basic laboratory tests where within normal limits. He was immediately provided with a continuous albuterol nebulized solution and given 60 mg of oral prednisone. His clinical status improved and his wheezing resolved. His oxygen saturation on room air increased to 97%. He was started on prednisone and azithromycin and discharged to follow-up with his primary medical doctor at the Veterans Administration Hospital.

While waiting for his prescriptions to be filled by the ED pharmacy, the patient’s respiratory status worsened. He returned to the ED where he immediately received another nebulized treatment. He remained tachycardic and tachypneic with an oxygen saturation that had decreased to 87% on room air. His oxygen saturation improved to 93% with four liters of oxygen delivered by facemask. He was noted to be sitting in a tripod position in severe respiratory distress with extensive use of all accessory muscles of respiration. There was minimal air movement upon examination with no wheezes or dullness to percussion. His arterial blood gas at this time demonstrated a pH of 7.42; pCO$_2$ of 46; and a SaO$_2$ of 96% with a FiO$_2$ of 40% delivered by facemask. His respiratory distress remained refractory to all standard therapy including continuous nebulized bronchodilators, inhaled magnesium sulfate, subcutaneous epinephrine, and intravenous somedrol. He was emergently sedated, paralyzed, intubated, and admitted to the intensive care unit for further evaluation and treatment.

The patient remained difficult to ventilate with very high peak airway pressures (greater than 80 cmH$_2$O). Several ventilatory changes were attempted without change in the patient’s condition. He continued to receive nebulized bronchodilators. An additional two grams of intravenous magnesium sulfate were administered along with subcutaneous terbutaline, epinephrine, and intravenous theophylline - all produced no noticeable effects on the patient’s condition. In an attempt to improve laminar flow (and decrease airway resistance), the patient was changed to a HeliOx mixture without improvement. At this point, the patient’s pH was 7.04; pCO$_2$ was 91; and SaO$_2$ was 86% on 100% FiO$_2$.

After a brief telephone consultation with the pulmonologist (MR), the patient was given a bolus of 25 mg of intravenous ketamine and started on a ketamine infusion at 15 mg/hr. Within minutes of administration, his airway resistance decreased rapidly such that peak airway pressures fell to 36
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cmH₂O; the patient was then placed on assist control with rapid improvement in ventilation (pH increased to 7.19 within 30 minutes). The ketamine infusion was continued for 72 hours and then gradually weaned while maintaining adequate sedation with midazolam. Ketamine was discontinued after 80 hours without occurrence of emergence phenomena or significant dysphoria. The patient was extubated successfully on hospital day five and discharged to home on hospital day 9.

**Figure 1**

Figure 1: TOP – Graph demonstrating change in pCO₂, SΔO₂, FiO₂, heart rate (HR), systolic blood pressure (SBP), and diastolic blood pressure (DBP) Before and After Ketamine Infusion; BOTTOM – Graph demonstrating change in pH Before and After Ketamine Infusion.

**DISCUSSION**

Ketamine (Ketalar®; Ketject® - Pfizer, Inc.), or 2-o-chlorophenyl-2-methylaminocyclohexanone, was initially developed at Parke-Davis Laboratories by Calvin Stevens in 1962 to replace phencyclidine (PCP) as an anesthetic agent. Because of its significant potential for abuse, ketamine was classified as a Schedule 3 drug by the Drug Enforcement Agency (DEA) in 1998. Administration (FDA) approved indications include induction and maintenance of general anesthesia, analgesia, and sedation. Off-label uses of ketamine (and potential uses currently under investigation) include adjunctive use in the management of refractory Status Asthmaticus, treatment of epilepsy, and intranasal administration for chronic or severe pain.

The potential efficacy of ketamine in Status Asthmaticus was first described in the English-language literature in a case report by Betts and Parkin in 1971. In this paper, the authors describe the use of ketamine as an anesthetic agent in a 5¾ year old with severe asthma undergoing extensive allergy skin testing. The patient received a bolus of ketamine over 60 minutes. The authors noted that audible wheezing cleared completely when the patient became unconscious and reappeared each time light sedation was reached. These clinical observations suggested that ketamine might possess intrinsic bronchodilatory effects when used in an asthmatic patient.

One year later, Corssen, et al., published a second case report of a severely asthmatic 5 year old who received ketamine by bolus administration over 30 minutes for surgical removal of an adhesion on the conjunctiva. The authors reported that audible wheezing with a prolonged expiratory phase, dyspnea, and cyanosis disappeared rapidly following the initial bolus of intravenous ketamine. Approximately every 8-10 minutes, signs and symptoms of bronchospasticity returned but would quickly disappear after readministration of ketamine. While neither of these case reports dealt specifically with the treatment of status asthmaticus by ketamine, the observations of both of these early case reports appeared to suggest that ketamine possessed a unique, yet profound, intrinsic bronchodilatory effect.
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Table 1: Tabular List of Case Reports and Clinical Investigations of Ketamine Use in Acute Asthma and Status Asthmaticus. DBRPC denotes Double-Blind, Randomized, Placebo-Controlled Trial.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fisher, 2007</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improvement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Thanks in large part to these early case reports, bench research was carried out to determine the physiological mechanism(s) behind ketamine's bronchodilatory effects. Physiologic research to determine the mechanism(s) by which ketamine produces direct bronchodilation of airway smooth muscle were initially carried out in animal tissue models. In one study utilizing tissue from the canine trachea, investigators demonstrated a significant relaxing effect of ketamine administration on canine tracheal smooth muscle with an associated decrease in intracellular calcium concentration. In a separate study, investigators sought to determine whether the relaxant effect of ketamine is independent of endothelial relaxing factors such as nitric oxide (NO) or prostanoids. Utilizing tracheal smooth muscle from female guinea pigs, the investigators demonstrated that ketamine promotes relaxation of smooth muscle fibers despite blockade of NO synthase by N-omega-nitro-L-arginine methyl ester (L-NAME), a potent NO synthase inhibitor, and blockade of prostanoid production by the potent cyclooxygenase inhibitor, indomethacin. The authors concluded that ketamine relaxes airway smooth muscle via an epithelial-independent mechanism. Additional bronchial smooth muscle relaxation in dogs or female guinea pigs has been attributed to ketamine's inhibitory effect on mediator release from mast cells, but not to stimulation of the N-Methyl-D-Aspartic Acid (NMDA) receptor on tracheal smooth muscle.

Despite these investigations, the most convincing evidence suggests that ketamine produces bronchodilation through its sympathomimetic activity on bronchial smooth muscle. Ketamine has been shown to be a potent chatecholamine reuptake inhibitor of postganglionic sympathetic nerve endings resulting in direct stimulation of catecholamine receptors on bronchial smooth muscle. Beta-adrenergic blockade, then, would be expected to inhibit (as has been shown to occur) the relaxation produced by ketamine following induction of bronchospasm via an antigen-mediated pathway.

From bench to bedside, however, the evidence is less clear. Since the initial case reports appeared in the 1970's, several additional case reports and investigations (table 1) have demonstrated improved gas exchange, compliance, and overall lung function after infusion of ketamine in patients with Status Asthmaticus refractory to standard therapy. In 1996, Youssef-Ahmed, et al. performed a retrospective chart review of 17 mechanically ventilated patients with refractory bronchospasm aged 5 months to 17 years who had received a ketamine infusion during mechanical ventilation. The authors demonstrated a statistically significant improvement in gas exchange, as measured by the PaO_2/FiO_2_ ratio, and dynamic compliance of the chest. Additionally, a prospective observational study carried out by Petrillo, et al. in 2001 that included ten pediatric patients in the emergency department refractory to standard therapy for Status Asthmaticus demonstrated a statistically significant decrease in clinical asthma score (CAS), respiratory rate, and oxygen requirements. Peak expiratory flow (PEF) also improved following Ketamine infusion but did not achieve statistical significance.

The only randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial to assess the efficacy of ketamine in acute asthma was carried out by Howton, et al. In this study, the investigators randomized 53 consecutive patients aged 18 to 65 with a clinical diagnosis of an acute asthma exacerbation and peak expiratory flow rates less than 40% of predicted to receive either ketamine hydrochloride or placebo via intravenous infusion. All patients received oxygen, continuous nebulized albuterol, and methylprednisolone prior to trial medication and none of the patients required intubation. The investigators found no statistically significant differences in peak flow, Borg score, respiratory rate, and 1-second forced expiratory volume between the two study groups. The authors concluded that intravenous ketamine at doses low enough to avoid significant dysphoric reactions...
demonstrated no increased bronchodilatory effect over standard therapy.

The intention of Howton, et al’s investigation, however, was not to delineate the potential use of ketamine in Status Asthmaticus refractory to standard therapy, but rather to demonstrate the benefit (or lack thereof) of ketamine as an adjunct to standard therapy in the emergency department. While the study demonstrated that ketamine is not an appropriate adjuvant treatment for all patients with an acute asthma exacerbation, it did not address the potential efficacy of the drug as a “medication of last resort” in patients refractory to standard therapy. The mortality and morbidity in this group of patients is exceptionally high (having been reported to be as high as 38%[12]) and treatment options remain quite limited.

CONCLUSION

Treatment options for Status Asthmaticus remain limited despite current advances in the understanding of the pathophysiology and medical management of the condition. Several anecdotal case reports demonstrate rapid recovery from Status Asthmaticus after infusion of Ketamine[15-23,17,18,19,20,21]. A prospective observational study and a retrospective study both demonstrated marked improvement in respiratory parameters[23,24]. The only double-blind, randomized, placebo control trial of intravenous ketamine carried out by Howton, et al., demonstrated no increased bronchodilatory effect compared with standard therapy in emergency room patients with acute asthma exacerbations[22,23]. The results of this study, however, should not be generalized to patients with Status Asthmaticus refractory to standard therapy.

The incidence of significant adverse reactions associated with ketamine relegate its use to those situations in which standard therapy has failed and in which the disease itself is associated with a very high morbidity and/or mortality. The ideal study design should be similar to that utilized by Howton, et al., with one very important difference: intravenous ketamine would only be randomized to patients with Status Asthmaticus who remain refractory to aggressive standard therapy.

Given the excellent results obtained during the management of the critically ill patient with Status Asthmaticus presented in this brief report, further investigation into the bronchodilatory effects of ketamine and its use in refractory Status Asthmaticus appear warranted.
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